Quantum Speedup on Exhaustive-search Attacks on Cryptosystems

Week 7 Report for Class 75

2017320009 Sangheon Lee 2017320023 Mingyu Cho

May 30, 2020

Table of Contents

- S-DES
- 2 Grover's Algorithm
- Quantum S-DES Oracle
- 4 Quantum S-DES Implementation
- Quantum S-DES Testing
- 6 Current Works and Future Plans


S-DES

S-DES

S-DES

- Simplified DES with 2 rounds
- Structure similar to DES but simplified with 10-bit key and 8-bit plaintext.
- Quantum oracle needs to be reversible, of which S-DES is (normally) not.

Structure of S-DES




Structure of S-DES


Consists of two main parts:

- Key Scheduling, where we generate subkeys from the given key
- Feistel function, where we encrypt the plaintext using the scheduled keys

Structure of S-DES: Key Scheduling



Structure of S-DES: Feistel function



Grover's Algorithm

Overview

- Grover's algorithm can find a specific state satisfying some condition among $N=2^n$ candidates in $O(\sqrt{N})$ time, compared to classical runtime complexity O(N).
- Grover's algorithm exploits qualities of quantum amplitudes to gain advantage of probability seperation.
- \bullet It can brute-force 128-bit symmetric cryptographic key in roughly 2^{64} iterations.

Algorithm

Input:

- A quantum oracle \mathcal{O} which performs the operation $\mathcal{O}|x\rangle=(-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle$, where f(x)=0 for all $0\leq x<2^n$ except x_0 , for which $f(x_0)=1$.
 - Such quantum oracle is viable, and takes O(1) time.
- n qubits initialized to the state |0>

Output: x_0 , in runtime $O(\sqrt{2^n})$ with error rate $O(\frac{1}{2^n})$

Algorithm

Procedure:

- \bullet $|0\rangle^{\otimes n}$ (initial state)
- $2 \ H^{\otimes n} \, |0\rangle^{\otimes n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle = |\psi\rangle \ \text{(Hadamard transform)}$
- $(2 |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| I)\mathcal{O}]^R |\psi\rangle \approx |x_0\rangle$ (Grover iteration for $R \approx \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{2^n}$ times)
- \bullet x_0 (measure)

Grover iteration in a nutshell: negate the amplitude of the desired state, followed by 'diffusion transform' which increases the amplitude of the desired state and lower the others.

Quantum S-DES Oracle

Quantumizing S-DES

- Most parts are permutations or compressions; no problems here.
- Two parts poses a challenge:
- S-Boxes
- 2 Expansion

Dealing with Expansions

- Due to No-cloning theorem, directly copying a qubit is not possible.
- Use XOR(CNOT) operation to copy the information.

Dealing with S-boxes

Consists of Lookup tables: Not ideal for quantum computing


$$S_0 = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \ 3 & 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \hspace{0.5cm} S_1 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \ 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 2 & 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Dealing with S-boxes: Quine-McCluskey Method

- Break the S-Boxes into fundamental and/or/not-gates
- Letting the input bits into S-Boxes ABCD:
 - S0 bit 1: AB'C' + A'B'C + BCD' + ABD + BC'D
 - S0 bit 2: AD' + B'D' + A'BC + ABC'
 - S1 bit 1: AB'C' + ACD + A'CD' + A'BD'
 - S2 bit 2: B'C'D + AB'C + BCD + BC'D'
- Directly calculating this would cause too many ancilla bits to use, i.e. for each and/or operation, one ancilla bit is required.

Dealing with S-boxes: The Brute-force Method

For each possibility in the S-box input, use a circuit similar to this:




...which requires 3 ancilla gubits.



Dealing with S-boxes: The Brute-force Method

Thanks to the Microsoft Q# library, we don't need that much ancilla qubits.



No (explicit) ancilla qubits are required!



Dealing with S-boxes: Combining the two methods?


- Still a hypothetical yet.
- The structure of Quine-McCluskey simplified S-Box is similar to Brute-force
- The number of terms may decrease significantly, and one ancilla bit can definitely be removed.
- Needs further testing...

Initially, 34 explicit qubits were used in total.

- 10 qubits for input key
- 1 qubit for making an encryption oracle
- 8 qubits for (intermediate) plaintext
- 8 qubits for expanded plaintext
- 4 qubits for storing S-Box result
- 3 qubit for S-Box ancilla

S-DES implementation is written in Microsoft Q#. Will it run?

Yes, and no.



After allocating 48GB of RAM, System.Runtime.InteropServices.SEHException was raised, indicating an out-of-memory error.

Reducing the number of qubits was necessary...!

- 10 qubits for input key
- 1 qubit for making an encryption oracle
- 8 qubits for (intermediate) plaintext
- 8 qubits for expanded plaintext
- 4 qubits for storing S-Box result
- 3 qubits for S-Box ancilla

Reducing the number of qubits was necessary...!

