

## CONTENTS

1. Outline	2
2. Outline of Class Field Theory	2
2.1. Local Fields	2
2.2. Local Class Field Theory	3
2.3. Weil group of $F$	4
2.4. Relative Weil groups	5
2.5. Global Class Field Theory	5
2.6. Adeles and Ideles	5

## 1. OUTLINE

- (1) Outline of class field theory
- (2) Abelian  $L$ -functions (Hecke, Tate)
- (3) Non-abelian  $L$ -functions (Weil-Deligne group, local  $L$ - and  $\epsilon$ - factors)
- (4) Local Langlands program for  $GL_n$
- (5) Global: automorphic forms and representations

## 2. OUTLINE OF CLASS FIELD THEORY

This is the study of abelian extensions of local/global fields. We first fix some notation. If  $K$  is a field,  $\overline{K}$  will be a separable closure of  $K$ . Also,  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) = \varprojlim_{L/K \text{ finite}} \text{Gal}(L/K)$  (inverse limit). Then this is a profinite group with the Krull topology. Galois theory gives us two bijections:

- (1) Closed subgroups of  $\Gamma_K$  with subfields  $K \subset L \subset \overline{K}$
- (2) Open subgroups correspond to finite extensions  $L/K$

We'll also write  $K^{\text{ab}} \subset \overline{K}$  for the maximal abelian subextension. Then  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K) = \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}} = \Gamma_K / [\Gamma_K, \Gamma_K]$ . Note that  $\overline{K}$  is unique up to a (non-unique) isomorphism. So  $\Gamma_K$  is well-defined up to conjugation, and  $\Gamma_K^{\text{ab}}$  is well-defined.

**2.1. Local Fields.** At the moment, let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field (finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or Laurent series in one variable over a finite field). This has a normalized valuation  $v = v_F : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we get a valuation ring  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_F$ , also the ring of integers. Pick a uniformizer  $\pi = \pi_F$  ( $v(\pi) = 1$ ). Then let  $k = k_F$  be the residue field, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^r$ .

Within  $\overline{F} \subset F^{\text{ab}} \subset F^{\text{ur}}$ , the maximal unramified extension of  $F$ . Within  $\Gamma_F$  we have  $I_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F^{\text{ur}})$ , and within that  $P_F$  the wild inertia group (maximal proper subgroup of  $I_F$ ).

Now to describe the first layer, which tells us about unramified extensions. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_F / I_F \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

given by reduction modulo  $\pi$ . Inside the Galois group we have  $\varphi_q : x \mapsto x^q$ , the arithmetic Frobenius map. It results in infinite confusion to send  $1 \mapsto \varphi_q$ , so it's standard to take  $\text{Frob}_q := \varphi_q^{-1}$ , called the geometric Frobenius. We fix the isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  so that  $\text{Frob}_q \mapsto 1$ .

Now to describe the second layer (the group  $I_F/P_F$ ), which tells us about tamely ramified extensions. Fix  $\pi_n \in \overline{F}$  with  $\pi_n^n = \pi$ . Define

$$t(n) : I_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F^{\text{ur}}) \rightarrow \mu_n(\overline{k}),$$

for  $(n, p) = 1$ , sending

$$\gamma \mapsto \gamma(\pi_n) / \pi_n \pmod{\pi}.$$

Now this is the tame mod  $n$  character, and doesn't actually depend on all the choices we made and is a homomorphism  $I_F \rightarrow \mu_n(\overline{k})$ . Assembling all of these together, we obtain a map

$$I_F \rightarrow \varprojlim_{(n,p)=1} \mu_n(\overline{k}) = \prod_{l \neq p} \varprojlim_{m \geq 1} \mu_{l^m}(\overline{k}) = \mathbb{Z}_l(1)(\overline{k}),$$

and this last one is called the Tate module of  $\bar{k}^\times$ . Each of these is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  but not canonically so. The kernel  $\hat{t}$  of this map is  $\prod_{l \neq p} t_l = P_F$ . Equivalently, the maximal tamely ramified extension of  $F$  is

$$\bigcup_{(n,p)=1} F^{\text{nr}}(\sqrt{n}\pi),$$

which is also just Kummer theory.

*Remark 2.1.* This  $t(n)$  extends to a map  $\Gamma_F \rightarrow \mu_n$ , given by the same formula, although it is not a homomorphism. Explicitly,

$$t(n)(\gamma\delta) = \gamma\delta(\pi_n)/\pi_n = \gamma(\pi_n)/\pi_n \gamma\left(\frac{\delta(\pi_n)}{\pi_n}\right) = t(n)(\gamma)\gamma(t(n)\delta) = t(n)(\delta),$$

where this last equality holds if  $\gamma \in I_F$ , but not in general. This means that  $t(n)$  is a 1-cocycle.

