

Group cohomology

Γ - (abstract) group

Γ -modules $\rightarrow \underline{\text{Ab}}$

$$M \longrightarrow M^\Gamma = \{m \in M \mid \gamma m = m \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

this is left-exact, giving us its right derived functor ($\& H^0(\Gamma, M) = M^\Gamma$).

Alternative approaches : ① $H^*(B\Gamma)$, local system $B\Gamma$
associated to M
(i.e. a rep of $\pi_1(B\Gamma)$) $\in \mathcal{C}^\Gamma$

② Explicit cochain definitions

$$\begin{aligned} H^i &= Z^i / B^i, \quad Z^i(\Gamma, M) = \{f: \Gamma^{i+1} \rightarrow M \mid df = 0\} \\ B^i &= \{df \mid f: \Gamma^i \rightarrow M\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let G be a profinite group, i.e.

$$\textcircled{i} \quad G = \varprojlim (\text{fin. groups})$$

\textcircled{ii} compact, hausdorff w/ basis of neighborhoods at
1 given by open normal subgroups

Our category of coefficients.

Let M_G = category of discrete G -mods i.e. G -modules
 M s.t. $\forall m \in M$, $\text{stab}_G(m)$ is open in G .

(equivalently, $M = \bigcup_{\substack{\text{open} \\ \text{sg } u \\ \text{of } G}} M^u$)

Ex. K field, $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$, then \bar{K} is a discrete G -mod. If $\alpha \in \bar{K}$, $\text{Stab}(\alpha) = \text{Gal}(K(\alpha)/K(\alpha))$, which is open in G since $K(\alpha)/K$ is finite.

- Basic properties of M_G
- M_G is abelian
 - M_G has enough injectives, i.e. $\forall M \in M_G, \exists$ infinite $I \in M_G$ and $M \hookrightarrow I$,
- G-modules are $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules,
in the trad. sense.
- $\text{Hom}(\cdot, I)$ is exact

Rank: (Discretization) The forgetful functor $M_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules has a right adjoint
(forgetful by forgetting the topological structure)

$$M_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \text{-mods}$$

$$M_G \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}[G] \text{-mod}$$

$$\bigcup_{\substack{U \text{ open} \\ \subset G}} N^U = N^{\text{disc}} \leftarrow N.$$

enforces the discrete condition

$$\text{Hom}(M, N) \simeq \text{Hom}_{M_G} (M, N^{\text{disc}}), \text{ i.e. } \text{adjoint condition}$$

$$M \rightarrow N \quad \text{factors}$$

\downarrow
 $\cong N^{\text{disc}}$

Pf. (enough injectives)

Overview. $\underline{\text{Ab}}$ has enough injectives

↪ $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -mods have enough injectives

↪ If $M \in M_G$ and $m \hookrightarrow I$ w/ I injective
in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules, then $m \hookrightarrow I^{\text{disc}}$
& I^{disc} is injective in M_G .
($\because \text{Hom}_{M_G}(\cdot, I^{\text{disc}}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\cdot, I)$ is exact
b/c $M_G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]$ + forgetful + $\text{Hom}(\cdot; I)$
are exact)

Claim. $\underline{\text{Ab}}$ has enough injectives.

Let $m \in \underline{\text{Ab}}$. For $m \in M$, $\exists \langle m \rangle \hookrightarrow I_m$ for
some injective I_m in $\underline{\text{Ab}}$ (if m is torsion,
take $I_m = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, else take \mathbb{Z}).

By exactness of $\text{Hom}(\cdot, I_m)$, this map
extends.

$$\begin{matrix} \langle m \rangle & \hookrightarrow & I_m \\ \cap & & \uparrow \\ m & \dashrightarrow & \end{matrix}$$

Then $m \xrightarrow{\pi_m f_m} \prod I_m$ embeds m into an
injective. (Exercise: product of injectives is
injective).

(ii) For M_G , we use the following

Construction. Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup.