- 10 qubits for input key
- 1 qubit for making an encryption oracle
- 8 qubits for (intermediate) plaintext
- 8 qubits for expanded plaintext
- 4 qubits for storing S-Box result
- 3 qubits for S-Box ancilla

We can directly use plaintext qubits to create result qubits from S-Box. After then we can undo all the operations (permutation, etc.) which altered plaintext.

Reducing the number of qubits was necessary...!

- 10 qubits for input key
- 1 qubit for making an encryption oracle
- 8 qubits for (intermediate) plaintext
- 8 qubits for expanded plaintext
- 4 2 qubits for storing S-Box result
- 3 qubits for S-Box ancilla

We can directly use plaintext qubits to create result qubits from S-Box. After then we can undo all the operations (permutation, etc.) which altered plaintext.

Additionally, each S-Box operation is independent. Thus we can reuse S-box result gubits after re-initialization.

Reducing the number of qubits was necessary...!

- 10 qubits for input key
- 1 qubit for making an encryption oracle
- 8 qubits for (intermediate) plaintext
- 8 qubits for expanded plaintext
- 4 2 qubits for storing S-Box result
- 3 qubits for S-Box ancilla

We can directly use plaintext qubits to create result qubits from S-Box. After then we can undo all the operations (permutation, *etc.*) which altered plaintext.

Additionally, each S-Box operation is independent. Thus we can reuse S-box result qubits after re-initialization.

Finally, redundant S-Box ancilla qubits were removed.



However, applying Grover's was not simple as it looked

- The oracle should be adjoint, but original version includes measurement (in result ciphertext and S-Box)
- How to create an oracle qubit rather than measuring the result ciphertext?
 - perform CNOT gate 8 times (with 7 more qubits) : infeasible
 - Microsoft Q#'s Controlled functor: doable
- Similar to S-Box Controlling
- S-Box also required measurement initially, but change to forementioned circuit

Result: reversible S-DES oracle.


Expected key matched with original key (with runtime 19 min 31 sec, performing each S-DES encryption within 45s on average).

Quantum S-DES Testing

Prerequisites

- Let SDES(P, K) = C be a function s.t. encrypting plaintext P with S-DES and key K yields C.
- Let $f: \{0,1\}^8 \times \{0,1\}^8 \to \mathcal{P}(\{0,1\}^{10})$ be a function such that $f(P,C) = \{K \in \{0,1\}^{10} \mid SDES(P,K) = C\}.$
- Since key size is 4x of cipher size, we guessed that for arbitrary P and C, |f(P,C)| is likely to be 4.

Prerequisites: Key Size



Quantum S-DES Testing : Testing with $\#\mathsf{Key} = 1$

```
If (P, C) = (10100100, 00110011). Then f(P, C) = \{1101100110\}.
```

- Program yielded correct key.
- By dumping key qubits, we can observe the probability of each basis.
 - At first, the probability of answer basis is 0.008766 while the others are 0.000969.
 - The gap widens next turn: 0.024224 and 0.000954.
 - Ultimately it becomes 0.999461 and 0.000001 (at 25th iteration).

Quantum S-DES Testing : Testing with #Key = 4

If (P, C) = (11000111, 00010100). Then |f(P, C)| = 4.

- Program yielded wrong key.
- By dumping key qubits, we can observe the probability of each basis.
 - At first, the probability of answer basis is 0.008698 while the others are 0.000946.
 - The gap widens next turn: 0.023659 and 0.000888.
 - It peaks at 12th iteration: 0.249987 and 0.000000.
 - However it goes back next turn: 0.246547 and 0.000014
 - Ultimately the probability of answer basis becomes lower than the others: 0.000575 and 0.000978.
- Although the algorithm and the implementation is correct, what happened here?

Quantum S-DES Testing: What Went Wrong?

- When running Grover's Algorithm, there is a number of desired steps, say n.
- If we overshoot that number of step, the probability of basis decreases!
- On the 2n-th step, the probability becomes all the same for each of the cases again, forming a cycle.

Current Works and Future Plans

Work in Progress(Mingyu Cho)

- Implemented a non-quantum S-DES(in python) for testing the quantum version.
- Implemented Brute-force attack on S-DES and developed a code for keycount for (plaintext, ciphertext) pair.
- Started preliminary analysis on the gate applications
- Looking for possible speedups on the quantum side to aid the simulation

Work in Progress(Sangheon Lee)

- Possibly reduce some quantum gates
- Analyze complexity of each gate
- Search for internal dumping library

Future Plans

- Construct and test S-DES and apply Grover's.
 - Since S-DES consists of various components, all of these must be implemented carefully and in order
- Hope to import Microsoft Q# implementation to qiskit (IBM Quantum Experience)
- Reduce complexity of quantum gates if possible (as Prof. Hong suggested)