**2.2. Local Class Field Theory.** We'll now just state local class field theory. The first part is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *There is a unique family of continuous homomorphisms  $\text{Art}_F : F^\times \rightarrow \Gamma_F^{\text{ab}}$  with dense image, characterized by*

- (1)  $F^\times \rightarrow \text{Art}_F \Gamma_F^{\text{ab}}$  surjects onto  $\Gamma_F \mathcal{I}_F$  “uniformizers map to geometric Frobenius” (see photo)
- (2) Often called the base-change property: (see other photo)

The second part is the existence theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The inverse of the Artin map  $\text{Art}_F^{-1}$  induces a bijection between open subgroups of  $\Gamma_F^{\text{ab}}$  (finite abelian extensions of  $F$ ) and open subgroups of  $F^\times$  of finite index.*

The final part is that if  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , then for  $x = p^n y \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . Then

$$F^{\text{ab}} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_\infty) = \bigcup \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_n) = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}(\mu_\infty).$$

Then

$$\text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)|_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}} = \text{Frob}_p^n,$$

and

$$\text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)|_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}} = (\zeta_{p^n} \mapsto \zeta_{p^n}^{y \pmod{p^n}}).$$

On the level of finite extensions, you can rephrase the first theorem as: for  $E/F$  a finite Galois extension, we get  $\text{Art}_{E/F} : F^\times / N_{E/F}(E^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(E/F)^{\text{ab}}$ .

Finally, we note that  $\text{Art}_F$  induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_F \simeq \text{im} (I_F \rightarrow \Gamma_F^{\text{ab}}),$$

which sends

$$(1 + \pi \mathcal{O}_F)^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im} (P_F \rightarrow \Gamma_F^{\text{ab}}).$$

Also, this is functorial, namely if  $F \simeq F'$ , and extend it to an isomorphism  $\bar{F} \simeq \bar{F}'$ , which induces an isomorphism  $\Gamma_F \simeq \Gamma_{F'}$  up to conjugacy, calling the abelianization of this isomorphism  $\alpha_*^{\text{ab}}$ , then

$$\alpha_*^{\text{ab}} \circ \text{Art}_F = \text{Art}_{F'} \circ \alpha_*^{\text{ab}}.$$

**2.3. Weil group of  $F$ .** There is also a topological group  $W_F$  (not profinite). Here we take  $F$  to be a non-archimedean local field. It's related to the Galois group by the following. As an abstract group,

$$W_F = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_F \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma|_{F^{\text{nr}}} = \text{Frob}_q^n\}.$$

This contains  $I_F$ . To topologize it, we dictate that  $I_F$  is an open subgroup with profinite topology. So  $W_F$  is a fibred product of topological groups.

(see photo)

We now give some motivation for this group. Then  $\text{Art}_F$  induces an isomorphism of topological groups

$$\text{Art}_F^W : F^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} W_F^{\text{ab}}.$$

Then note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Art}_F : \mathcal{O}_F^\times &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{inertial subgroup of } \Gamma_F^{\text{ab}} \\ F^\times / \mathcal{O}_F^\times &\simeq \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F^{\text{nr}}/F). \end{aligned}$$

Now a comment on the proofs. There are two main proofs.

- (a) Cohomological, see Artin-Tate, Cassels-Frohlich. For  $E/F$  finite and Galois, we want to show that

$$\text{Art } E/F : F^\times / N_{E/F} E^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(E/F)^{\text{ab}}.$$

It proceeds by noting that the left-hand side has a group-cohomological interpretation, i.e. as  $\hat{H}^0(G, E^\times)$  where the hat refers to Tate cohomology. Then we have that for  $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ ,  $G^{\text{ab}} = H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \hat{H}^{-2}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ . The main step is then to show that  $H^2(G, E^\times) = \hat{H}^2(G, E^\times) \simeq \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = H^2(\Gamma_F, \bar{F}^\times)$ , the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(F)$  of  $F$ . Then define  $\text{Art}_{E/F}^{-1}$  to be the product with generator of  $\hat{H}^2(G, E^\times)$ , which is a map  $\hat{H}^{-2}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(G, E^\times)$ . It is then rather formal that this is an isomorphism, and a bonus is that it generalizes to duality theorems, where one looks at  $H^*(G, M)$  for some arbitrary  $G$ -module  $M$ . One issue however is that it is not very explicit, and very much tied to abelian extensions.