Define for any discrete H -modules $M \in M_H$

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(M) = \left\{ f: G \rightarrow M \mid \begin{array}{l} f(hg) = h \cdot f(g) \\ \text{continuous} \quad \forall h \in H, \text{ and s.t.} \\ f \text{ is locally constant.} \end{array} \right\}$$

and let G act on $\text{Ind}_H^G(M)$ by $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(gx)$.

Sub-lemma. ① $\text{Ind}_H^G: M_H \rightarrow M_G$, i.e. $\text{Ind}(M)$ is discrete when $M \in M_H$.

② Ind_H^G is exact.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{left adj} \quad [M_G \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} M_H] \\ M_G \xleftarrow[\text{Ind}_H^G]{} M_H \quad \text{right adj.} \end{array}$$

④ For a sequence of closed subgroups $G > H > K$, there is a natural iso. $\text{Ind}_K^G \cong \text{Ind}_H^G \circ \text{Ind}_K^H$.

⑤ If I is injective in M_H , then $\text{Ind}_H^G(I)$ is inj in M_G .

Pf of 1. If $M \in M_H$, need to show $\text{Ind}(M) \in M_G$.

Let $f: G \rightarrow M \in \text{Ind}(M)$. i.e. $\text{Ind}(M) = \bigcup_{\text{open}} \text{Ind}(M)^{\vee}$

(locally const) $\forall g \in G, \exists U_g \ni g \text{ s.t. } f(U_g) = f(g)$

$\rightarrow \exists \text{ open s.t. } V_g \text{ s.t. } g \in V_g \subset U_g \Rightarrow f(gV_g) = f(g) = f(V_g)$

Since G is compact, the cover $\bigcup_{g \in G} \partial V_g = G$

refined to a fin. subcover $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{g_i}$. Let

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{g_i} \quad (\text{so } V \text{ open } \Rightarrow g_i \in V). \text{ Then } \forall v \in V,$$

$$v \cdot f = f.$$

Pf of 2. The tricky part is right exactness.

which follows from

Fact. G profinite group $G \rightarrow H \rightarrow K$, then

$G/K \xrightarrow{\pi} G/H$ admits a continuous

section $s: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ s.t. $s \circ \pi = \text{id}$.

p.f. First treat case $[H : K] < \infty$, so K is

open in H . Then \exists open normal γ / γ

$U \subset G$ s.t. $H \cap U \subset K$. Let $U = \prod_{i=1}^r U_i$ and

define $U_i; H/K \rightarrow U_i; H/H$.

$$u_i; K \xleftarrow{s} u_i; H/H$$

(check: s is well-defined & continuous)

The general case. $(T, s) \geq (T', s')$ if $T \supset T'$

Consider the poset of pairs (T, s) where

$H \supset T \supset K$ and s is a section of $G/T \rightarrow G/H$.

We'll apply Zorn's lemma:

- if $\{(T_i, s_i)\}$ is a totally ordered subset,

define its max by taking $T_\infty = \bigcap T_i$

and appropriate s_∞ gluing s_i 's.

So \exists max element (T, s) of our poset.

claim. $T = K$.

If not $T \supset U \supset K$, and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G/U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G/U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G/T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G/H \\ \downarrow \text{compose} & & \downarrow s & & \downarrow s & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ G/U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G/U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G/T & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G/H \end{array}$$

contradicting maximality.

$\text{Ind}(M) \cong M \otimes \mathbb{Z}[[G]]$ for G finite, and inverse limits are exact

Pf of 5. Use Frob reciprocity, (3).

Sub-lemma $\Rightarrow M_G$ has enough injectives.

If $M \in M_G$, $\exists M \xrightarrow{i} I$ w/~~I~~ injective ab grp.
in $\underline{\text{Ab}}$

and then $M \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_{\underline{\text{Ab}}}^{G_r} I$ } by (5) an injective
 $m \mapsto [g \mapsto i(gm)]$ object of M_G .

