AND LANGUAGE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	Party Control			
A. Type of Facility Reviewed	Estimated Man-d	lays Per Year:		
ICE Service Processing Center				
ICE Contract Detention Facility				
	G. Accreditatio			
	List all State or N	National Accre	editation[s] receive	red:
B. Current Inspection	N ~ 11 '	2.00.141		
Type of Inspection	Check box if	facility has n	o accreditation[s]	
Field Office HQ Inspection	H D II	~~~		
Date[s] of Facility Review 7/17/2018- 7/19/2018	H. Problems / C			
//1//2018- //19/2018	The Facility is un			A 4.50
C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review	Court Order		Class Action Ord	er
Date[s] of Last Facility Review	The Facility has		tigation Pending Life/Safety Issue	•
7/18/2017- 7/20/2017	☐ Major Litigat ☐ Check if No		Life/Safety Issue	S
Previous Rating	Check if No	ie.		
Superior Good Acceptable Deficient At-Risk	I. Facility His	tory		
	Date Built	tory		
D. Name and Location of Facility	Date Dani			
Name	Date Last Remod	deled or Upgr	aded	
Henderson Detention Center		10	Total Section 1	
Address (Street and Name)	Date New Const	ruction / Bed	space Added	*
18 Basic Road	Date Providence		-partitional	
City, State and Zip Code	Future Construct	ion Planned		*
Henderson, NV 89015		Date:		
County	Current Bed space Future Bed space (# New Beds only)			
Clark	Number: Date:			
Name and Title of Chief Executive Officer (Warden/OIC/Supt.)		1,0110	Dave.	
Telephone # (Include Area Code)	J. Total Facili	ty Population	1	
receptione # (metade Area Code)	Total Facility Int			1
Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office with oversight responsibilities)	110 110 110			
Las Vegas	Total ICE Man-d	lays for Previo	ous 12 months	ji.
Distance from Field Office	70			
11 miles				
	K. Classification	on Level (ICI	E SPCs and CDI	s Only)
E. ICE Information		L-i	100 AND 100 AN	L-3
Name of Inspector (Last Name, Title and Duty Station)	Adult Male	N/A	N/A	N/A
/ LCI/Detainee Rights SME / Nakamoto Group	Adult Female	N/A	N/A	N/A
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location				
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group			,	
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location	L. Facility Cap	acity	·	
/ Safety SME / Nakamoto Group		Rated	Operational	Emergency
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location				
/ Security SME / Nakamoto Group			100 m	2.5
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location				
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	1.00	
T CDTTCCLIA O.	M. Average Da			
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only		ICI	E USMS	Other
Contract Number Date of Contract or IGSA				
Desig Dates were Man Design				
Basic Rates per Man-Day	2012 102 515t 500			
Other Changes (If News Indicate MA)	N. Facility Sta	ffing Level		:1
Other Charges: (If None, Indicate N/A)	Security:		Support:	
				1,

## Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For Nakamoto to complete its review of your facility, the following information <u>must be completed</u> prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault:	Types (Sexual <sup>2</sup> , Physical, etc.)	Phys/Sexual	N/A	Physical	Physical
Offenders on Offenders <sup>1</sup>	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	5	0	2	3
Assault:	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)	0	0	0	0
Detainee on Staff	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	0	0	0	0
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves <sup>3</sup>		0	0	0	0
Disturbances <sup>4</sup>		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used		0	0	0	0
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.		0	0	0	0
Escapes	Attempted	0	0	0	0
	Actual	0	0	0	0
Grievances:	# Received	16	5	30	12
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee	4	3	18	5
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care	43	54	39	40
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care	0	0	0	0

Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

Form G-324A SIS (Rev. 7/9/07)

Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large scale incidents.

