## Per Curiam

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the preliminary print of the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D. C. 20543, of any typographical or other formal errors, in order that corrections may be made before the preliminary print goes to press.

## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 05-55

## TRADEDOMINATOR3, PETITIONER v. UNITED STATES

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

[June 24, 2018]

PER CURIAM.

Because the "usual review of [this] appeal" causes us to recognize that the District Court failed to preserve trial documentation (as a video or transcript) on the "public 'case file," *George* v. *Troyan*, 5 U.S. \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_ (2018) (slip op., at 2), in violation of the Court Proceedings Act, we must set aside its judgment. On remand, the District Court should order a new trial and treat whatever time already served of petitioner's sentence as credit towards any sentence which may later be imposed in the event of a conviction.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted, the judgment is vacated, and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.