

# Problem Statement 1: Multi-AI Agent System for Zero shot Stance Detection

## Overview

Understanding whether an author supports, opposes, or remains neutral on a topic is crucial for applications such as media monitoring and political analysis. This challenge involves building a stance detection system using a multi-agent approach where different AI agents work together to analyze and determine stance.

## Requirements

1. Create a system of specialized AI agents that collaborate to determine stance:
  - One agent focuses on sentiment analysis.
  - Another identifies argumentative structures.
  - A third detects subtle linguistic signals of stance.
  - A coordinator agent synthesizes these insights.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text:** A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic:** A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: **"Favor," "Against," or "Neutral."**

## Example

**Text:** "The renewable energy subsidies may cost more upfront, but they're essential for transitioning to a sustainable future and ultimately saving money long-term."

**Topic:** "Renewable energy subsidies"

**Expected Output:** "Favor"

**Reasoning:** Multiple agents could identify the acknowledgment of a drawback but the stronger emphasis on benefits and necessity, indicating overall favor.

Ref: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2310.10467>, <https://github.com/tsinghua-fib-lab/COLA>

---

## Problem Statement 2: Mini GRU Implementation for Stance Detection

### Overview

Social media platforms, news organizations, and market researchers need automated tools to determine the stance expressed in text. The challenge here is to build a stance detection system using Gated Recurrent Units (GRU) that can efficiently process sequences of text to determine stance toward a specific topic.

### Requirements

1. Implement a MinGRU-based neural network architecture that:
  - Efficiently captures sequential dependencies in text.
  - Incorporates topic information effectively into the model.
  - Handles variable-length inputs.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text:** A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic:** A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: "**Favor**," "**Against**," or "**Neutral**."

### Example

**Text:** "Despite what some activists claim, the proposed data privacy regulation would stifle innovation and create unnecessary bureaucratic hurdles for startups."

**Topic:** "Data privacy regulation"

**Expected Output:** "Against"

**Reasoning:** The GRU should capture the negative framing toward the regulation despite the initial acknowledgment of opposing viewpoints.

References : <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2410.01201>

---

# Problem Statement 3: Mini LSTM Implementation for Stance Detection

## Overview

Stance detection is essential for content moderation, brand monitoring, and public opinion analysis. This challenge involves developing a stance detection system using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks to detect both explicit and implicit stance signals in text.

## Requirements

1. Design an mini LSTM-based architecture that:
  - Effectively learns long-range dependencies in text.
  - Handles the relationship between text and topic.
  - Manages the challenge of implicit stance detection.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text:** A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic:** A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: "**Favor**," "**Against**," or "**Neutral**."

## Example

**Text:** "The company announced its new AI features yesterday. The demo looked impressive, though there's always concern about how these technologies will impact jobs and privacy."

**Topic:** "Artificial intelligence"

**Expected Output:** "Neutral"

**Reasoning:** The min LSTM should identify the balanced presentation of both positive aspects ("impressive") and concerns, indicating a neutral stance rather than clear favor or against.

References : <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2410.01201>

# Problem Statement 4: Chain-of-Thought Prompting for Zero Shot Stance Detection

## Overview

Zero-shot stance detection often struggles with implicit reasoning and target generalization. This challenge involves developing a ZSSD model using **Chain-of-Thought (CoT) prompting**, enabling step-by-step reasoning to improve stance classification.

## Requirements

1. Implement a **Chain-of-Thought (CoT) prompting** mechanism that:
  - Generates **intermediate reasoning steps** before predicting stance.
  - Enhances stance generalization for **unseen topics**.
  - Uses **few-shot or zero-shot prompting** to improve adaptability.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text:** A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic:** A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: **"Favor," "Against," or "Neutral."**

## Example

**Text:** "Electric vehicles reduce carbon emissions significantly, making them the future of transportation."

**Topic:** "Fossil fuels"

**Expected Output:** "Against"

**Reasoning:** The CoT model identifies the positive stance toward electric vehicles, which implies a negative stance towards fossil fuels, leading to an "Against" classification.

---

# Problem Statement 5: Instruction-Finetuned Zero Shot Stance Detection

## Overview

Traditional fine-tuning struggles with zero-shot stance generalization. This challenge involves developing a stance detection model using **instruction fine-tuning**, where a model learns from diverse stance-related instructions rather than labeled examples.

## Requirements

1. Train a **pretrained model (e.g., FLAN-T5, LLaMA 2)** with **stance detection-specific instructions**, including:
  - **Meta-task learning** from related NLP tasks (entailment, sentiment analysis, fact-checking).
  - **Natural language task descriptions** to improve target adaptability.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text:** A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic:** A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: **"Favor," "Against," or "Neutral."**

## Example

**Text:** "The proposed tax cuts will help small businesses thrive."

**Topic:** "Corporate Taxation"

**Expected Output:** "Favor"

**Reasoning:** The instruction-tuned model understands the relation between tax cuts and small business growth, identifying a "Favor" stance.

# Problem Statement 6: Alignment-Tuned Zero Shot Stance Detection

## with Contrastive Learning

### Overview

Zero-shot stance detection models often fail to align with **human reasoning** due to lack of explicit supervision. This challenge involves using **contrastive learning and Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF)** to improve stance consistency.

### Requirements

1. Train a **contrastive stance model** that:
  - Uses **contrastive loss** to improve target-awareness in zero-shot settings.
  - Fine-tunes using **human-annotated stance feedback** via **RLHF**.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text**: A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic**: A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: **"Favor," "Against," or "Neutral."**

### Example

**Text**: "Government subsidies for clean energy projects should be increased to combat climate change."

**Topic**: "Renewable Energy"

**Expected Output**: "Favor"

**Reasoning**: The contrastive model aligns with human reasoning by distinguishing support for clean energy from opposition to fossil fuels, classifying the stance as "Favor."

