Producing a wig file with the trace of physical coverage

Let's consider the beginning of a sam file sorted by read name:

Lactobacillus_3072417_3070178_0_0_0_0_0:2:2_0:4:0_0	67	genome	3070108	60	100M	=	3072347 2240	
Lactobacillus_3072417_3070178_0_0_0_0_0:2:2_0:4:0_0	131	genome	3072347	60	75M2D25M	=	3070108 -2240	
Lactobacillus_868142_866162_0_0_0_0:0:0:0:0:2:0_1	67	genome	866162	60	100M	=	868142 1981	
Lactobacillus_868142_866162_0_0_0_0:0:0:0:0:2:0_1	131	genome	868142	60	100M	=	866162 -1981	
Lactobacillus_1348887_1350827_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:3:0_2	115	genome	1336757	60	100M	=	1334817 -1941	
Lactobacillus_1348887_1350827_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:3:0_2	179	genome	1334817	60	100M	=	1336757 1941	
Lactobacillus_1286327_1288378_1_1_0_0_0:1:1_0:1:0_3	115	genome	1274308	60	100M	=	1272257 -2051	
Lactobacillus_1286327_1288378_1_1_0_0_0:1:1_0:1:0_3	179	genome	1272257	60	35M1D65M	=	1274308 2051	
Lactobacillus_232519_234829_1_1_0_0_0:0:0:0_0:1:0_4	115	genome	234829	60	100M	=	232519 -2311	
Lactobacillus_232519_234829_1_1_0_0_0:0:0:0_0:1:0_4	179	genome	232519	60	100M	=	234829 2311	
Lactobacillus_2138648_2140765_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_5	115	genome	2139995	60	100M	=	2137878 -2118	
Lactobacillus_2138648_2140765_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_5	179	genome	2137878	60	100M	=	2139995 2118	
Lactobacillus_2836017_2837629_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_6	115	J	2837559	60	100M	=	2835947 -1613	
Lactobacillus_2836017_2837629_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_6	179	genome	2835947	60	100M	=	2837559 1613	
•••								
and the beginning of the same sam file sorted by genomic position:								
3 3								
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17	179	genome	4	60	100M	=	2256 2253	
	179 179	J	4	60 60	100M 100M	= =	2256 2253 1911 1910	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17	_	genome						
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20	179	genome genome	4	60	100M	=	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232	179 67	genome genome genome	4 8	60 60	100M 100M	=	1911 1910 2061 2054	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb	179 67 67 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12	60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07	179 67 67 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12	60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5	179 67 67 179 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13	60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_14_2057_1_1_0_00:2:0_0:3:0_c9b1c	179 67 67 179 179 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13	60 60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794 2057 2044	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_14_2057_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_c9b1c Lactobacillus_16_1951_1_1_0_0_0:3:0_0:2:0_191dc	179 67 67 179 179 179 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13 14	60 60 60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794 2057 2044 1951 1936	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_14_2057_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_c9b1c Lactobacillus_16_1951_1_1_0_0_0:3:0_0:2:0_191dc Lactobacillus_2199_20_0_0_0_0:1:0_0:3:0_da31d	179 67 67 179 179 179 179 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13 14 16 20	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = = = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794 2057 2044 1951 1936 2199 2180	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_14_2057_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_c9b1c Lactobacillus_16_1951_1_1_0_0_0:3:0_0:2:0_191dc Lactobacillus_2199_20_0_0_0_0:1:0_0:3:0_da31d Lactobacillus_20_2158_1_1_0_00:2:0_0:1:0_8bf2b	179 67 67 179 179 179 179 179 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13 14 16 20 20	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = = = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794 2057 2044 1951 1936 2199 2180 2158 2139	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_14_2057_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_16_1951_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_c9b1c Lactobacillus_2199_20_0_0_000:1:0_0:3:0_da31d Lactobacillus_20_2158_1_1_0_00:2:0_0:1:0_8bf2b Lactobacillus_23_2141_1_1_0_00:2:0_0:3:0_9f694	179 67 67 179 179 179 179 179 179 67 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13 14 16 20 20 23	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = = = = = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794 2057 2044 1951 1936 2199 2180 2158 2139 2141 2119	
Lactobacillus_4_2256_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_c5f17 Lactobacillus_4_1911_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_1:2:2_118dd7 Lactobacillus_2061_8_0_0_0_0:3:0_0:1:0_12043c Lactobacillus_2013_9_0_0_0_0:4:0_0:1:0_43d20 Lactobacillus_10_2338_1_1_0_0_0:1:0_0:2:0_129232 Lactobacillus_12_2042_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_332fb Lactobacillus_12_2246_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_118b07 Lactobacillus_13_1806_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:2:0_1230c5 Lactobacillus_14_2057_1_1_0_0_0:2:0_0:3:0_c9b1c Lactobacillus_16_1951_1_1_0_0_0:3:0_0:2:0_191dc Lactobacillus_2199_20_0_0_0_0:1:0_0:3:0_da31d Lactobacillus_20_2158_1_1_0_00:2:0_0:1:0_8bf2b	179 67 67 179 179 179 179 179 179 179	genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome genome	4 8 9 10 12 12 13 14 16 20 20	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M 100M	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	1911 1910 2061 2054 2013 2005 2338 2329 2042 2031 2246 2235 1806 1794 2057 2044 1951 1936 2199 2180 2158 2139	

