Micro Frontends Anti-patterns Catalog

Consent Form: Dear participant, you have been invited to participate in a research study where we will propose a catalog of anti-patterns for Micro Frontend Architecture. Anti-patterns are recurring design practices, choices or solutions to common problems despite appearing reasonable and effective, lead to negative consequences and undermine the system's overall quality (Cerny et al., 2023). We aim to improve research on micro frontend field as well as to provide support for practitioners on avoiding anti-patterns in systems architecture. Your participation in this research is not mandatory. We emphasize that we will evaluate the use of the techniques, not you.

- 1) **Procedure:** To participate in this study, we kindly request your cooperation in evaluating the catalog with 12 anti-patterns, providing feedback regarding each anti-pattern based on your professional experience with Micro Frontend Architecture. After data collection, we will remove your name from the data, and we will not use it at any time during the analysis or presentation of the results.
- **2) Handling of Potential Risks and Discomforts:** We will ensure your privacy and anonymity during data collection. We will not use the data collected during the study in any form of professional or personal evaluation but to develop research using the antipatterns catalog
- **3) Benefits and Costs:** This study will contribute results to research in Software Engineering. You will not incur any expenses or costs by participating in the study.
- **4) Research confidentiality:** All information collected in this study is confidential, and your name will be anonymized.
- **5) Participation:** Your participation in this study is very important and voluntary. You have the right to not want to participate or to withdraw from this study at any time, without penalties. If you decide to withdraw from the study, please notify the responsible evaluator.

For questions, please contact the responsible researchers:

Anonymous

* Indica uma pergunta obrigatória

1.	Consent Statement: I have read or someone has read the information in this document before signing this consent form. I have received an explanation regarding the technical language used in describing this research study and answers to all my questions. I also confirm receiving a copy of this Informed Consent Form. I understand that I can withdraw from the study without penalty. I am over 18 years old and voluntarily consent to participate in this study. Marcar apenas uma oval. Yes, I do consent No, I do not consent
Ak	pout you
	fore evaluating the catalog, please answer some questions about your experience with cro Frontend Architecture.
2.	Are you currently working with Micro Frontends? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes
	No
3.	How much professional experience do you have with Micro Frontends? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	No experience
	Less than 1 year
	Between 1 and 2 years
	More than 2 years

4.	What type of role do you play or have you played when working with Micro Frontends?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Fullstack Developer
	Frontend developer
	DevOps developer
	Software Architect
	Project Leader
	Outro:
1.	Cyclic Dependency
Ca	ategory: Inter-frontends
co m de	roblem: Two or more MFEs directly or indirectly depend on each other, resulting in high oupling between screens and fragments, compromising MFEs' independence and odularity. Thus, changes in one MFE require coordination with the others. Circular ependencies lead to challenges in a system's maintenance and evolution, compromising gility and the ability to scale developments efficiently.
M cc de Th	Example: Consider an e-commerce platform with a payment screen implemented in one IFE. This screen contains a fragment from another MFE used to calculate the shipping ost. When the screen displays changes to the items in the cart, the fragment redoes the elivery calculation, which updates the total purchase amount displayed on the screen. This exchange of information between the screen and the fragment results in high pupling. It is essential to assess whether the payment screen and shipping calculation elong to the same domain and if their implementation is part of the same MFE.
si th re	plution: High coupling between two or more MFEs indicates they should compose a ngle MFE. Thus, it is necessary to review the definition of MFE boundaries and ensure sey align with the application domains. A careful analysis of the domains and eassessing the functional division of the MFEs can help reduce coupling, improve todularity, and increase the independence between components.
5.	Is the Cyclic Dependency anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree

) I disagree

6.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
7.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
8.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
9.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti-pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree
	I disagree

10.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description		
11.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?		
	Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	Yes, I did		
	No, I did not		
12.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *		
	Marcar apenas uma oval.		
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		
	Not O O C Extremely harmful		

2. Knot Micro Frontend

Category: Inter-frontends

Problem: A Knot is a node composed of three or more MFEs whose communication with each other has a low level of abstraction, exposing the specific details of each MFE. The navigation or data exchange between screens and fragments strongly depends on each MFE context. The problem worsens as the addition of new MFEs to the node occurs without implementing a standardized communication interface. This results in a strongly coupled node, making it difficult to maintain and deploy new functionalities.

