A. Type of Facility Reviewed	Estimated Man-days Per Year:			
ICE Service Processing Center				
ICE Contract Detention Facility				
☐ ICE Intergovernmental Service Agreement	G. Accreditation Certificates			
	List all State or National Accreditation[s] received:			
B. Current Inspection	Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]			
Type of Inspection ☐ Field Office ☐ HQ Inspection	☐ Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]			
Date[s] of Facility Review	H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)			
11/14/2018 - 11/16/2018	The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding			
	Court Order Class Action Order			
C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review	The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending			
Date[s] of Last Facility Review	☐ Major Litigation ☐ Life/Safety Issues			
11/7/2017-11/9/2017	Check if None.			
Previous Rating				
Superior Good Acceptable Deficient At-Risk	I. Facility History			
D. Name and Location of Facility	Date Built			
Name Name				
Yuba County Jail	Date Last Remodeled or Upgraded			
Address (Street and Name)	Data Nam Construction / Dad on an Addad			
215 5th Street	Date New Construction / Bed space Added			
City, State and Zip Code	Future Construction Planned			
Marysville, CA 95901	Date:			
County	Current Bed space Future Bed space (# New Beds only)			
Yuba Name and Title of Chief Executive Officer (Warden/OIC/Supt.)	Number: Date:			
Name and Title of Chief Executive Officer (warden/OiC/Supt.)				
Telephone # (Include Area Code)	J. Total Facility Population			
	Total Facility Intake for previous 12 months			
Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office with oversight responsibilities)				
San Francisco	Total ICE Man-days for Previous 12 months			
Distance from Field Office				
100 Miles	T OL 18 (* 1 L/IOE GDO LODE OL)			
E. ICE Information	K. Classification Level (ICE SPCs and CDFs Only) L-1 L-2 L-3			
Name of Inspector (Last Name, Title and Duty Station)	Adult Male N/A N/A N/A			
/ LCI/ Detainee Rights SME / Nakamoto Group	Adult Female N/A N/A N/A			
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location				
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group				
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location	L. Facility Capacity			
/ Safety SME / Nakamoto Group	Rated Operational Emergency			
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location				
/ Security SME / Nakamoto Group				
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location				
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group				
E CDE/ICCA Information Code	M. Average Daily Population			
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only Contract Number Date of Contract or IGSA	ICE USMS Other			
Date of Contract of IOSA				
Basic Rates per Man-Day				
Dusic Nates per Main-Day	N Facility Staffing Lavel			
Other Charges: (If None, Indicate N/A)	N. Facility Staffing Level			
Salet Sharges. (II 110110, Indicate 11/11)	Security: Support:			

Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For Nakamoto to complete its review of your facility, the following information <u>must be completed</u> prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE' detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault:	Types (Sexual ² , Physical, etc.)	P	P	P	P
Offenders on Offenders ¹	With Weapon	1	0	2	0
	Without Weapon	31	20	17	5
Assault:	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)	P	P	P	P
Detainee on Staff	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	3	4	2	2
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves ³		1	1	0	2
Disturbances ⁴		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used		4	2	2	0
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.		0	0	0	0
Escapes	Attempted	0	0	0	0
-	Actual	0	0	0	0
Grievances:	# Received	11	15	10	17
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee	3	5	1	1
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care	57	55	47	40
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care	0	0	0	0

Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

Form G-324A SIS (Rev. 7/9/07)

Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large-scale incidents.

1 4 ~	ICE Detention Standards Review Summary Report	
	ceptable 2. Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding 5. Not Applicable	
	Access Standards	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
1.	Access to Legal Materials	
2.	Group Presentations on Legal Rights	
3.	Visitation	
4.	Telephone Access	
	nee Services	
5.	Admission and Release	
6.	Classification System	
7.	Correspondence and Other Mail	
8.	Detainee Handbook	
9.	Food Service	
10.	Funds and Personal Property	
11.	Detainee Grievance Procedures	
12.	Issuance and Exchange of Clothing, Bedding, and Towels	
13.	Marriage Requests	
14.	Non-Medical Emergency Escorted Trip	
15.	Recreation	
16.	Religious Practices	
17.	Voluntary Work Program	
Healt	1 Services	
18.	Hunger Strikes	
19.	Medical Care	
20.	Suicide Prevention and Intervention	
21.	Terminal Illness, Advanced Directives and Death	
Secur	ity and Control	
22.	Contraband	
23.	Detention Files	
24.	Disciplinary Policy	
	Emergency Plans	
25.		
	Environmental Health and Safety	
25.	Environmental Health and Safety Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities	
25. 26.		
25. 26. 27.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation)	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation) Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation)	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation)	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation) Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation)	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation) Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation) Tool Control	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation) Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation) Tool Control Transportation (Land management)	
25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities Key and Lock Control Population Counts Post Orders Security Inspections Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation) Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation) Tool Control Transportation (Land management) Use of Force	

All findings (Deficient and At-Risk) require written comment describing the finding and what is necessary to meet compliance.

LCI Review Assurance Statement

By signing below, the Lead Compliance Inspector (LCI) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

Lead Compliance Inspector: (Print Name)	Signature
Title & Duty Location	Date
Lead Compliance Inspector, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	11/16/2018
Team Members	
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
, Safety SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
, Security SME, The Nakamoto Group,	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.
Inc.	
Recommended Rating: Superior	
Good	
☐ Good ☐ Acceptable	
Deficient	
At-Risk	
At-Nisk	

Comments: The Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Standard (PBNDS 2011) was inspected during this inspection but is not referenced in the Standards Review Summary Report. The Standard was rated as Meets Standard for this inspection.

Since the last inspection, there have been seven reported SAAPI allegations. Three of the incidents occurred at the previous facilities where the detainees were housed. The allegations were reported to ICE for investigation. Four of the incidents occurred at this facility. Two incidents involved inappropriate touching/groping. The detainees involved were separated and both incidents were investigated. One incident was deemed substantiated and the other was deemed unfounded. There were two allegations of sexual harassment. The detainees were separated and both incidents were investigated. One incident was deemed unfounded and the other was substantiated.

There were no deaths since during this inspection period. There was one serious ICE detainee suicide attempt; a detainee hanged himself within 24 hours of arrival while in the housing unit. He was transferred to the hospital by EMS, survived without sequelae, and did not return to this facility. Three other ICE detainees were placed on suicide observation for expressing suicidal ideation; all detainees were removed from suicide observation within one day.

During this inspection period the facility did not have any calculated use of force incidents. There were four incidents of immediate use of force involving ICE detainees. One incident involved a female detainee fighting with another detainee. She refused an order to stop and was taken to the ground and restrained. One incident involved a female detainee who became argumentative with an officer and tried to keep him from closing the door to a holding cell. One incident involved a male detainee who refused to step away from

the control room door. The detained became aggressive and tried to strike the officer. He was taken to the floor and placed in restraints. The fourth incident involved a male detainee who became aggressive in a housing unit and tried to strike an officer. Three officers were required to restrain the detainee. There were no serious injuries to staff or detainees as a result of the use of force incidents. None of the incidents required the use of oleoresin capsicum (OC). A review of documentation confirmed that each incident was reviewed by supervisory staff.

The use of choke holds is prohibited. Canines can be used for contraband detection only but never in the presence of ICE detainees. The use of Tasers on ICE detainees is not permitted. The use of oleoresin capsicum (OC) by select trained officers is authorized by policy and is the only chemical agent approved for use.

The facility did not provide facility staffing levels information for section N on page one.