A. Type of Facility Reviewed ☐ ICE Service Processing Center	Estimated Man-days Per Year:		
ICE Contract Detention Facility			
ICE Intergovernmental Service Agreement	G. Accreditation Certificates		
202 Intergover mineral per vice rigited ment	List all State or National Accreditation[s] received:		
B. Current Inspection	American Correctional Association (ACA)		
Type of Inspection	Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]		
☐ Field Office ☐ HQ Inspection			
Date[s] of Facility Review	H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)		
11/14/2018 - 11/16/2018	The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding		
	Class Action Order		
C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review	The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending		
Date[s] of Last Facility Review	Major Litigation Life/Safety Issues		
12/5/2017 - 12/7/2017	Check if None.		
Previous Rating ☐ Superior ☐ Good ☐ Acceptable ☐ Deficient ☐ At-Risk	I. Facility History		
5 1. 1. A. A. M.	Date Built		
D. Name and Location of Facility			
Name Shorburno County Ioil	Date Last Remodeled or Upgraded		
Sherburne County Jail Address (Street and Name)			
13880 Business Center Drive Suite 200	Date New Construction / Bed space Added		
City, State and Zip Code			
Elk River, MN 55330	Future Construction Planned		
County	Date:		
Sherburne	Current Bed space Future Bed space (# New Beds only)		
Name and Title of Chief Executive Officer (Warden/OIC/Supt.)	Number: Date:		
Telephone # (Include Area Code)	J. Total Facility Population Total Facility Intake for previous 12 months		
Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office with oversight responsibilities)	Total Tacinty intake for previous 12 months		
St. Paul, MN	Total ICE Man-days for Previous 12 months		
Distance from Field Office	Total I <u>CD</u> With days for Frevious 12 months		
40 miles	-		
	K. Classification Level (ICE SPCs and CDFs Only)		
E. ICE Information	L-1 L-2 L-3		
Name of Inspector (Last Name, Title and Duty Station)	Adult Male N/A N/A N/A		
/ LCI/Detainee Rights / Nakamoto Group	Adult Female N/A N/A N/A		
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location			
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group			
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location	L. Facility Capacity		
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group	Rated Operational Emergency		
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location			
/ Safety SME / Nakamoto Group			
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location / Security SME / Nakamoto Group			
/ Security Sivil2 / Ivakamoto Group	M. Avanaga Daily Danulation		
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only	M. Average Daily Population ICE USMS Other		
Contract Number Date of Contract or IGSA	ICE USMS Other		
Basic Rates per Man-Day			
· F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	N Facility Staffing Level		
Other Charges: (If None, Indicate N/A)	N. Facility Staffing Level Security: Support:		
Other Charges: (If None, Indicate N/A)	N. Facility Staffing Level Security: Support:		

Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For Nakamoto to complete its review of your facility, the following information <u>must be completed</u> prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE' detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault:	Types (Sexual ² , Physical, etc.)	S/P	S/P	S/P	S/P
Offenders on Offenders ¹	With Weapon	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
	Without Weapon	0/6	0/3	0/7	0/4
Assault:	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)	S/P	S/P	S/P	S/P
Detainee on Staff	With Weapon	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
	Without Weapon	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves ³		0	0	0	0
Disturbances ⁴		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used		3	1	1	0
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used		1	0	0	0
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.		3	4	4	5
A	Attempted	0	0	0	0
	Actual	0	0	0	0
Grievances:	# Received	90	102	73	76
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee	5	15	25	3
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care	15	1	3	9
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care	0	0	0	0

Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

Form G-324A SIS (Rev. 7/9/07)

Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large-scale incidents.

	ICE Detention Standards Review Summary Report	
	ceptable 2. Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding 5. Not Applicable	
	Access Standards	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
1.	Access to Legal Materials	
2.	Group Presentations on Legal Rights	
3.	Visitation	
4.	Telephone Access	
Detair	nee Services	
5.	Admission and Release	
6.	Classification System	
7.	Correspondence and Other Mail	
8.	Detainee Handbook	
9.	Food Service	
10.	Funds and Personal Property	
11.	Detainee Grievance Procedures	
12.	Issuance and Exchange of Clothing, Bedding, and Towels	
13.	Marriage Requests	
14.	Non-Medical Emergency Escorted Trip	
15.	Recreation	
16.	Religious Practices	
17.	Voluntary Work Program	
Healt	h Services	
18.	Hunger Strikes	
19.	Medical Care	
20.	Suicide Prevention and Intervention	
21.	Terminal Illness, Advanced Directives and Death	
Secur	ity and Control	
22.	Contraband	
23.	Detention Files	
24.	Disciplinary Policy	
25.	Emergency Plans	
26.	Environmental Health and Safety	
27.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities	
28.	Key and Lock Control	
29.	Population Counts	
30.	Post Orders	
31.	Security Inspections	
32.	Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation)	
33.	Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation)	
34.	Tool Control	
35.	Transportation (Land management)	
36.	Use of Force	
37.	Staff / Detainee Communication (Added August 2003)	
38.	Detainee Transfer (Added September 2004)	

All findings (Deficient and At-Risk) require written comment describing the finding and what is necessary to meet compliance.

LCI Review Assurance Statement

By signing below, the Lead Compliance Inspector (LCI) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

Lead Compliance Inspector: (Print Name)		Signature
Title & Duty Location		Date
Lead Compliance Inspector, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.		11/16/2018
1 1 /	17	
Team Members		
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location		Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
, Safety SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.		, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location		Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
, Security SME, The Nakan	noto Group, Inc.	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.
Recommended Rating:	Superior Good Acceptable Deficient At-Risk	

Comments: The Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Standard was inspected during this inspection, but is not referenced in the Detention Standards Review Summary Report. The standard was rated as Meets Standard for this inspection. The SIS reflects information specific to the ICE detainee population.

There were two allegations of sexual abuse or assault during the inspection period. In the first incident, detainee one claimed detainee two exposed his penis. Following an investigation, it was learned detainee one had his back to detainee two and did not actually witness detainee two exposing his penis and, according to detainee one, no physical contact occurred. The incident was determined to be unsubstantiated. In the second incident, in a housing unit dayroom, detainee one pulled down detainee two's pants and underwear. Video monitors confirmed the incident. The incident was substantiated, and detainee one received discipline. The incidents were investigated, reported, and documented as required by the standard.

There were no escapes, deaths, or serious suicide attempts involving an ICE detainee during the past year. The facility does not deploy canines in the presence of ICE detainees. Tasers and chemical agents will be deployed on ICE detainees, if necessary. The facility has a waiver to use Freeze Plus-P, a combination of oleoresin capsicum with a chemical compound known as tear gas.

There was one calculated use of force incident during the inspection period. A detainee with mental health issues refused to come out of his cell to be placed in a suicide smock. A cell extraction was initiated. The review of documentation confirmed that officers' actions were within the guidelines of the standard.

There were eleven immediate use-of-force incidents involving ICE detainees. Seven of these incidents involved separating detainees in physical altercations with each other. In five of these physical altercations staff used approved chemical agents when detainees refused orders to stop fighting. There were four separate incidents resulting in use force on a detainee for makings threats toward staff or refusing to comply with orders by staff. Detainees were properly decontaminated in instances where chemical agents were used. After each incident, each detainee was taken to the medical unit for assessment. There were no major injuries as a result of any of these incidents. Two of the detainee-on-detainee altercations resulted in minor abrasions. An after-action review was completed for each use of force incident. It was determined that force was justified in all twelve incidents.