- (b) The other approach is with formal groups. Recall that  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ab}} = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}(\mu_{p^\infty}) = \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{nr}}(\text{torsion in } \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)$ , where  $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$  is roughly  $(1 + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})^\times \supset \mu_{p^\infty}$ . This generalizes to any  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $F^{\text{ab}} = F^{\text{nr}}(\text{torsion in } \mathcal{G}_\pi)$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_\pi$  is the ‘‘Lubin-Tate formal group.’’ Reference for this is Iwasawa, and a paper on LCFT by T. Yoshida. The advantage of this is that it is explicit and gives both Artin map and existence theorem. It has a natural generalization to non-abelian extensions. The downside is that it doesn't give the duality theorems.
- (c) Finally, there is also Neukirch's method. Take  $E/F$  abelian and finite. Neukirch's idea is that for  $g \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$ , there is only one possibility for  $\text{Art}_{E/F}^{-1}(g) \in F^\times / N_{E/F} E^\times$ , due to the following lemma. There is only one possibility because if we look at  $\langle g \rangle = \text{Gal}(E/F') \subset \text{Gal}(E/F)$  cyclic,

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a finite  $K/F'$  such that  $K \cap E = F'$ , so  $\text{Gal}(KE/K) \simeq \langle g \rangle$ , and  $KE/K$  is unramified, and we have the diagram in the photo. So we have to have  $g'|_E = g = \text{Frob}_{KE/K}^a$ , and  $\text{Art}_{KE/K}^{-1}(g') = \pi_K^a \pmod{N_{KE/K}(KE^\times)}$ . Hence  $\text{Art}_{E/F}^{-1}(g) = N_{K/F}(\pi_K^a \pmod{N_{E/F}(E^\times)})$ .*

The problem is to show that this doesn't depend on choices and is a homomorphism. But so far we haven't used any number theory, and it's these last two steps that involves the number theory.

For completeness, we should also describe the archimedean setting. If  $F$  is the complex numbers, then  $W_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^\times$ , and  $\text{Art}_{\mathbb{C}}^W$  is the identity map on  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $F$  is the real numbers, then  $\text{Art}_F : \mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $W_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbb{C}^\times, \sigma \mid \sigma^2 = -1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \sigma z \sigma^{-1} = \bar{z} \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \rangle$ . Thus we have the s.e.s.

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 1$$

where we send  $z \mapsto 0, \sigma \mapsto 1$ . Then  $(\text{Art}_{\mathbb{R}}^W)^{-1} : W_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^\times$  by taking  $z \mapsto z\bar{z}, \sigma \mapsto -1$ . While these look ad-hoc, they are not.

**2.4. Relative Weil groups.** Suppose we took  $F$  non-archimedean,  $E/F$  Galois. Define

$$W_{E/F} = \{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/F) \mid \gamma|_{F^{\text{nr}}} = \text{Frob}_q^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = W_F / \overline{[W_E, W_E]},$$

topologized with quotient topology. Then  $W_{\bar{F}/F} = W_F$  and  $W_{F/F} \simeq F^\times$  by local class field theory. Now take  $E/F$  finite. Then we have

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/E) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E^{\text{ab}}/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow 1.$$

$$1 \rightarrow W_E^{\text{ab}} \simeq E^\times \rightarrow W_{E/F} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E/F) \rightarrow 1.$$

So  $\varprojlim E, \varprojlim \text{norm} E^\times = \{1\}$ . So  $\bar{F}^\times$  is not visible in  $W_F = \varprojlim W_{E/F}$ .

We get some equality in the short exact sequences if and only if element of

$$H^2(\text{Gal}(E/F), E^\times) \simeq \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

**2.5. Global Class Field Theory.** By  $K$  being a global field, we mean a number field or the function field of a smooth projective absolutely irreducible curve over a finite field. Let  $\Sigma_K$  be the set of places of  $K$ , and for a number field this can be split into  $\Sigma_{K,\infty}$  the infinite places and  $\Sigma_K^\infty$  the finite places, which are the embeddings into  $\mathbb{C}$  and the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , respectively. For a function field, the places are parameterized by the closed points of  $C$ , which are also the orbits of  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$  under the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Now if we have  $v \in \Sigma_K$  we can consider the inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow K_v$ . Sometimes it's convenient to write  $v|\infty$  to indicate that  $K_v = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $v \in \Sigma_K^\infty$  then write  $\mathcal{O}_v$  for the valuation ring of the completion.

It's convenient to normalize absolute values associated to these valuations. There are two different ways to normalize over  $p$ -adic fields. Suppose  $v$  is non-archimedean, then we have for  $\pi_v$  a uniformizer,  $K_v \supset \mathcal{O}_v \supset \pi_v \mathcal{O}_v$ , and let  $q_v = |\mathcal{O}_v / \pi_v \mathcal{O}_v|$ . Then the normalized absolute value associated to  $v$  is  $|x|_v = q_v^{-v(x)}$ . When  $v$  is real, we take  $|x|_v = |x|$ . When  $v$  is complex, we take  $|x|_v = |x|^2$ . Ultimately, the reason we choose these valuations is that if  $x \in K^\times$ , then

$$\prod_{v \in \Sigma_K} |x|_v = 1.$$

**2.6. Adeles and Ideles.** We write  $\mathbb{A}_K$  for the restricted tensor product over all places of  $K$ . The restricted means that for all but finitely many  $v \in \Sigma_K^\infty$ , an element  $(x_v)_v$ ,  $x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . This is so that  $\mathbb{A}_K$  is locally compact, and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is actually discrete inside  $\mathbb{A}_K$ . Alternative notations for this are

- (1)  $K_\infty \times \hat{K}$ , where  $K_\infty = \mathbb{A}_{K,\infty} = \prod_{v|\infty} K_v = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ , or nothing if  $\text{char } K > 0$ . Also,  $\hat{K} = \mathbb{A}_K^\infty = \sum'_{v|\infty} K_v = \bigcup_{S \subset \Sigma_K^\infty, |S| < \infty} \prod_S K_v \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_K^\infty \setminus S} \mathcal{O}_v$ . Within this,  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K = \prod_{v|\infty} \mathcal{O}_v$ , which is the completion of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is the number field case. More precisely,

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_K = \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{a} = \varprojlim_N \mathcal{O}_K / N\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

- (2)  $\mathbb{A}_{K,\infty} \times \mathbb{A}_K^\infty$ .

The ideles, or  $J_K = \mathbb{A}_K^\times = \prod'_v K_v^\times$ , where almost every entry is in  $\mathcal{O}_v^\times$ .

The topology on the adeles is taken so that  $K_\infty \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}_K$  to be open with the product topology. The topology on the ideles is given in the same way, by taking  $K_\infty^\times \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}_K^\times$  to be open with the product topology. However, this topology on  $J_K$  is not the induced topology given by the inclusion. It is actually the one induced from taking  $J_K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_K \times \mathbb{A}_K$  taking  $x \mapsto (x, x^{-1})$ . This is similar in how we make  $GL_n$  an affine variety.

A basic fact is that  $K^\times \subset J_K$  is a discrete subgroup, and the idele class group is  $C_K = J_K / K^\times$ . This comes with a continuous homomorphism

$$|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}_K} : (x_v)_v \mapsto \prod_{v \in \Sigma_K} |x_v|_v,$$

which defines a map  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}} : C_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$ , with *compact* kernel! This is the conjunction of two theorems: finiteness of the class number and Dirichlet's unit theorem.

Let's look at  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $J_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}^\times \times \prod'_p \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ . Then there exists a unique  $y \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  such that  $\text{sgn}(y) = \text{sgn}(x_\infty)$  and for all  $p$ ,  $v_p(y) = v_p(x_p)$ . We can actually write  $J_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^\times \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times \times \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ , which the product of a discrete and locally compact, so

$$C_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times \times \ker |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

Now  $C_{\mathbb{Q}} \supset \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$  is the maximal connected subgroup and the intersection of all open subgroups containing 1. The other piece is totally disconnected as it's profinite. Also,  $\pi_0(C_K) = C_K / C_K^0$ , so  $\pi_0(C_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\{\mu_n\}_n) / \mathbb{Q})$ . So global class field theory is just a generalization of this to all number fields.

We elaborate on this remark. For  $L/K$  finite Galois,  $v$  a place of  $K$ , place  $w|v$  of  $L$  (for infinite places this just means that  $L \hookrightarrow L_w = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  extends  $K \hookrightarrow K_v$ ). Then we have the decomposition group

$$\text{Gal}(L_w / K_v) \subset \text{Gal}(L / K).$$

If  $L/K$  is abelian, this depends only on  $v$ . Now we can define the global Artin map

$$\text{Art}_{L/K} : J_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K),$$

if  $L/K$  is abelian. Note that if  $x_v \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ , where  $L/K$  is unramified at  $v \in \Sigma_K^\infty$ , then  $\text{Art}_{L_w} K_v(x_v) = 1$ . So we can define for an arbitrary idele,

$$\text{Art}_{L/K}((x_v)_v) = \prod_v \text{Art}_{L_w/K_v}(x_v).$$

Now if we pass to the limit over  $L/K$ , we get

$$\text{Art}_K : J_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}}.$$

As an exercise, check continuity of these maps.

Then we have the following

**Theorem 2.5** (Artin Reciprocity).  $\text{Art}_K(K^\times) = \{1\}$ , so defines a map  $\text{Art}_K : C_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K^{\text{ab}}$ .

Something about something being profinite, so this can't be an isomorphism of topological groups. So we need to look at kernel and image.