Following are the results that were obtained on running the tournament.py

Matc	h# Opponent	AB_Improve	ed AB_Custom	AB_Custom	_2 AB_Custom_3	
		Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost	
1	Random	9   1	9   1	10   0	9   1	
2	MM_Open	7   3	7   3	5   5	8   2	
3	MM_Center	8   2	8   2	9   1	9   1	
4	MM_Improved	1 8   2	7   3	8   2	7   3	
5	AB_Open	3   7	6   4	7   3	6   4	
6	AB_Center	5   5	5   5	6   4	4   6	
7	AB_Improved	3   7	5   5	6   4	4   6	
	Win Rate:	61.4%	67.1%	72.9%	67.1%	

Even after running the tests for multitple times the results fall in the same range .

For evaluating the state of the board it is necesscessary to give each place a value based on the options that they open up . Hence centre of the board should have the highest value and they should keep on decreasing as we move towards the corner . Because moving towards the corners makes the player more prone to isolation . A dictionary with key as the positions (x, y) and value as the place value was created .

Following is the representation of the place values corrosponding to the places on the board.

```
0 1 2
           4 5 6
       3
 1 2 3
        4
           3 2
                1
1 2 4 6 8
                2
           6 4
2 3 6 9 12 9 6
                3
3 4 8 12 16 12 8 4
4 3 6 9 12 9
                3
             6
5 2 4 6 8 6 4
                2
6 1 2 3 4
          3
```

eg.
position\_value[(x,y)] = c
position\_value[(3,3)] = 16

#### custom\_score 1:

This heuristic custom score 1 adds the place values of all the legal moves . The place values are taken from the above representation and returns them as a score . Certainly players with more legal moves towards the centre of the board will get a higher rating and players with more moves  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

in the corners will get a lower score . This would facilitate the player to stick to the centre as long as possible , that would keep more options open in the preliminary stage of the game .

# Custom\_score 2:

Based on the above theory of place values . This heuristic calculates the opponents score as well same as the player score and returns a difference between the two . Various experiments were attempted , altering the return value own\_score – player\_score by multiplying the opponent\_score by 2 and 3 so that the player should play more aggressively . However i did not find any change in the results by doing so .

# Custom\_score 3:

The board is divided into four parts so as to better understand the board state and rate each part which can be used to calculate the player score .

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	2	3	4	3	2	1
1	2	4	6	8	6	4	2
2	3	6	9	12	9	6	3
3	4	8	12	16	12	8	4
4	3	6	9	12	9	6	3
5	2	4	6	8	6	4	2
6	1	2	3	4	3	2	1

#### Partition 1:

	0	1	2	3
0	1	2	3	4
1	2	4	6	8
2	3	6	9	12
3	4	8	12	16

Number of elements in group = 16 Group ranges from

> Top: (0,0) to (0,3) Bottom: (3,0) to (3,3)

#### Partition 2:

	4	5	6
0	3	2	1
1	6	4	2
2	9	6	3
3	12	8	4

Number of elements in group = 12 Group ranges from

> Top: (0,4) to (0,6) Bottom: (3,4) to (3,6)

# Partition 3

	0	1	2	3
4	3	6	9	12
5	2	4	6	8
6	1	2	3	4

Number of elements in group = 12 Group ranges from

> Top: (4,0) to (4,3) Bottom: (6,0) to (6,3)

# Partition 4:

Number of elements in group = 9 Group ranges from

> Top: (4,4) to (4,6) Bottom: (6,4) to (6,6)

The partitions were created to get a better understanding of the empty places , so that if one of the legal moves falls into the respective partition it could be multiplied with a factor that helps it to gain the understanding the empty places in that partition .

# Eg . Consider partition 1

#### Partition 1:

	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	1
2	1	1	1	0
3	1	1	0	1

1 – place occupied

0 - empty places.

# Partition 1 place values:

```
0
            1
                   2
                         3
            2
                   3
0
      1
                          4
      2
            4
                   6
1
                         8
2
      3
            6
                   9
                          12
3
            8
                   12
                          16
```

Hence to give the partition a rating we add the place values of the empty places:

That is for the above example.

Substuting the place values from the above representaiton for 0's.

```
Empty_places_score = 1 + 3 + 4 + 12 + 12 = 32
multiplication_factor = empty_places_score / total_number of elements
= 32 / 16
= 2
```

The above procedure is done for all the four partitions . While the place value of legal move is multiplied by this multiplication factor .

```
own_score += position_value[(x,y)] * multiplication_factor
```

Similar equation is used to calculate the opponent score

```
opp_score += position_value[(x,y)] * multiplication_factor
```

The difference of the two was returned.

# Analysis:

The custom score 1 simply returns the players position value and does not keep a track of the opponent . It is necessary to keep a track of the opponents position . The heuristic custom score 2 over comes this drawback by keeping a track of the opponents score and returns the difference of the two . While there is no way to know that the if one of the legal move is played what kind of options would it

open up , that is if there were empty places in the region of the legal move . This drawback was overcome by the custom score 3 . However , this is computationally expensive . Hence , custom score 2 outperforms the other two  $\,$ .