Example: Suppose an e-commerce system has MFEs for Digital Products (mfe-digital-products) and Payments (mfe-payments). The product details screen of mfe-digital-products navigates to the payment screen of mfe-payments, passing the product data as a parameter. At a later stage, a Physical Products MFE (mfe-physical-products) is implemented, including screens like delivery tracking, address listing, and address registration. For the unpaid physical products, a modification in the mfe-payments payment screen happens for receiving data of either digital or physical products. Later, adding new product types requires constantly adjusting the payment screen of mfe-payments to display the data for these products. Implementing a communication interface with the following fields would allow the addition of new products without requiring adaptations in mfe-payments: { imageUrl: string; title: string; description: string }[], shippingData: { ... } | null}. This interface definition allows the transmission of product details in a uniform format for all types of products. Furthermore, it permits the optional transmission of delivery information and specifies whether delivery information can be provided.

Solution: A practical solution is implementing communication interfaces between MFEs, allowing an MFE to know what is necessary to integrate with another while abstracting the specific details of its data or implementations.

13.	Is the Knot Micro Frontend anti-pattern problem clear stated?	*
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	I agree	
	I disagree	

14.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear	
15.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *	
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	I agree	
	I disagree	
16.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear	
17.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?	*
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	I agree	
	I disagree	

18.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
19.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I did
	No, I did not
20.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Not O O O Extremely harmful

3. Hub-like Dependency

Category: Inter-frontends

Problem: A screen of an MFE integrates fragments from several other MFEs, becoming a central point of interdependence. Any issue occurring in the main screen or one of its fragments can affect all other fragments present on it.

Example: Consider a digital banking system where the main screen is an MFE that integrates several fragments from other MFEs, such as an investment list, a chart showing bitcoin value variations, account balance, and credit card statement amount. If any critical issue happens on the main screen, all its functionalities become inaccessible to the enduser.

Solution: Avoiding screens that serve as a starting point for other functionalities is recommended. When it is not possible to avoid it, we recommend implementing a resilient screen, incorporating error-handling procedures for all MFE fragments, along with a fallback mechanism. The fallback mechanism should allow access to system functionalities in case of any critical issue with the main screen.

21.	Is the Hub-like Dependency anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
22.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
23.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree
	I disagree

24.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
25.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti-
20.	pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
26.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
27.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I did
	No, I did not

How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *

28.

	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Not O O O Extremely harmful
4.	Nano Frontend
Ca	tegory: Intra-frontends
fra pre	gments. Small MFEs do not justify the cost of their maintenance. Furthermore, the esence of nano frontends can lead to issues of high coupling and the manifestation of ner anti-patterns, such as cyclic dependency
pro	ample: In an e-commerce setting, separate MFEs implement the product listing and oduct details screens. Since both are part of the product context, their implementation ould happen within a single MFE encompassing all product screens.
ina is r arc	lution: The issue of nano frontends arises when the definition of boundaries is idequately and excessively granular. Adhering to Domain-driven Design (DDD) principles necessary to ensure an effective decomposition of MFEs. So, redesigning the chitecture by grouping MFEs with the same domain is necessary. It promotes a cohesive ucture aligned with the business requirements.
29.	Is the Nano Frontend anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
30.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

31.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree
	I disagree
32.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
33.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
34.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide
	a description

35.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?	*
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	Yes, I did No, I did not	
36.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? * Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
	Not O O O Extremely harmful	

5. Mega Frontend

Category:

Intra-frontends

Problem: Decomposing the architecture into a few MFEs encompassing numerous screens and fragments manifest this anti-pattern. The MFE inherits the challenges of a monolithic frontend, such as difficulties in testing, slow builds and deployments, high coupling between its components, lack of modularity, and limited scalability.

Example: An e-commerce system is decomposed into just two MFEs, with mfe-users related to users and mfe-shopping related to products and purchases. The latter MFE includes screens that display product listings, product details, purchase confirmations, and purchase history. Decomposing the mfe-shopping into at least two MFEs is necessary: one containing the product listing and product details screens, belonging to the product domain; and another containing the confirmation and purchase history screens, belonging to the purchase domain.

Solution: Reevaluate the architecture and divide the MFEs into granular units, separating functionalities into smaller and specialized MFEs. This approach aids in reducing complexity, enhancing maintainability, and fostering a modular and scalable architecture.

37.	Is the Mega Frontend anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
38.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
39.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
	T dioagree
40.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

41.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
42.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
43.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously *worked on or are currently working on?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I did
	No, I did not
44.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Not O O O Extremely harmful

6. Micro Frontends Greedy

Category: Intra-frontends

Problem: When a developer is uncertain about creating a new MFE, the common practice is to opt for its creation. Whenever a need arises to develop a new set of screens or fragments, a new MFE is instantiated. It can lead to the creation of nano frontends or mega frontends.