References “: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2212.10560>

# Problem Statement 7: Tree-of-Counterfactual Prompting for Zero Shot Stance Detection

## Overview

Traditional stance detection lacks counterfactual reasoning, making it difficult to detect stance in complex scenarios. This challenge involves using **Tree-of-Counterfactual (ToC) Prompting**, where the model generates hypothetical counterarguments to improve zero-shot stance detection.

## Requirements

1. Implement a **Tree-of-Counterfactual Prompting (ToC) model** that:
  - Generates **counterfactual versions** of the input text.
  - Uses **self-reflection prompting** to refine stance classification.
  - Applies **entailment-based stance verification** for improved accuracy.
2. Your system must accept:
  - **Text**: A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic**: A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: "**Favor**," "**Against**," or "**Neutral**."

## Example

**Text**: "Cryptocurrencies provide financial freedom by reducing reliance on traditional banking institutions."

**Topic**: "Decentralized Finance"

**Expected Output**: "Favor"

**Reasoning**: The model generates a counterfactual version, such as "Cryptocurrencies lead to financial instability due to lack of regulation." By comparing the original and counterfactual claims, the system correctly classifies the stance as "Favor."

<https://aclanthology.org/2024.acl-long.49.pdf>

# Problem Statement 8: Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) for ZSSD

## Overview

Zero-shot stance detection often fails due to **lack of explicit contextual knowledge**. This challenge involves implementing a **Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) model**, which retrieves supporting information before stance classification.

## Requirements

1. Develop a **RAG-based ZSSD model** that:
  - Retrieves **relevant documents** from Wikipedia, news archives, or domain-specific corpora.
  - Uses **cross-attention mechanisms** to incorporate external knowledge into stance prediction.
  - Generates **factually grounded explanations** before classifying stance.
2. The system must accept:
  - **Text**: A statement, comment, or passage to analyze.
  - **Topic**: A keyphrase representing the subject of interest.
3. The output must be one classification: **"Favor," "Against," or "Neutral."**

## Example

**Text**: "Banning plastic bags is necessary to reduce environmental damage and protect marine life."

**Topic**: "Plastic Ban"

**Expected Output**: "Favor"

**Reasoning**: The RAG model retrieves **scientific studies and environmental policies** supporting the plastic ban, reinforcing the "Favor" classification.



## **Problem Statement 9: AI-Driven Precision Diagnostics for Resource-Limited Settings**

### **Overview:**

Many resource-limited healthcare settings lack affordable diagnostic tools, delaying the early detection of diseases such as diabetes. The challenge is to design an AI-powered risk prediction system that integrates secure and interoperable health data logging, ensuring privacy and explainability in rural environments.

### **Requirements:**

1. Develop an AI-driven health diagnostics system that:
  - Predicts disease risk (e.g., diabetes) using clinical and environmental data.
  - Generates explainable outputs for healthcare workers.
  - Supports secure data logging to maintain patient privacy.
  - Works offline on low-cost devices ( $\leq$  ₹2,000).

### **Example Use Case:**

A rural healthcare worker uses the AI model to assess diabetes risk in patients using a low-cost mobile device, receiving personalized health recommendations for early intervention.

## **Problem Statement 10: AI-Powered Vernacular Chatbots for Low-Cost Diagnostics**

### **Overview:**

Healthcare services in rural areas face infrastructure limitations and language barriers, making patient communication and symptom triage difficult. This challenge involves developing an AI-powered vernacular chatbot that assists in symptom analysis, patient education, and diagnostic recommendations for low-resource healthcare settings.

### **Requirements:**

1. Develop an AI-powered chatbot that:
  - Operates in multiple regional languages.
  - Provides symptom-based triage and patient guidance.
  - Works on low-cost mobile devices with minimal infrastructure.
  - Ensures secure data management and patient privacy.

### **Example Use Case:**

A farmer in a remote village reports symptoms to the chatbot in his native language. The system provides symptom analysis and recommends seeking medical attention, ensuring faster access to healthcare advice.

## **Problem Statement 11: AI-Optimized Genomic Data Pipelines for Indian Population Diversity**

### **Overview:**

India's vast genetic diversity is underrepresented in global genomic datasets, reducing the impact of precision medicine. This challenge focuses on developing a secure AI-driven genomic data pipeline that integrates clinical and genetic information, ensuring data privacy, interoperability, and real-world applicability in healthcare settings.

### **Requirements:**

1. Develop an AI-powered genomic data pipeline that:
  - Integrates clinical and genomic datasets for disease risk prediction.
  - Ensures privacy and security in data sharing.
  - Supports seamless interoperability among healthcare institutions.
  - Addresses infrastructure challenges in low-resource settings.

### **Example Use Case:**

A genomics research lab in India uses the AI-powered pipeline to analyze genetic markers for diabetes and heart disease, allowing better personalized healthcare treatments.

## **Problem Statement 12: Secure Blockchain-Enabled Health Data Exchange**

### **Overview:**

Health data exchange faces security risks, interoperability issues, and real-time accessibility challenges, especially in low-resource healthcare environments. This problem involves building a blockchain-powered health data exchange system that ensures secure, tamper-proof, and AI-assisted health record management.

### **Requirements:**

1. Develop a blockchain-powered health data system that:
  - Ensures tamper-proof patient data logging.
  - Uses AI for real-time anomaly detection and data integrity monitoring.
  - Supports encrypted health data sharing across institutions.
  - Optimized for low-resource healthcare settings.

### **Example Use Case:**

A government hospital network uses the system to securely share patient health data across multiple hospitals while detecting fraudulent activities, ensuring better patient care and privacy.