Dfn. Since M_G is an abstract category w/ enough injectives, and $M \mapsto M_G$ is a left-exact functor $M_G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ab}}$, we can define $H^i(G_r, M) = i\text{-th right derived functor } M \rightarrow M_G$.
regard as obj in deg 0

i.e. choose a quasi-isomorphism $M \xrightarrow{\sim} I^\bullet$ where I^\bullet is an injective res, and set

$$H^i(G_r, M) = H^i((I^\bullet)^G)$$

$\hookrightarrow (D \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots) \rightarrow (0 \rightarrow (I^1)^G \rightarrow \dots)$

Def. $M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ is a quasi-iso if it induces iso's on cohomology.

The derived functor formalism gives:

- $\forall i \geq 0$, $H^i(G_r, \cdot)$ is a functor $M_G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ab}}$.

For $i = 0$, $H^0(G_r, M) = M_G$.

- For every SES in M_G , $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$,

get a LES

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(G_r, A) \rightarrow H^n(G_r, B) \rightarrow H^n(G_r, C)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta} H^{n+1}(G_r, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

& these LES are natural in the SES, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 & & & & H^n(G_i, C) & \rightarrow & H^{n+1}(G_i, A) \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \rightsquigarrow & \downarrow & \hookrightarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0 & & & & H^n(G_i, C') & \rightarrow & H^{n+1}(G_i, A') \end{array}$$

Summarize these by saying $(H^n(G_i, \cdot), \delta_n)_n$ is a \mathcal{S} -functor.

Def. A \mathcal{S} -functor is universal if for any \mathcal{S} -functor (G_n, ε_n) and natural transf. $H^0 \rightarrow G^0$, $\exists!$ natural trans of $H^n \rightarrow G^n \forall n \geq 0$ commuting w/ boundary maps δ, δ' .

Lemma. $(H^i(G_i, \cdot), \delta_i)$ is a ^{universal} \mathcal{S} -functor.

Pf. Grothendieck observed that a \mathcal{S} -functor (G_i, ε_i) w/ all G_i effaceable for $i \geq 0$ automatically universal.

G_i effaceable means
 $\forall M, \exists M \xrightarrow{\sim} N$ s.t.
 $a_i(m) \xrightarrow{G_i(\alpha)} a_i(n)$ is zero map.

For us, any $M \in \mathcal{M}_G$ embeds $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ into \mathbb{Z} injective, and for all $i \geq 0$, $H^i(G_i, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Rule. To "compute" $H^i(G_i, M)$, can instead use an acyclic resolution

$M \xrightarrow{\text{acyclic}} J^\bullet$ where $H^n(G_i, J^r) = 0 \forall r$ and $H^n \geq 0$.

Now we use universality to make key constructions.

Lemma. (Shapiro) If G closed s/g $M \in \mathcal{M}_H$. Then there is a natural iso.

$$H^i(H, \cdot) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(G, \text{Ind}_H^G(\cdot))$$

Pf. Check that both sides are universal S -functors, w/ a natural iso. in degree zero.

$$\text{On } H^0: M^H \longrightarrow (\text{Ind}_H^G(m))^G$$

$$m \longrightarrow (g \mapsto m)$$

↪ H equivariant
since $m \in M^H$.

We already know $(H^i(H, \cdot), S_i)$ is universal. We need

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} M_H \rightarrow \text{Ab} \\ m \longrightarrow H^i(G, \text{Ind}_H^G m) \end{array} \right)_i \text{ is a univ } S\text{-functor}$$

It is a S -functor b/c Ind is exact & $H^i(G, \cdot)$ is a S -functor. It is effaceable, hence universal, b/c \mathcal{M}_H , let $M \hookrightarrow I$ an inj. embedding in \mathcal{M}_H .

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{Ind}_H^G M \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(I) \xrightarrow{\text{inj. in } \mathcal{M}_G}$$

$$\text{Then, } H^n(G, \text{Ind}_H^G m)$$



$$H^n(G, \text{Ind } I) = 0 \quad \forall n > 0 \text{ gives}$$

effaceability.