

Thm Given X a variety, there is a universal normalization $r: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that

(i) \tilde{X} is normal (each $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathcal{P}}$ is integrally closed)

(ii) r is finite & birational

(iii) $\Phi: Y \rightarrow X$ is dominant, then

$$\begin{array}{c} \Phi: Y \dashrightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \\ \downarrow \text{unique} \quad (\text{implies } r \text{ is unique}) \end{array}$$

(iv) If X is affine/proj, so is \tilde{X} .

Pf. Start with $k[X] \subset k[\tilde{X}] \subset k(X)$

Goal: To show $k[\tilde{X}]$ is a fin. gen. $k[X]$ -module.

Proposition. Suppose $k[X] \subset k(X) \subset L$ $\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{finite field ext.} \\ \text{separable} \end{array}$

Then the integral closure of $k[X]$ in L is a fin. gen. module over $k[X]$.

Pf (Step 1): Assume $k(X)$ is integrally closed in $k(X)$.

Choose a basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ for $L/k(X) = K$. There is a dual basis β_1, \dots, β_n for the trace map

$$\text{tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \beta_j) := \text{tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \beta_j).$$

separable \Leftrightarrow this is non-degenerate.

$$\text{tr}(A, \alpha^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A) = n.$$

Remark 1. $k(A) = L$, due to following. Let

$S = k[X] \setminus 0$. We saw

$$\nearrow \overline{(k[X]_S)} = \overline{k[X]} = L$$

\uparrow
in L

$$\nearrow \overline{(k[X]_S)} = A_S.$$

\uparrow
in L

As a result of this remark, we can multiply $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ by some $f \in k[X]$ to get $f\alpha_i \in A$.

So just assume $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$.

Remark 2. $\text{tr}(a) \in k[X]$ for all $a \in A$.

a is integral over $k[X]$, so a satisfies

a monic poly w/ coeff's in $k[X]$

$$a^m + b_1 a^{m-1} + \dots + b_n = 0.$$

All roots integral over $k[X] \Rightarrow$ the coeff's are, so they belong to $k[X]$.

Then $A \subset \sum B_i k[X]$, B_i dual basis. Why?

Given $a \in A$, write $a = \sum \gamma_j B_j$
 $\uparrow \quad \uparrow$ basis for L/k .
 $\quad \quad \quad \uparrow$
 $\quad \quad \quad$ (in $k[X]$?)

To check this, $\text{tr}(a\alpha_i) = \gamma_i \in A$ by Remark 2.

ii) General case, $k[X]$ not necessarily integrally closed.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{finite} \\
 \swarrow \quad \searrow \\
 k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \subset k[X] \subset A \subset L \\
 \downarrow \\
 \text{noether} \\
 \text{normal}
 \end{array}$$

Since $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ UFD, int. closed, so A is a finite $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ~~is not~~ module.

Now have $k[X] \subset \overline{k[X]} = k(\hat{X}) \subset k(X)$, and

$$r: \hat{X} \rightarrow X.$$

If $Y \rightarrow X$ dom, then

$$k[X] \hookrightarrow k[Y] \text{ (int. closed.)}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 k[Y] & & k(Y) \\
 \uparrow & \nearrow \text{---} & \uparrow \\
 k[X] \subset \overline{k[X]} & & \subset k(X)
 \end{array}$$

Brash. By universality, every variety has a normalization

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \tilde{U}_i \\
 \downarrow \\
 X = \bigcup U_i \\
 \text{affines}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{U}_1 & \text{---} & \tilde{U}_2 \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & \text{this gluing should be} & \\
 & \text{provided by universality.} & \\
 U_1 & \text{---} & U_2
 \end{array}$$