

Publishers' page

Publishers' page

Publishers' page

Publishers' page

Dedication Page  
(optional)



## Contents

1.	Working title: Calculations	1
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Introduction . . . . .	2
1.3	Methods . . . . .	2
1.4	Applications . . . . .	2
1.5	Outlook . . . . .	3

## Chapter 1

# Working title: Calculations

Martin R. Hediger<sup>a</sup>, Harm Otten<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Zürich*

<sup>b</sup> *København*

*ma.hed@bluewin.ch 078 725 09 77*

*harm.otten@maxlab.lu.se*

### 1.1 Motivation

Let us imagine two companies A and B. Both companies use very similar technical equipment to carry out a biotechnological process where a chemical reaction is catalyzed by an enzyme. Company A uses an enzyme with a rate constant  $k_A = 1000s^{-1}$  while company B uses an enzyme with  $k_B = 2000s^{-1}$ . Letting all other things be equal, the process of company B will therefore only require half the time to produce one Mole of product compared to the time required for company A. Company B therefore can save energy required to heat up the reaction volume, the commercial implications of this are immediate.

The need for efficient catalysts arises from such an outline.<sup>1</sup> Increasing the performance of enzymes however is still far from

---

<sup>1</sup>We use the terms *enzyme* and *bio-/catalyst* interchangeably.



trivial and forms a growing body of research. What is clear though is that the development of such catalysts is costly, in terms of manpower, material and energy – if it is carried out in the laboratory. A number of companies have in fact formed around this demand: Novozymes (DK), Genzyme (US) or DSM (NL) to name but a few[5].

The laboratory costs can however be saved to a large part if the development is carried out *in silico*. The proof that computational results are as reliable as experimental results has been provided not too long ago[1].

## 1.2 Introduction

We provide an introduction into the topic of enzyme catalysis modeling for interested people from inside and outside of the field.

## 1.3 Methods

A variety of methods has been established for the use to model enzyme catalysis. Depending on the properties of interest, the system is treated differently. Molecular mechanics methods allow to study the structural behavior of the enzyme over a significant time period and provide details about rearrangement of loop motives. The description of chemical reactivity however requires the description of the electronic structure of the system (molecular mechanics models do not allow the description of bond formation and braking processes). In this regime, again a number of specialized methods have become available.

## 1.4 Applications

We have presented recently a number of studies where new functionality has been introduced into an enzyme active site using quantum chemical methods[2, 3, 4].

Rational arguments alone are not sufficient to design new reactivity

in an active site. Put simply, enzymes do not behave in the way the engineer hopes for. Much rather, the only meaningful strategy proves to be to screen a large number of variant candidates for apparent activity of the desired sort. Once these lead candidates have been identified, higher level computational methods and wet-lab experiments can be started to further confirm or dismiss the nature of the candidate. Based on the vast mutational space available, initial *in silico* screening has to be efficient. Hediger *et al.* therefore chose to use semi-empirical methods for the description of the electronic structure of the enzyme-substrate complex.

## 1.5 Outlook



## Bibliography

- [1] Claeysens, F., Harvey, J., Manby, F., Mata, R., Mulholland, A., Ranaghan, K., Schütz, M., Thiel, S., Thiel, W. and Werner, H. (2006). High-Accuracy Computation of Reaction Barriers in Enzymes, *Angewandte Chemie* **118**, 41, pp. 7010–7013.
- [2] Hediger, M. R., De Vico, L., Rannes, J. B., Jäckel, C., Besenmatter, W., Svendsen, A. and Jensen, J. H. (2013). In silico screening of 393 mutants facilitates enzyme engineering of amidase activity in calb, *PeerJ* **1**, p. e145.
- [3] Hediger, M. R., De Vico, L., Svendsen, A., Besenmatter, W. and Jensen, J. H. (2012). A computational methodology to screen activities of enzyme variants, *PLoS ONE* **7**, 12, p. e49849, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049849, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049849>.
- [4] Hediger, M. R., Steinmann, C., De Vico, L. and Jensen, J. H. (2013). A computational method for the systematic screening of reaction barriers in enzymes: searching for bacillus circulans xylanase mutants with greater activity towards a synthetic substrate, *PeerJ* **1**, p. e111.
- [5] Meyer, H.-P., Eichhorn, E., Hanlon, S., Lütz, S., Schürmann, M., Wohlgemuth, R. and Coppolecchia, R. (2013). The use of enzymes in organic synthesis and the life sciences: perspectives from the swiss industrial biocatalysis consortium (sibc), *Catalysis Science & Technology* **3**, 1, pp. 29–40.