

## Čech resolution

$X$  topological space

$\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$  an open cover of  $X$

Define  $U_{i_0, \dots, i_n} = \bigcap_{k=0}^n U_{i_k}$ . Then if we have a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , can restrict  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_n}}$ .

Def. If  $\mathcal{G}$  a sheaf on  $U \subset X$ , and  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$ , then  $j_*(\mathcal{G})(V) = \mathcal{G}(V \cap U)$  for  $V \subset X$  open. This is called the direct image of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

This allows us to consider  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_n}}$  as a sheaf via the inclusions  $j_{i_0, \dots, i_n}: U_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \hookrightarrow X$ .

Def.  $C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_n} j_{i_0, \dots, i_n,*}(\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_n}})$ , and let

$$d: C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1} \quad \text{by} \quad (ds)_{i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}(V) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (-1)^k s_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_{n+1}}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}} \cap U}$$

Example. Suppose  $\mathcal{U} = \{U, V\}$ . Then if  $i: U \hookrightarrow X$ ,  $j: V \hookrightarrow X$ , and  $k: U \cap V \hookrightarrow X$

$$C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = i_*(\mathcal{F}|_U) \oplus j_*(\mathcal{F}|_V)$$

$$C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = k_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U \cap V})$$

Take  $W$ , open in  $X$ . Let  $s_u \in \mathcal{F}(U \cap W)$ , and  $s_v \in \mathcal{F}(V \cap W)$ .  
 Then  $d(s_u, s_v)(W) = \underbrace{s_u|_{W \cap V}}_{\in \mathcal{F}(U \cap V \cap W)} - \underbrace{s_v|_{U \cap W}}_{\in \mathcal{F}(U \cap V \cap W)}$

So we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d} C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\varepsilon(u) = (u|_U, u|_V) \in C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . This says that to study  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can study it on  $U, V, U \cap V$ . This is the analogue of the Kunneth formula from AT. ■

Def. Čech resolution of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$0 \longrightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

When  $X$  is an algebraic variety,  $\mathcal{U}$  is finite so this resolution also is.

Thm.  $\mathcal{F}$  sheaf. The following is exact.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Def.  $H^p(\Gamma(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))) =: \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is the Čech cohomology.

Example.  $\mathbb{P}^1 = (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}) \cup (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})$   
 $\mathbb{C} \qquad \qquad \mathbb{C}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Remark. Note that  $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \underbrace{H^0(X, \mathcal{F})}_{\text{Grothendieck cohomology}}$ .

Also note that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is flasque,  $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0 = H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$  for  $p > 0$ .

In general, Čech and Grothendieck cohomology not the same. But, take a Čech resolution and injective

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & \searrow & \downarrow \text{dotted} & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \\ & & & & \mathcal{I}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_1 \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

by general nonsense. So we have a map  $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

Th'm. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf on  $X$  such that

$$H^p(\mathcal{U}_{i_0, \dots, i_n}, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \forall p > 0, i_0, \dots, i_n \in \mathcal{I}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

Remark. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finite affine cover of  $X$  an alg. variety, then  $\mathcal{U}_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$  is also affine by Hausdorff property.

So if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a q.c.  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_n}}$  is quasi-coherent.

So Serre  $\Rightarrow h^p(U, \mathcal{F}) = H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ .