Split 2260 Hayward

Ann Arbor, MI 49109

Date: 12 October 2009

To: Rod Johnson, Instructor, University of Michigan

From: Split-Dev

Michael Lee, Developer Robert Steen, Developer Ben Montgomery, Developer Jim Brusstar, Developer

Subject: Scope Document for Split

CC: Elliot Solloway, Instructor, University of Michigan

Version Box

Version	Date and Time	Performed By	Changes Made		
0.1	5 Oct 2009	mzlee, rdsteen	Initial draft – overview,		
	4:30 pm		project management		
0.2	8 Oct 2009	mzlee, rdsteen	Condensed project		
	4:30 pm	definition, requirements			
0.3	9 Oct 2009	benmonty, mzlee, jimbru	Added non functional		
	3:30 pm		requirements		
0.4	11 Oct 2009	mzlee	Fixed formatting and		
	7:00 pm		added project management		
0.5	11 Oct 2009	benmonty	Corrected wording,		
	9:00 pm		removed underlines, added		
			comments		
1.0	12 Oct 2009	mzlee	Merged conflicting project		
	12:40 pm		definition paragraph		

Overview

Today, most people use tabbed web browsers to access information online. Using tabs increases efficiency and allows a user to view web content more effectively; however, the power of tabbed browsing has reached a limit. The amount of information amassed in a browsing session has become too much for a user to easily control. We are proposing a new style of browsing that allows users to crop their browser windows into exactly what they want to see. This document defines in more detail what our project is and how we will accomplish it. The project definition provides background about the project, objectives, and stakeholders; the technical issues cover an analysis of our user base, stricter definitions of our requirements, and a list of open issues in the form of risks and mitigations.

Project Definition

Web browsers have been an ever-evolving form of communication and information distribution. They have evolved from the old days of AOL, with the single box and cascading screens to Internet Explorer, which allowed for many windows in a desktop and now to Firefox, with tabbed browsing. We are now reaching the point of information saturation where none of these models is efficient enough. The biggest problem is that it is not the user who really decides what he or she wants to see; it's the content provider. You may be able choose to look at whatever pages you want, but do you do not have control over the ads, flash, and other distractions. We will create a platform that allows users to decide what they want to see and how they want to see it.

The objective of this project is to create a proof of concept system to demonstrate our technology and its usefulness in the web browser space. It is not to try to actively compete with other web browsers, that market is already saturated. Instead, we wish to show innovation so that we may be able to patent and later develop a better business model. The primary stakeholders of this project are our team members as we will be investing the time, energy, and work into the project. Additionally, the end users will have some stake in our continued development and innovation after our first alpha release. Finally, the University will have a marginal stake in our success as our success leads to furthering the reputation of the University of Michigan.

Technical Issues

User Analysis: Casual Users, Power Users

Casual users want to just have a web browser and may occasionally use the added functionality of being able to split a page. Usually this person will be introduced to the product by a friend (and power user) who will likely set up defaults. The casual user's experience will entail the use of the defaults to check back to a set of initial pages.

Power users are our primary demographic. These are the people who want to get as much out of their time as possible when it comes to using a web browser. They will be the people who often want to reorganize their data; the ones who will want a history of clips and positions. They will be our strongest demographic and will be the set of people who will evangelize our product.

Requirements Definition

This requirements enumeration has been divided into different groups. The numbering scheme uses the following group labels, and all requirements will fit into one of these categories.

Type of Requirement	Label	Description
Normal User	USR	Requirements affecting how the program
		appears to the user
Implementation	IMP	Requirements that provide the program's
		standard functionality
Performance	PERF	Requirements that affect the program's
		performance

Number: IMP-1 Name: Functional Web Browser

Description: Functional Requirement. An application must exist that allows the user to view web pages like other existing web browsers.

Verification Method: Go to at least 5 websites and follow links on those websites to other pages. Run the Acid3 standards test.

Notes: None.

Number: IMP-2 Name: Render Mask

Description: Functional Requirement. The browser must be able to define a render mask for clipping purposes.

Verification Method: Test cases will be used to ensure that a render mask can be defined.

Notes: None.

Number: IMP-3 Name: Browser Plugin

Description: Functional Requirement. Incorporate the technology into other web browsers.

Verification Method: The ability to install the plugin onto an existing browser and use the crop technology.

Notes: Only specified browsers would have this.

Number: IMP-4 Name: Incorporate WebKit

Description: Functional Requirement. Incorporate open source technologies to help our development process and not re-implement a widely available technology

Verification Method: Code base must rely on WebKit.

Notes: None

Number: IMP-5 Name: Adhere to HTML Standards

Description: Functional Requirement. We must adhere to web standards in our render and display. We want to not fragment the web by introducing new HTML tags.

Verification Method: Self enforced. We depend on WebKit to adhere to web standards and will self enforce ourselves to not add new structures.

Notes: None

Number: USR-1 Name: Usable Interface

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The interface provided for the web browser must be considered simple to use.

Verification Method: A Usability study will be performed, where an average score of 7 out of 10 is required for this requirement to be met.

Notes: None.

Number: USR-2 Name: Render Mask Interface

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The browser must have a user-accessible interface for defining a render mask.