Example: Within a banking application, an MFE encompasses screens for security validation, utilizing confirmation code submission via email. Subsequently, the need arose to implement a new validation method, now employing facial recognition. The screens in this new flow differ from those in the previous flow, resulting in its implementation through a new MFE. Creating a new MFE might not be advisable, as two MFEs have the same context and functionalities.

Solution: To assess whether a new screen or fragment can integrate an existing MFE, a comprehensive review of all existing MFEs is essential. It may avoid unnecessary MFE proliferation, promoting a cohesive and sustainable architecture.

45.	Is the Micro Frontends Greedy anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
46.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
47.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree

48.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
49.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
50.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
51.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I did
	No, I did not

7. No CI/CD

Category: Operation

Problem: The company lacks an automated Continuous Integration (CI) and Continuous Delivery (CD) pipeline, so developers must manually execute tests and perform deployments. This manual process becomes burdensome, especially with the potential existence of multiple MFEs. It increases development time, reduces productivity, and raises the risk of errors in the production environment.

Example: Upon releasing a new system version, a developer must conduct manual tests and ensure all unit tests pass. However, developers may skip the tests and manually deploy the changes without realizing some tests are failing, introducing bugs, which is avoidable with an automated CI pipeline. Even if the tests pass, there is still a risk of making mistakes during deployment, which could render the system unavailable. Automating the deployment process with CD ensures correct and consistent execution.

Solution: Implement an automated and replicable CI/CD process that extends for new MFEs, ensuring they will have automated test execution and deployment consistently and efficiently.

53.	Is the No CI/CD anti-pattern problem clear stated?	*
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	I agree	
	I disagree	

55. Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? * Marcar apenas uma oval. I agree I disagree If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear 56. If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear	s not clear
I agree I disagree If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear	
If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear	
If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear	
57. Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti-	not clear
57. Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti-	
57. Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti-	
pattern?	ented in the anti-
Marcar apenas uma oval.	
I agree	
I disagree	

In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously *
worked on or are currently working on?
Marcar apenas uma oval.
Yes, I did
No, I did not
How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *
Marcar apenas uma oval.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Not O O O Extremely harmful

8. No Versioning

Category: Operation

Problem: The MFEs are not semantically versioned. Small and large changes can impact the integration between different MFEs and cause errors. Consequently, the MFEs become less independent, requiring coordinated deployments.

Example: Consider a payment confirmation page with a fragment for calculating shipping costs. Whenever the user inputs shipping information into the fragment, the system generates a delivery charge and adds it to the total purchase amount displayed on the screen. Suppose the delivery charge's return value format changes and the fragment is not versioned. The delivery charge will not be added to the total purchase amount, potentially resulting in a display error or even mistakenly free deliveries. However, if the fragment is versioned, the screen will not be affected by the format change, as it will continue to use the previous version of the fragment and can be updated later when necessary.

Solution: It is essential to adopt the Semantic Versioning standard for versioning MFEs, where the developer must assign a Major version when changes introduce incompatibilities, create a Minor version for new functionalities that do not cause incompatibilities, and apply a Patch version for bug fixes that do not introduce incompatibilities. The versioning practice ensures that changes do not impact functioning versions. Consequently, coordinated deployments are unnecessary, as other MFEs can update their versions as needed.

01.	is the No versioning anti-pattern problem clear stated?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
62.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

63.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	☐ I disagree
64.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
65.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
66.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description

67.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?	*
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	Yes, I did	
	No, I did not	
68.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *	
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
	Not O O O Extremely harmful	

9. Lack of skeleton

Category: Operation

Problem: No skeleton or predefined boilerplate is available as a base for creating new MFEs, which leads to the creation of MFEs from scratch or duplicating an existing MFE. The consequences include wasted time, increased risk of errors, duplicated code across MFEs, and a need for more standardization in development.

Example: At the beginning of a specific system development, the developers create an MFE from scratch without adhering to a specific pattern. The second MFE is developed by copying files and code blocks from the first, changing specific parts. The exact process happens when creating new MFEs. Then, a diverse set of MFEs emerges, which hampers the establishment of automated pipelines, fosters code duplication, and complicates developer interchange between teams.

Solution: Create a repository containing the necessary base code to build an MFE called boilerplate, which includes all the required libraries for the MFE's operation, adhere to the code standards established by the team, and have a file with commonly used commands for developers. It is also crucial to include comprehensive documentation detailing the entire process of creating a new MFE, providing instructions on how to add automated CI/CD, integrate the MFE into the existing system, and other relevant aspects.

