

# Introduction to Algorithms

## Chapter 9 : Medians and Order Statistics

XiangYang Li and Haisheng Tan<sup>1</sup>

School of Computer Science and Technology  
University of Science and Technology of China (USTC)

Fall Semester 2023

# Outline of Topics

## 9.1 Minimum and Maximum

## 9.2 Selection in Expected Linear Time

Overview

Analysis

## 9.3 Selection in Worst-case Linear Time

Overview

Analysis

# Selection Problem

- ▶ This chapter addresses the problem of selecting the  $i$ -th order statistic from a set of  $n$  **distinct** numbers. We formally specify the selection problem as follows:

**Input:** A set  $A$  of  $n$  (distinct) numbers and an integer  $i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Output:** The element  $x \in A$  that is larger than exactly  $i - 1$  other elements of  $A$ .

# Minimum and Maximum

- ▶ To determine the minimum of a set of  $n$  elements, a lower bound of comparisons is  $n - 1$ .
- ▶ The following procedure selects the minimum from the array  $A$ , where  $A.length = n$ .

MINIMUM( $A$ )

```
1:  $min = A[1]$   
2: for  $i = 2$  to  $A.length$  do  
3:   if  $min > A[i]$  then  
4:      $min = A[i]$   
5: return  $min$ 
```

# Simultaneous Minimum and Maximum

- ▶ In some applications, we must find **both the minimum and the maximum** of a set of  $n$  elements.
- ▶ **A simple solution:** find the minimum and maximum **independently**, using  $n - 1$  comparisons for each, for a total of  $2n - 2$  comparisons.
- ▶ In fact, we can find both the minimum and the maximum using at most  $3\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  comparisons.

# Simultaneous Minimum and Maximum

MAX-MIN( $A$ )

```
1: if  $A[1] > A[2]$  then  $min = A[2], max = A[1]$ 
2:           else  $min = A[1], max = A[2]$ 
3: for  $i = 2$  to  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  do
4:   if  $A[2i - 1] > A[2i]$ 
5:     then if  $A[2i] < min$  then  $min = A[2i]$ 
6:       if  $A[2i - 1] > max$  then  $max = A[2i - 1]$ 
7:     else if  $A[2i - 1] < min$  then  $min = A[2i - 1]$ 
8:       if  $A[2i] > max$  then  $max = A[2i]$ 
9: if  $n \neq 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  then if  $A[n] < min$  then  $min = A[n]$ 
10:    if  $A[n] > max$  then  $max = A[n]$ 
11: return  $(min, max)$ 
```

# Simultaneous Minimum and Maximum

- ▶ Total number of comparisons:

If  $n$  is odd, then we perform  $3\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  comparisons. If  $n$  is even, we perform 1 initial comparison followed by  $3(n-2)/2$  comparisons, for a total of  $3n/2 - 2$ . Thus, in either case, the total number of comparisons is at most  $3\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

# Selection in Expected Linear Time

- ▶ A divide-and-conquer algorithm for the selection problem: **RANDOMIZED-SELECT**.
- ▶ The idea is to partition the input array recursively (as in **quick-sort**).
- ▶ The difference is that quick-sort recursively processes both sides of the partition, but **RANDOMIZED-SELECT** **only works on one side of the partition**.
- ▶ Quick-sort has an expected running time of  $\Theta(n \log n)$ , but the expected time of **RANDOMIZED-SELECT** is  $\Theta(n)$ .



# RANDOMIZED-SELECT

RANDOMIZED-SELECT( $A, p, r, i$ )

- 1: **if**  $p == r$  **then**
- 2:     **return**  $A[p]$
- 3:  $q = \text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
- 4:  $k = q - p + 1$
- 5: **if**  $i == k$  **then**
- 6:     **return**  $A[q]$  // the pivot value is the answer
- 7: **if**  $i < k$  **then**
- 8:     **return** RANDOMIZED-SELECT( $A, p, q - 1, i$ )
- 9: **else**
- 10:    **return** RANDOMIZED-SELECT( $A, q + 1, r, i - k$ )

# RANDOMIZED-SELECT - Analysis

- ▶ The worst-case running time for RANDOMIZED-SELECT is  $\Theta(n^2)$ , even to find the minimum, because we could be extremely unlucky and always partition around the largest remaining element, and partitioning takes  $\Theta(n)$  time.
- ▶ The expected running time for RANDOMIZED-SELECT is  $\Theta(n)$ .

# RANDOMIZED-SELECT - Analysis

- ▶ The time required by RANDOMIZED-SELECT on an input array  $A[p \dots r]$  of  $n$  elements is denoted by  $T(n)$ .
- ▶ We define indicator random variables  $X_k$  where  $X_k = I\{\text{the subarray } A[p \dots q] \text{ has exactly } k \text{ elements}\}$ . So we have  $E[X_k] = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $X_k$  has the value 1 for exactly one value of  $k$ , and it is 0 for all other  $k$ . When  $X_k = 1$ , two subarrays on which we might recurse have sizes  $k - 1$  and  $n - k$

# RANDOMIZED-SELECT - Analysis

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n X_k (T(\max(k-1, n-k)) + O(n)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n X_k T(\max(k-1, n-k)) + O(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &\leq E\left[\sum_{k=1}^n X_k T(\max(k-1, n-k)) + O(n)\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k T(\max(k-1, n-k))] + O(n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E[X_k] E[T(\max(k-1, n-k))] + O(n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} E[T(\max(k-1, n-k))] + O(n) \end{aligned}$$

# RANDOMIZED-SELECT - Analysis

$$\max(k-1, n-k) = \begin{cases} k-1 & \text{if } k > \lceil n/2 \rceil, \\ n-k & \text{if } k \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil \end{cases}$$

$$E[T(n)] \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lceil n/2 \rceil}^{n-1} E(T(k)) + O(n)$$

- Assume that  $T(n) \leq cn$  for some constant  $c$  that satisfies the initial conditions of the recurrence. Pick a constant  $a$  such that the function described by the  $O(n)$  term above (which describes the non-recursive component of the running time of the algorithm) is bounded from above by  $an$  for all  $n > 0$ .

