

March 5, 2020

TO:

Assistant Director for Detention Management

FROM:

Lead Compliance Inspector The Nakamoto Group, Inc.

SUBJECT: Annual Detention Inspection of the Calhoun County Correctional Center

The Nakamoto Group, Inc. performed an annual inspection for compliance with the ICE National Detention Standards (NDS 2000/SAAPI 2011) of the Calhoun County Correctional Center in Battle Creek, Michigan, during the period of March 3-5, 2020. This is an IGSA facility.

The inspection was performed under the guidance of the compliance Inspector. Team members were:

Subject Matter Field	Team Member
Detainee Rights	
Security	
Medical Care	
Medical Care	
Safety	

## **Type of Inspection**

This is a scheduled annual inspection, which is performed to determine overall compliance with the ICE NDS 2000/SAAPI 2011 for Over 72 hour facilities. The facility received a rating of Acceptable during the March 2019 inspection.

#### **Inspection Summary**

The Calhoun County Correctional Center is currently accredited by:

- The American Correctional Association (ACA) No
- The National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC) Yes
- The Joint Commission (TJC) No
- Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) No

## **Standards Compliance**

The following information is a summary of the standards that were reviewed and overall compliance that was determined as a result of the 2019 and the 2020 annual inspections:




2019 Annual Inspection	
Acceptable	37
Deficient	0
Repeat Finding	0
Not Applicable	2

2020 Annual Inspection	
Acceptable	37
Deficient	0
Repeat Finding	0
Not Applicable	2

The inspection team identified nine (9) deficient components in the following six (6) standards:

Food Service – 3, one of which is a repeat deficiency Access to Medical Care – 1 Suicide Prevention and Intervention – 1 Detention Files - 2 Environmental Health and Safety – 1 repeat deficiency Key and Lock Control - 1

### **Facility Snapshot/Description**



Detainees are housed in direct supervision housing units with an officer stationed in the unit or in indirect supervision units with roving officers providing supervision. Televisions, video visitation kiosks, board games, tablets, and microwaves are available in the housing units. Outdoor recreation yards are connected to the housing units.

The inspection team toured all units housing ICE detainees multiple times during the inspection. Detainees were interviewed in groups or individually in a confidential setting. Several LEP detainees were interviewed in Spanish by the bilingual inspector. None of the detainees expressed any concerns about their safety and all detainees were complimentary of the officers. Sanitation in the housing units was found to be above average.

Two detainees voiced medical concerns. The medical SME reviewed their medical records and interviewed the health care provider. In both cases, the medical records confirmed that their concerns were already addressed.

Two detainees complained about the quality and or temperature of the food. The safety SME verified that food temperatures were not consistently within the required temperatures ranges.

The facility does not charge co-payments for medical, mental health, or dental care. Medical services are provided by Corizon. Food service operations are contracted with TIGGS Canteen. Detainee telephone services and video visitation kiosks are provided via a contract with ICSolutions (ICS).



# **Areas of Concern/Significant Observations**


There were no areas of concern or significant observations during this inspection.

## **Recommended Rating and Justification**

The Lead Compliance Inspector recommends that the facility receive a rating of Acceptable. The facility complies with the ICE National Detention Standards (NDS 2000/SAAPI 2011) for Over 72-hour facilities. No (0) standards were found Deficient and two (2) standards were Not Applicable (N/A). All remaining thirty-seven (37) standards were found to be in compliance.

# **LCI Assurance Statement**

The findings of compliance and non-compliance are accurately and completely documented on the G-324 Inspection Form and are supported by documentation in the inspection file. An out brief was conducted at the facility and in addition to the entire Nakamoto Group, Inc. Inspection Team, the following were present:



Page 3 of 3