	ceptable 2. Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding 5.Not Applicable	-	4000	10.500	2517	11/2
	Access Standards	1.	2.	3.	4.	5
1.	Access to Legal Materials	X	14	H		
2.	Group Presentations on Legal Rights	$\boxtimes$				-
3.	Visitation	X	14	I L	Ш	
4.	Telephone Access	$\boxtimes$				
Detai	nee Services	The second second				
5.	Admission and Release	$\boxtimes$				
6.	Classification System	$\boxtimes$			Ш	
7.	Correspondence and Other Mail	$\boxtimes$				
8.	Detainee Handbook	$\boxtimes$				
9.	Food Service	$\boxtimes$			1 1	
10.	Funds and Personal Property	$\boxtimes$				2
11.	Detainee Grievance Procedures	$\boxtimes$				
12.	Issuance and Exchange of Clothing, Bedding, and Towels	$\boxtimes$				
13.	Marriage Requests	$\boxtimes$				
14.	Non-Medical Emergency Escorted Trip					
15.	Recreation	$\boxtimes$				
16.	Religious Practices	$\boxtimes$		3 - 3		
17.	Voluntary Work Program					
Healt	h Services					
18.	Hunger Strikes	$\boxtimes$				
19.	Medical Care	$\boxtimes$				
20.	Suicide Prevention and Intervention	$\boxtimes$				
21.	Terminal Illness, Advanced Directives and Death	$\boxtimes$				
Secur	ity and Control					
22.	Contraband	$\boxtimes$			2 3	
23.	<b>Detention Files</b>	$\boxtimes$				
24.	Disciplinary Policy	$\boxtimes$				
25.	Emergency Plans	$\boxtimes$				
26.	Environmental Health and Safety	$\boxtimes$		$\prod$		
27.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities	$\boxtimes$				
28.	Key and Lock Control	$\boxtimes$			2.0	
29.	Population Counts	$\boxtimes$				1
30.	Post Orders	$\boxtimes$		5 (3)		
31.	Security Inspections	$\boxtimes$				
32.	Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation)	$\boxtimes$				
33.	Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation)	$\boxtimes$				
34.	Tool Control	$\boxtimes$				
35.	Transportation (Land management)					
	Use of Force	$\boxtimes$	T	246	H	
	Use of Porce		100			
36. 37.	Staff / Detainee Communication (Added August 2003)	X				

All findings (Deficient and At-Risk) require written comment describing the finding and what is necessary to meet compliance.

## LCI Review Assurance Statement

By signing below, the Lead Compliance Inspector (LCI) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

Lead Compliance Inspector: (Print Name)	Signature
Title & Duty Location	Date
Lead Compliance Inspector, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	7/19/2018
Team Members	
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
, Safety SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
, Security SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.
Recommended Rating:  Superior Good Acceptable	
☐ Deficient☐ At-Risk	

Comments: The Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Standard was inspected during this inspection but is not referenced in the Detention Standards Review Summary Report. The standard was rated as Meets Standard for this inspection.

The Significant Incident Summary Worksheet represents data on ICE detainees only. There were no deaths involving ICE detainees during this inspection period. ICE detainees are not charged a medical co-pay. Due to the recent change in the private health care provider, there was no information on serious suicide attempts or hunger strikes.

Tasers and chemical agents are available and will be used on ICE detainees if necessary. Detection canines are used, but not in the presence of ICE detainees. There were no escapes in the past year.

There were three immediate physical responses to detainee resistance during the inspection period. Two incidents involved the same detainee, who on both occasions refused to follow orders. The other incident involved a detainee assault on a corrections officer. All applications of force were justified, appropriate, and applied only for the duration necessary to control the incident. After-action reviews occurred as required. All parties were evaluated by medical staff and there was one injury: that being the corrections officer who was assaulted, who sustained minor injuries.

There were two allegations of sexual assault or abuse involving three ICE detainees. Both allegations were considered as "not sustained", which the SAAPI Coordinator explained is the term used when the facility cannot determine whether an incident occurred

or not. In one incident, a female non-ICE detainee complained that a female ICE detainee kissed her and touched her buttocks. The other allegation was made by a female ICE detainee who stated that another female ICE detainee asked to see her breasts. In both situations, victims were protected, the investigation was conducted, and the incident was documented as required. The one misstep that occurred was that a corrections officer started investigating the kissing incident prior to involving the SAAPI Coordinator or any supervisor. Once the incident came to the attention of a supervisor, the proper investigative protocol was adhered to.

Information was not provided by the facility for F. and J.