Sarcasm Detection (Project Progress)

Neeraj Aggarwal(noa2@illinois.edu), Samarth Keshari(keshari2@illinois.edu), Rishi Wadhwa (rishiw2@illinois.edu)

Progress

As part of the project work we have used both Machine Learning and Deep Learning approaches to solve the problem. Based on our analysis we found that among different machine learning algorithms, **Random Forest Classifier** performed best after some hyperparameter tuning. Below are metrics obtained after running different algorithms.

| Approach | Algorithm | Precision | Recall | F1 |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Machine Learning | LogisticRegression | 0.672395273899 0333 | 0.69555555 5555556 | 0.6837793555434188 |
| Machine Learning | SGDClassifier | 0.676282051282 0513 | 0.703333333 3333334 | 0.6895424836601308 |
| Machine Learning | LinearSVC | 0.665263157894 7369 | 0.702222222 2222222 | 0.6832432432432433 |
| Machine Learning | MLPClassifier | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Machine Learning | RandomForest Classifier | 0.642482517482 5175 | 0.816666666 6666667 | 0.7191780821917808 |

After this, we decided to change the threshold in which we determined whether the tweet was sarcastic or not, by lowering the confidence level necessary.

| Threshold | F1 | | |
|-----------|--------------------|--|--|
| 0.5 | 0.7191780821917808 | | |
| 0.4 | 0.7126436781609196 | | |
| 0.48 | 0.7265952491849093 | | |
| 0.46 | 0.7231386535889435 | | |
| 0.44 | 0.7187904967602592 | | |

From this, we found the RandomForestClassifier with **1000 trees** and **0.48 threshold**. Eventually, the Deep Learning based approach gave the best performance results.

| Approach | Algorithm | Precision | Recall | F1 |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| Deep Learning | Convolutional Neural Networks | 0.6227867590 | 0.89888888 | 0.7357889949977261 |

Both the tuned Random Forest(Machine Learning) and Convolutional Neural Network(Deep Learning) are able to get F1 scores above the baseline of 0.723.

Remaining Tasks

We already crossed the baseline, but if we have time we could explore approaches in Deep Learning and improve CNN by tuning the hyperparameters. We could also explore different tokenization techniques and draw different insights from the tweets. For example, tokening and separating emojis and hashtags may allow us to bring significant improvements to sarcasm detection.

Challenges and Issues

None.