

Generating open source chess puzzles - notes

Aatu Selkee

January 7, 2026

Contents

1	Tokenization	2
2	Model architecture	2
3	RL	3

1 Tokenization

Tokenization v1

- Board
 - PNBRQKpnbrqk. = 13 tokens
- Side to move
 - wb = 1 tokens (b already counted)
- Castling
 - KQkq. = 0 tokens (already counted)
- En passant
 - abcdefgh = 7 tokens (b already counted)
 - 12345678 = 8 tokens
 - -. = 1 tokens (. already counted)
 - = 16 tokens
- Half move counter
 - 0123456789 = 2 (0 and 9 new tokens)
- Full move counter
 - 0123456789 = 0 (already counted)

= 32 tokens

Total tokens do not match the number of tokens in the paper 31 (the most obvious is that “-” might be replaced with a “.”). The length of the produced string is also 76 instead of 77 for some reason. This tokenization feels bad, as e.g. side to move b is completely different to board b (black to move vs black bishop).

Tokenization v2 (my current choice): Length 76, number of tokens 48 (own tokens e.g. for black bishop and black to move)

2 Model architecture

What should be used, pre- or post-normalization ([1] says post (it says that the llama papers use post, but I think they use pre), but [2] and [3] use pre-normalization to improve stability.)

3 RL

[1] did not use the masked diffusion for the RL, and it will probably be harder than with the autoregressive model.

Compute the log-probability of the models in the same way as with autoregressive models (sum the log probabilities of the chosen tokens). The model must be called K times, where K is the amount of tokens (the latter tokens depend on the previous tokens and teacher forcing is not possible I think?). Hence, the computational complexity is a lot higher with masked diffusion than with an autoregressive model. **I may be wrong based on algorithm 2 of [4], as we call the model as many times as we have discretized the range [0, 1].**

References

- [1] X. Feng, V. Veeriah, M. Chiam, M. Dennis, R. Pachauri, T. Tumieli, F. Barbero, J. Obando-Ceron, J. Shi, S. Singh, S. Hou, N. Tomašev, and T. Zahavy, “Generating creative chess puzzles,” 2025.
- [2] H. Touvron, T. Lavril, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozière, N. Goyal, E. Hambrø, F. Azhar, A. Rodriguez, A. Joulin, E. Grave, and G. Lample, “Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models,” 2023.
- [3] H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone, P. Albert, A. Almahairi, Y. Babaei, N. Bashlykov, S. Batra, P. Bhargava, S. Bhosale, D. Bikell, L. Blecher, C. C. Ferrer, M. Chen, G. Cucurull, D. Esiobu, J. Fernandes, J. Fu, W. Fu, B. Fuller, C. Gao, V. Goswami, N. Goyal, A. Hartshorn, S. Hosseini, R. Hou, H. Inan, M. Kardas, V. Kerkez, M. Khabsa, I. Kloumann, A. Korenev, P. S. Koura, M.-A. Lachaux, T. Lavril, J. Lee, D. Liskovich, Y. Lu, Y. Mao, X. Martinet, T. Mihaylov, P. Mishra, I. Molybog, Y. Nie, A. Poulton, J. Reizenstein, R. Rungta, K. Saladi, A. Schelten, R. Silva, E. M. Smith, R. Subramanian, X. E. Tan, B. Tang, R. Taylor, A. Williams, J. X. Kuan, P. Xu, Z. Yan, I. Zarov, Y. Zhang, A. Fan, M. Kambadur, S. Narang, A. Rodriguez, R. Stojnic, S. Edunov, and T. Scialom, “Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models,” 2023.
- [4] A. Ruoss, G. Delétang, S. Medapati, J. Grau-Moya, L. K. Wenliang, E. Catt, J. Reid, C. A. Lewis, J. Veness, and T. Genewein, “Amortized planning with large-scale transformers: A case study on chess,” 2024.