

Gazdar & Pullum (1982 & 1985)

- thesis: all published arguments for the noncontext-freeness of NL are not compelling
 - 1. folklore
 - 2. wrong data
 - 3. formal mistakes
- 30 years of fruitless search for a non-contextfree language
- human seem able to parse sentences in linear time



Are natural languages context-free?

embedding of subordinate clauses in Swiss-German

- mer d'chind em Hans es huus lönd hälfe aastriiche we the childs-ACC the Hans-DAT the house-ACC let help paint NP₁ NP₂ NP₃ VP₁ VP₂ VP₃ "cross serial dependencies"
- *mer d'chind de Hans es huus lönd hälfe aastriiche we the children-ACC Hans-CC the house-ACC let help paint

embedding of subordinate clauses in German

 er die Kinder dem Hans das Haus streichen helfen ließ he the children the Hans the house paint help let






```
Homomorphism:f("laa") = cf("es huus haend wele") = xf("d'chind") = af("hälfe") = df("Jan säit das mer") = wf("em Hans") = bf("aastriiche") = yf(s) = z otherwise
```

- f(Swiss-German) ∩ wa*b*xc*d*y = wambnxcmdny
- wa^mbⁿxc^mdⁿy is not context-free (→pumping lemma)
- wa*b*xc*d*y is regular
- context-free languages are closed unter
 - homomorphisms
 - intersection with regular languages
- Swiss-German is note context-free



potential attack points of the proof

wrong data

- grammaticality judgements
- case is not a syntactic phenomenon
 - case is determined by semantics (unterstützen/helfen)
- the length of the sentences is restricted
 - Shieber: "Down this path lies tyranny. Acceptance of this argument opens the way to proofs of natural languages as regular, nay, finite. The linguist proposing this counterargument to salvage the context-freeness of natural language may have won the battle, but has certainly lost the war.