Verification Method: A Usability study will be performed, where an average score of 7 out of 10 is required for this requirement to be met.

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-1 **Name:** Availability

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The software must be available to users for download.

Verification Method: The software will be able to be downloaded from some server connected to the internet

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-2 Name: Demonstrability

Description: Non-functional Requirement. It must be possible to successfully demonstrate the capabilities of the browser to interested users.

Verification Method: The development team will demonstrate the project twice over the course of the semester.

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-3 Name: Distributable

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The software must be packaged in a way such that it is easy to transport from computer to computer.

Verification Method: The browser and all other components will be combined and possibly compressed into a single file for distribution.

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-4 | **Name:** Portability

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The browser must be able to run on Microsoft,

Apple, and Linux operating systems.

Verification Method: The development team will run the browser on each of the operating

systems.

Notes: QT and WebKit are portable

Number: PERF-5 | **Name:** Responsiveness

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The Split modifications should not slow the browsing experience noticeably in any way.

Verification Method: Test different browsers on the same computer and use a human to detect any reductions in speed.

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-6 | **Name:** Safety

Description: Non-functional Requirement. Split modifications must not break the browser security model.

Verification Method: Non-functional Requirement. Split modifications must not break the browser security model.

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-7 **Name:** Simplicity

Description: Non-functional Requirement. The average user must be able to quickly pick up and start using the clipping technology.

Verification Method: Perform a usability study where a user begins with no knowledge of the software and is required to perform a set of clipping tasks.

Notes: None.

Number: PERF-8 **Name:** Testability

Description: Non-functional Requirement. Developers must be able to easily write and run tests on the software.

Verification Method: Create use case tests and automated functionality tests

Notes: Both WebKit and QT have built in tests that we can reuse.

Risks

Below we have listed our major risks to the project, our detection method, possible mitigations, and our avoidance plans. Because the technology we are trying to implement is largely unexplored, we do not have very many known risks; however the ones we are aware of are potentially project defining.

Risk Description:	May not have enough time to complete fully functional browser		
Risk Detection:	Periodically compare current status to roadmap		
Risk Mitigation Plan:	Create a browser plugin		
Risk Avoidance:	Weekly status meetings		

Risk Description:	Could compromise browser security model		
Risk Detection:	Ask for an external security review		
Risk Mitigation Plan:	Create a new security model for our browser		
Risk Avoidance:	Do not alter core browser functionality		

Risk Description:	Technology used is not capable of performing required tasks		
Risk Detection:	Exploring the functionality and documentation of Qt and WebKit		
Risk Mitigation Plan:	UI frameworks and web rendering engines are interchangeable		
Risk Avoidance:	Sought professional advice before beginning		

Project Management

Schedule

Our tentative plan is displayed in figure 1. As you can see, we have decided to break down the work items into approximately four-week sprints. We have begun work on our system and are currently on target with our projections.

After our initial research task, we have discovered that there is very little to do in WebKit and most of our time will be spent in UI development using QT. The project breakdown remains the same.

Resources

Every member of our team is a skilled programmer and will, in that capacity, be an invaluable asset. Some members of our team have more specialized backgrounds that will be of assistance. Jim Brusstar and Ben Montgomery have done prior work on user interfaces, which is why they are heading up user interface development. Michael Lee and Robert Steen have experience in

ID	Task Name	Depends on	Start	Finish	Oct 2009 10/4 10/11 10/18 10/25	Nov 2009	Dec 2009	Who
1	Basic Browser		10/1/2009	10/28/2009				Jim , Ben
2	Basic Clipping		10/1/2009	10/28/2009				Michael, Rob
3	Alpha Release	1, 2	10/28/2009	10/28/2009	•			
4	Web Browsing	1	10/29/2009	11/25/2009				Jim , Ben
5	Advanced Clipping	2	10/29/2009	11/25/2009				Michael, Rob
6	Integrate Clipping w/ Browsing	1, 2	10/29/2009	11/25/2009				All
7	Testing	6	11/25/2009	12/11/2009				All
8	Final Release	7	12/11/2009	12/11/2009			4	

Figure 1: Project Roadmap

testing and will aid in verifying that our software is release-quality.

We will utilize a variety of tools to assist in the development process. Standard communications channels will be used - email most heavily. The source code will be managed with Git, a distributed revision control system. We will set up a Trac website to act as a bug tracker and project management system.

For development, we plan on using open source projects to help accelerate our development rate. WebKit is a great project that should suffice for our HTML rendering engine and has an interface for QT, an open source, cross platform UI design framework. By using these projects, we hope to be able to focus more on our goals and less on the basic functionality.

Conclusion

Our project is replacing the current paradigm of browsing. Browsers don't give users enough control over the content they see; users are forced to see the content as the creators intended, but this is not always desirable. By giving our users the ability to split a webpage into separate cropped objects, we provide them with complete customization of the browsing experience. This document explained in detail the main roadmap, work projections, and open issues for us to be able to accomplish this ambitious project. Our project makes heavy use of the open source technologies Qt, a UI framework, and WebKit, a web rendering engine. We have a team of experienced developers and are on track to complete the project by our deadline.