We should know from the sam specifications that the start position of the alignment is in column 3 (I.e the 4th column) and the extent of the alignment in column 5 (called "CIGAR"), where 100M means 100 matches while 75M2D25M means 75 Matches, followed by 2 Deletion and 25 Matches. Here the meaning of the term "Match" is limited to the extent of the alignment, not to the actual correspondence of the bases (See SAM specification).

As we can see in the above figure, each mate pair is reported twice because each of the two mates is independently aligned on the genome. However, BWA is not only aligning the reads, being also able to pair the mates and to report the reciprocal information in the appropriate field of the sam file. For instance, the length of the "insert" is reported in column 8 while the mapping position of the paired mate is in column 7. It can be seen that in one case the length of the insert is positive and in the other case is negative.

How can we calculate the values for the physical coverage and how can we produce a wig file, considering that the sam file is possibly very large?

Question 1: Is it better to sort the alignments by read name or by genomic position?

Question 2: Can we create the output as we read the file, or we must first read the entire file?

Answer to Question 1

<u>First proposal by the teacher</u>: since the alignments come in pairs (i.e. two lines) and we need to consider only one of the two lines, it could be better to sort by read name, thus the 2 alignments of each pair will come one after the other and one will be taken while the other will be discarded. Since the two alignments have respectively positive and negative "length of inserts", the easiest to take the alignment with the positive insert length.

<u>Counter proposal by the classroom</u>: We do not need to sort by read name. Since the length of the two alignments is in one case positive and in the other case negative, we can consider only the alignment with the positive value. We can discard the lines with negative values even if they are not next to they corresponding mate.

Answer to Question 2

<u>First proposal by the teacher</u>: create an array of counters, one counter for each genomic position, and initialize each counter to zero. Then, for each mate increase the counters corresponding to the region covered by the insert.

<u>Second proposal by the teacher</u>: using the above method, if an insert is 2000 bases long we will have to repeat 2000 times the increment operation throughout the length of the insert. To make the process more efficient, we can memorize the changes of coverage rather than the coverage itself. Thus, no matter which insert length, we will need only 2 operations: increase the counter at the beginning of the insert and decrease the counter at the end on the insert.

The perl code shown in the box implements this method. Note (see the last few lines) that the coverage needs to be calculated at every position, starting from a value of zero and then adding the positive or negative number found in @genome change.

Counter proposal by Anna Danese: As discussed above (question #1) we do not need to sort the reads according to their name, thus we can sort them according to their position, then we can start at the beginning of the genome and for every position we can dynamically calculate the coverage considering the value of the previous position and the change of value reported in the array of counters.

As we read the sam file, at every genomic

```
#!/usr/bin/perl
die"Sintax: physical.pl samfile genomelen" unless $#ARGV>0; # if no arguments print instructions
@genome change = (0) \times $ARGV[1];
                                                             # initialize genome change, all to 0
open(INFILE, $ARGV[0]);
                                                             # open sam file: INFILE as file handler
while ($line = <INFILE>)
                                                              put in $line the next line of the file
{ if($line =~ /^@/) {next;}
                                                             # if $line starts with @ then skip it
    @item = split("\t", $line);
                                                             # split $line at tab, put results in @item
   if(((\$item[1] \& 3) == 3) \&\& (\$item[8] > 0))
                                                             # both reads align correctly and length > 0
    { $genome change[$item[3]]++;
                                                             # increment start position
       $genome change [ $item[7] + length($item[9]) ]--;
                                                             # decrement end position
close INFILE;
                                                             # the sam file has been fully read
print("fixedStep chrom=genome start=1 step=1 span=1\n");
                                                             # print the heading of the wiggle file
$current coverage=0;
for ($i=0; $i<$ARGV[1]; $i++)
                                                             # output the results
   $current coverage += $genome change[$i];
   print "$current coverage\n";
```

position given by the start of the alignment, we can output the final coverage of the previous genomic position because we know that all the next alignments will be at most starting from the same position and not before. As described above, for each mate pair we have to update the counters indicating the changes of coverage, however, we only need to keep track of the stretch of genome immediately following the current position, where "immediately" means the maximal length that we may expect for an insert. Therefore we do not need to memorize the counters for the whole genome but only for the region spanning from the current position to the maximal length of the insert. This opportunity is very interesting because it would require a fixed amount of memory, no matter what the length of the genome is. The problem is that the position of the array of counters keeps changing together with the current position of the analysis. But certainly there are ways to manage this problem.