

Statement of MARSHALL, J.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

EX PARTE SIXMAN4444

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

No. 05–31. Decided April 7, 2018.

Statement of JUSTICE MARSHALL, with whom THE CHIEF JUSTICE and JUSTICE GORSUCH join, respecting the denial of the petition.

For years, the Clan Managers have maintained broad discretion when adopting and enforcing policies that govern group administrative functions. This Court, on numerous occasions, has denied review of the administrative actions of Clan Managers due to having a profound “lack of authority” to hear such matters. *Isner v. Federal Elections Comm’n*, 3 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ (2017) (Scalia, J., respecting the denial of certiorari) (slip op., at 2). See also *Lincere v. Clan Managers*, 2 U. S. \_\_\_ (2017) (cert. denied). While the Constitution provides many fundamental rights for the people, and prohibits the Federal Government from encroaching upon such rights, it simply does not provide protection from actions done by Clan Managers when it pertains to the enforcement of their policies adopted within their proper domain.\*

For these reasons, I respectfully concur with the denial of the petition.

---

\* This domain solely permits Clan Managers to resolve matters that are not suitable nor appropriate to be solved by the federal and local governments, or any court of law. Such matters stem from wall spamming, to the disclosure of personal information of citizens.