69.	Is the Lack of skeleton anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
70.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
71.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree
	I disagree
72.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
Marcar apenas uma oval.
I agree I disagree
In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously *worked on or are currently working on?
Marcar apenas uma oval.
Yes, I did
No, I did not
How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *
Marcar apenas uma oval.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Not Extremely harmful

10. Common Ownership

Category:
Development
Problem: A single team is responsible for managing all MFEs. It happens when there is no team division or when they are divided based on technical aspects such as data, front-end, and back-end teams. The team does not leverage the benefits of having independent teams provided by the MFE Architecture.
Example: A small company chooses to adopt the MFE architecture. Due to the insufficient number of developers, it is not feasible to create teams by domain. So, developers compose a single team responsible for all MFEs. In this scenario, the cost of maintaining different micro frontends is not justified and is only an additional challenge for the development team.
Solution: Context should be the defining factor when structuring development teams. Therefore, defining the boundaries of teams and MFEs is essential according to Domain-driven Design (DDD), so a team will be responsible only for MFEs within its domain.
77. Is the Common Ownership anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
Marcar apenas uma oval.
I agree
I disagree
78. If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

/9.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
80.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
81.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the antipattern? Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
82.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description

83.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?	*
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	Yes, I did	
	No, I did not	
84.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *	
	Marcar apenas uma oval.	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
	Not O O O Extremely harmful	

11. Golden Hammer

Category:

Development

Problem: All MFEs utilize the same technology, even if it does not meet the specific needs of each MFE. It happens due to developers' familiarity with only one specific technology. This approach limits the architecture, failing to take advantage of the benefits of the possibility of a heterogeneous architecture, which is one of the main attractions of adopting MFEs.

Example: A web application contains MFEs implemented using ReactJS framework with Client-side Rendering, even those encompassing essential pages such as the landing page. This technological uniformity overlooks the necessity for Search Engine Optimization (SEO) strategies to ensure better rankings on search engines like Google. It would be advisable to utilize ReactJS with Server-side Rendering or employ a static rendering framework such as NextJS, enabling better optimization for search engines.

Solution: To choose the most suitable technology that addresses the specific challenges of each MFE, which includes adopting the correct programming languages, frameworks, and libraries during its development.

85.	Is the Golden Hammer anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
86.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
87.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree
	I disagree
88.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

89.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
90.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description
91.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I did
	No, I did not
92.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Not Extremely harmful

12. Micro Frontend as the goal

Ca	ategory:
De	evelopment
th la ap	roblem: Adopting the MFE architecture in inappropriate contexts can lead to more issues can benefits, especially in systems with few screens and low complexity or in companies cking a sufficient number of developers to create dedicated teams for different oplication domains. In such situations, the maintenance costs of the architecture may atweigh the expected benefits, making its implementation unfeasible.
co do co du	cample: A personal notes application is divided into the notes and user domains, each omprising its own MFE. The notes domain contains functionalities for note management, ontaining operations such as listing, creating, editing, and deleting notes. The user's omain encompasses login, registration, and profile management functionalities. In this ontext, using MFEs results in unnecessary maintenance and development challenges ue to the low volume of screens and the low probability of increasing complexity in the oplication. Adopting a monolithic frontend is a suitable option.
ar au	Polution: Software teams must consider carefully different aspects of adopting MFE rehitecture. Considering the system's complexity, the feasibility of maintaining automated CI/CD pipelines and the team's restructuring according to different domains is eccessary.
93.	Is the Micro Frontend as the goal anti-pattern problem clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	☐ I agree
	I disagree
94.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear

95.	Is the anti-pattern solution clear stated? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree I disagree
96.	If you disagree, please provide a description of what is not clear
97.	Does the proposed solution address the problem presented in the anti- pattern?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	I agree
	I disagree
98.	In case you have a different suggestion on the problem solution, please provide a description

99.	Have you ever encountered this problem in any project you have previously worked on or are currently working on?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I did
	No, I did not
100.	How harmful do you think this anti-pattern problem is?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
	Not O O O Extremely harmful
Fir	nal thoughts
Fin	ally, answer some questions about the catalog as a whole.
101.	Based on your experience, is there any issue related to Micro Frontends not covered in the presented anti-patterns?
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes, I agree
	No, I disagree
102.	If you do agree, please provide a description of the problem.

103.	How do you think this catalog would help improve the quality of micro frontend architecture in your work?
104.	
	Do you have any suggestions for improving the anti-patterns catalog?
	Do you have any suggestions for improving the anti-patterns catalog?

Este conteúdo não foi criado nem aprovado pelo Google.

Google Formulários