ICE Service Processing Center   ICE Contract Detention Facility     ICE Intergovernmental Service Agreement     B. Current Inspection     Type of Inspection     Field Office   HQ Inspection     Date[s] of Facility Review     11/6/2018 - 11/8/2018     C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review     Date[s] of Last Facility Review     10/24/2017-10/26/2017     Previous Rating     ICE Contract Detention Facility     G. Accreditation Certificates     List all State or National Accreditation[s] received:     Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]     M. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)     The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding     Court Order   Class Action Order     The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending     Major Litigation   Life/Safety Issues     Check if None.	
Current Inspection	
B. Current Inspection  Type of Inspection  Field Office ⋈ HQ Inspection  Date[s] of Facility Review 11/6/2018 - 11/8/2018  C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review  Date[s] of Last Facility Review  10/24/2017-10/26/2017  Previous Rating  G. Accreditation Certificates  List all State or National Accreditation[s] received:  Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]  H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)  The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding  Court Order ☐ Class Action Order  The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending  Major Litigation ☐ Life/Safety Issues  Check if None.	
B. Current Inspection  Type of Inspection  □ Field Office □ HQ Inspection  □ Date[s] of Facility Review  11/6/2018 - 11/8/2018  C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review  □ Date[s] of Last Facility Review  □ Class Action Finding □ Court Order □ Class Action Order  The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending □ Major Litigation □ Life/Safety Issues □ Check if None.	
Type of Inspection  ☐ Field Office ☐ HQ Inspection  ☐ Date[s] of Facility Review  11/6/2018 - 11/8/2018  C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review  ☐ Date[s] of Last Facility Review  ☐ Class Action Order  ☐ The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending ☐ Major Litigation ☐ Life/Safety Issues ☐ Check if None.	
Tield Office   HQ Inspection   Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]	
Date[s] of Facility Review  11/6/2018 - 11/8/2018  H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)  The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding  Court Order □ Class Action Order  The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending  □ Major Litigation □ Life/Safety Issues  □ Check if None.	
The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding  C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review  Date[s] of Last Facility Review  10/24/2017-10/26/2017  Previous Rating  H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)  The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding  Court Order ☐ Class Action Order  The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending  Major Litigation ☐ Life/Safety Issues  Check if None.	
C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review       The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding         Date[s] of Last Facility Review       Court Order       Class Action Order         The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending       Major Litigation       Life/Safety Issues         Previous Rating       Check if None.	
C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review       ☐ Court Order       ☐ Class Action Order         Date[s] of Last Facility Review       The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending         10/24/2017-10/26/2017       ☐ Major Litigation       ☐ Life/Safety Issues         Previous Rating       ☐ Class Action Order         The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending       ☐ Major Litigation         ☐ Class Action Order       ☐ Check if None.	
Date[s] of Last Facility Review 10/24/2017-10/26/2017  Previous Rating  The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending  ☐ Major Litigation ☐ Life/Safety Issues  ☐ Check if None.	
10/24/2017-10/26/2017  Previous Rating  ☐ Major Litigation ☐ Life/Safety Issues ☐ Check if None.	
Previous Rating  Check if None.	
Trevious Ruting	
Meets Standards Does Not Meet Standards  L. Foeilitz History	
D. Name and Location of Facility  Date Built	
D. Name and Location of Facility	
Name Theo Lacy Facility Date Last Remodeled or Upgraded	
Theo Edey Tuernty	
Address (Street and Name)  501 The City Drive South  Date New Construction / Bedspace Added	
301 The City Bitve South	
City, State and Zip Code  Orange CA 92868  Future Construction Planned	
Orange, err 72000	
County Orange  Date:  Current Bedspace   Future Bedspace (# New Beds only)	<i>J</i> )
Name and Title of Facility Administrator  Number: Date:	,
(Warden/OIC/Superintendent)	
J. Total Facility Population	
Telephone # (Include Area Code)  Total Facility Intake for previous 12 months	
Telephone w (metade ruea code)	
Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office with oversight Total ICE Mandays for Previous 12 months	
responsibilities)	
Los Angeles	
Distance from Field Office  K. Classification Level (ICE SPCs and CDFs Only)	
30 L-1 L-2 L-3	
Adult Male N/A N/A N/A	
E. ICE Information Adult Female N/A N/A N/A	
Name of Inspector (Last Name, Title and Duty Station)	
/ LCI/Detainee Rights SME / Nakamoto Group  L. Facility Capacity	
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location Rated Operational Emergen	cy
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group	
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location	
/ Safety SME / Nakamoto Group	
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location	
/ Security SME / Nakamoto Group  M. Average Daily Population	
Manager Trans. Manakan / Title / Duty Leastion	<u>.                                    </u>
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location ICE USMS Other	
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location  / Medical SME / Nakamoto Group	
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group	
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group  F. CDF/IGSA Information Only	
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only  Contract Number  Date of Contract or IGSA  N. Facility Staffing Level	
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only  Contract Number  Date of Contract or IGSA  N. Facility Staffing Level  Security:  Support:	
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only  Contract Number  Date of Contract or IGSA  N. Facility Staffing Level	
F. CDF/IGSA Information Only  Contract Number  Date of Contract or IGSA  N. Facility Staffing Level  Security:  Support:	

## Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For The Nakamoto Group to complete its review of your facility, the following information <u>must be completed</u> prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE' detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault:	Types (Sexual <sup>2</sup> , Physical, etc.)	P	P	P	P
Offenders on Offenders <sup>1</sup>	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	7	9	13	9
Assault:	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Detainee on Staff	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	0	0	0	0
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves <sup>3</sup>		0	0	0	0
Disturbances <sup>4</sup>		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used		0	0	1	0
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used		0	0	0	0
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Times Canines Used in Facility		0	0	0	0
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.		0	0	0	0
Escapes	Attempted	0	0	0	0
•	Actual	0	0	0	0
Grievances:	# Received	8	12	13	3
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee	2	2	2	1
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care	52	31	60	37
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care	0	0	0	0

Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large scale incidents.

	DHS/ICE Detention Standards Review Summary Report				
1. I	Meets Standards 2. Does Not Meet Standards 3.Repeat Finding 4. Not Applicable				4
PA	RT 1 SAFETY				
1	Emergency Plans				
2	Environmental Health and Safety				
3	Transportation (By Land)				$\boxtimes$
	RT 2 SECURITY				
4	Admission and Release	$\boxtimes$			
5	Classification System				
6					
7					
8	Funds and Personal Property				
9	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities				
10	Key and Lock Control				
11	Population Counts	$\boxtimes$		$\overline{\Box}$	
12	Post Orders	$\boxtimes$			
13	Searches of Detainees				
14	Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention				
15	Special Management Units			H	
16	Staff-Detainee Communication			H	
17	Tool Control				
18	Use of Force and Restraints				
	RT 3 ORDER				
19				П	
	Disciplinary System  RT 4 CARE				
20	Food Service				
			$\vdash$		
21 22	Hunger Strikes  Medical Care				
23	70				
24					
25	Terminal Illness, Advance Directives, and Death				
	RT 5 ACTIVITIES	N .			
26	Correspondence and Other Mail				
27	Escorted Trips for Non-Medical Emergencies				
28	Marriage Requests				
29					-
30	Religious Practices				
31	Telephone Access				<u> </u>
32	Visitation				_
33			Ш	Ш	
	RT 6 JUSTICE				
34	Detainee Handbook		Ш		<b>—</b>
35	,				<u> </u>
36	Ÿ				
37					
	RT 7 ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT				
38	Detention Files				<u> </u>
39	News Media Interviews and Tours				<u> </u>
40	Staff Training				<u> </u>
41	Transfer of Detainees				

## **LCI Review Assurance Statement**

By signing below, the Lead Compliance Inspector (LCI) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

018

Team Members		
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	
, Safety SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Security SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	
, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	

<b>Recommended Rating:</b>	<b>⋈</b> Meets Standards
	<b>Does Not Meet Standards</b>

Comments: This inspection was conducted to determine overall compliance with the ICE Performance-Based National Detention Standards (PBNDS 2008) and the Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Standard (SAAPI PBNDS 2011).

There were six allegations of sexual assault or abuse involving an ICE detainee during this inspection period. All six allegations pertained to detainee-on-detainee all were determined to be unfounded. Procedures conducted were in accordance with the requirements of the standard.

Tasers are approved for use by staff trained in their use. Officers are trained on the use of oleoresin capsicum/pepper spray (OC). The Orange County Sheriff's office has a canine unit which may occasionally be used for contraband detection in the facility but never in the presence of ICE detainees.

All officers receive training in the pre-service academy in the use of the carotid control hold and policy does not prohibit the use of carotid control holds. The use of force standard prohibits this technique as a use force option for detainees. The compliance officer confirmed there have been no incidents during the inspection period involving ICE detainees where use of the carotid control hold was used. The use of neck restraints, using batons to apply choke holds, intentional baton strikes to the head, groin, solar plexus, kidneys and striking a detainee for failure to obey an order are prohibited.

During this inspection period there have been seven immediate use of force incidents involving ICE detainees. Three of the incidents involved detainee on detainee fights where staff had to use physical force to stop detainees from fighting and apply restraints. In one of these incidents staff dispensed OC (pepper spray) via a "pepper ball" round. In another incident the facility staff used a Taser to subdue a detainee who refused staff orders to stop fighting. In the other four incidents detainees were combative towards staff and

were restrained to control the detainee's behavior. There were no staff or detainee injuries as a result of any of the use of force incidents and in all cases staff and detainees were seen by medical staff as soon as the situation was under control. Review of the written documentation of the incidents indicated that the force used was appropriate in compliance with policy and the standard. An after action review of each incident was conducted for each use of force.