

# Generating open source chess puzzles - notes

Aatu Selkee

January 5, 2026

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Tokenization</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>RL</b>	<b>2</b>

# 1 Tokenization

Tokenization v1

- Board
  - PNBRQKpnbqrk. = 13 tokens
- Side to move
  - wb = 1 tokens (b already counted)
- Castling
  - KQkq. = 0 tokens (already counted)
- En passant
  - abcdefgh = 7 tokens (b already counted)
  - 12345678 = 8 tokens
  - -. = 1 tokens (. already counter)
  - = 16 tokens
- Half move counter
  - 0123456789 = 2 (0 and 9 new tokens)
- Full move counter
  - 0123456789 = 0 (already counted)

= 32 tokens

Total tokens do not match the number of tokens in the paper 31 (the most obvious is that "-" might be replaced with a "."). The length of the produced string is also 76 instead of 77 for some reason. This tokenization feels bad, as e.g. side to move b is completely different to board b (black to move vs black bishop).

Tokenization v2 (my current choice): Length 76, number of tokens 48 (own tokens e.g. for black bishop and black to move)

## 2 RL

Compute the log-probability of the models in the same way as with autoregressive models (sum the log probabilities of the chosen tokens). The model must be called  $K$  times where  $K$  is the amount of tokens (the latter tokens depend on the previous tokens and teacher forcing is not possible I think?). Hence, the computational complexity is a lot higher with masked diffusion than with an autoregressive model.