# RANDOMIZED-SELECT - Analysis

$$\begin{aligned} E[T(n)] &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + an \\ &= \frac{2c}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} k \right) + an \\ &= \frac{2c}{n} \left( \frac{(n-1)n}{2} - \frac{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{2} \right) + an \\ &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \left( \frac{(n-1)n}{2} - \frac{(n/2 - 2)(n/2 - 1)}{2} \right) + an \\ &= c \left( \frac{3n}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{n} \right) + an \\ &\leq \frac{3cn}{4} + \frac{c}{2} + an = cn - \left( \frac{cn}{4} - \frac{c}{2} - an \right) \end{aligned}$$

# RANDOMIZED-SELECT - Analysis

- ▶ For sufficiently large  $n$ , we have

$$n\left(\frac{c}{4} - a\right) \geq \frac{c}{2}$$

- ▶ As long as we choose the constant  $c$  so that  $c/4 - a > 0$ , i.e.,  $c > 4a$ , we can divide both sides by  $c/4 - a$ , giving

$$n \geq \frac{c/2}{c/4 - a} = \frac{2c}{c - 4a}$$

- ▶ If we assume that  $T(n) = O(1)$  for  $n < \frac{2c}{c-4a}$ , we have  $T(n) = O(n)$ .
- ▶ So any order statistic, and in particular the median, can be determined on average in linear time.

## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time

- ▶ We now examine a selection algorithm whose running time is  $O(n)$  in the **worst case**. Like **RANDOMIZED-SELECT**, the algorithm **SELECT** finds the desired element by recursively partitioning the input array.
- ▶ The **SELECT** algorithm determines the  $i$ th smallest of an input array of  $n > 1$  distinct elements by executing the following steps. (If  $n = 1$ , then **SELECT** merely returns its only input value as the  $i$ th smallest.)



## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time

- ▶ 1. Divide the  $n$  elements of the input array into  $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$  groups of 5 elements each and at most one group made up of the remaining  $n \bmod 5$  elements.
- ▶ 2. Find the median of each of the  $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$  groups.
- ▶ 3. Use **SELECT** recursively to find the median  $x$  of the  $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$  medians found in step 2.
- ▶ 4. Partition the input array around the median-of-medians  $x$  using the modified version of **PARTITION**. So that  $x$  is the  $k$ th smallest element and there are  $n - k$  elements on the high side and  $k - 1$  elements on the low side.
- ▶ 5. If  $i = k$ , then return  $x$ . Otherwise, use **SELECT** recursively to find the  $i$ th smallest element on the low side if  $i < k$ , or the  $(i - k)$ th smallest element on the high side if  $i > k$ .

## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time - Analysis

- ▶ To analyze the running time of **SELECT**, we first determine a lower bound on the number of elements that are greater than the partitioning element  $x$ .
- ▶ At least half of the  $\lceil n/5 \rceil$  groups contribute 3 elements that are greater than  $x$ , except for the one group that has fewer than 5 elements if 5 does not divide  $n$  exactly, and the one group containing  $x$  itself. So the number of elements greater than  $x$  is at least

$$3\left(\left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \lceil \frac{n}{5} \rceil \right\rceil - 2\right) \geq \frac{3n}{10} - 6$$

- ▶ So in the worst case, **SELECT** is called recursively on at most  $\frac{7n}{10} + 6$  elements in step 5.

## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time - Analysis

- ▶ Steps 1, 2, and 4 take  $O(n)$  time.
- ▶ Step 3 takes time  $T(\lceil n/5 \rceil)$ , and step 5 takes time at most  $T(7n/10 + 6)$ , assuming that  $T$  is monotonically increasing
- ▶ Assume that any input of 140 or fewer elements requires  $O(1)$  time.
- ▶ So we have the recurrence

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n \leq 140, \\ T(\lceil n/5 \rceil) + T(7n/10 + 6) + O(n) & \text{if } n > 140. \end{cases}$$

## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time - Analysis

- ▶ Assuming that  $T(n) \leq cn$  for some suitably large constant  $c$  and all  $n \leq 140$ .
- ▶ Pick a constant  $a$  such that the function described by the  $O(n)$  term above is bounded above by  $an$  for all  $n > 0$ .
- ▶ So we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq c\lceil n/5 \rceil + c(7n/10 + 6) + an \\ &\leq cn/5 + c + 7cn/10 + 6c + an \\ &= 9cn/10 + 7c + an \\ &= cn + (-cn/10 + 7c + an) \end{aligned}$$

## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time - Analysis

- ▶ Thus  $T(n)$  is at most  $cn$  if  $(-cn/10 + 7c + an \leq 0)$

$$c \geq 10a(n/(n-70)) \text{ when } n > 70$$

Because  $n \geq 140$        $n/(n-70) \leq 2$

So choosing  $c \geq 20a$  will satisfy inequality.

- ▶ The worst-case running time of **SELECT** is therefore linear.
- ▶ The algorithm is still correct if each group has  $r$  elements where  $r$  is odd and is not less than 5.

## Selection in Worst-case Linear Time - Analysis

- ▶ Sorting requires  $\Omega(n \log n)$  time in the comparison model, even on average, and the linear-time sorting algorithms in Chapter 8 make assumptions about the input.
- ▶ But the linear-time selection algorithms in this chapter do not require any assumptions about the input.
- ▶ The running time is linear because these algorithms do not sort.