

Motional Ground-State Cooling Outside the Lamb-Dicke Regime

Yichao Yu,^{*} Nicholas R. Hutzler, Jessie T. Zhang, Lee R. Liu, and Kang-Kuen Ni[†]

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology,

Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138, USA

Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138, USA and

Harvard-MIT Center for Ultracold Atoms, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138, USA

(Dated: July 9, 2017)

We report Raman sideband cooling of a single sodium atom to its three-dimensional motional ground state in an optical tweezer. Despite having a very large Lamb-Dicke parameter, high initial temperature, and large differential AC Stark shifts in the excited state, we achieved a ground-state preparation fidelity of 77(4)% after 100 ms of cooling. Our technique includes addressing very high-order sidebands and fast modulating of optical tweezer trap. We demonstrate that Raman sideband cooling to the 3D motional ground state is applicable outside the Lamb-Dicke regime, for example in systems where tight confinement and low initial temperature are difficult to realize. This is particularly relevant for systems which are challenging to laser-cool, such as molecules and exotic atoms, and opens up the possibility to gain quantum motional control of these systems.

Bottom-up assemblies of trapped neutral atoms in optical tweezer arrays are an exciting platform to study quantum information and quantum simulations [1–7]. The inherent single-particle detection and control that combines with tunable interactions are keys to demonstrate neutral atom based quantum logic gates [3, 4], novel quantum phases [6], and single-photon switches [8, 9]. Advances of real-time re-arrangement of optical tweezers enable rapid preparation of atoms in large and complex geometries with high fidelity [10, 11]. Adding quantum motional control of individual atoms [12–16] further allows efficient coupling of single atoms to photonic crystal cavity [17], high-fidelity single qubit gates [18], and laser-cooled bosons to exhibit indistinguishability through Hong-Ou-Mandel interference [19].

Extend such an optical tweezer array to polar molecules could open up a large range of new applications that exploits rich molecular long-lived internal states and high degrees of tunable interactions [20–23]. Molecules could be assembled from atoms directly inside the optical tweezer [15] or to be loaded from magneto-optical traps (MOTs) [24–26]. For either approach, preparing constituent atoms or molecules in the lowest motional quantum state is important for high efficient molecular assembly and for long coherence times of any realistic quantum applications.

Prepare quantum ground-state for a variety of systems including single atoms in optical tweezers [13–16] has been successful using Raman sideband cooling (RSC) [27–29]. However, RSC in these systems has all been applied in the Lamb-Dicke regime [define]. A challenging goal is to apply ground-state cooling to system such as atoms with light masses or laser-cooled polar molecules, that are often outside of the Lamb-Dicke regime. In this letter, we overcome this challenge and demonstrate cooling of single sodium atoms that are trapped in optical tweezers to the motional ground state. We achieve a single atom ground-state probability of

$P_0 = 77(4)\%$ by utilizing cooling of very high-order sidebands in a carefully optimized cooling sequence. Our approach is general and opens up ground-state cooling for other systems.

Our experiment begins by loading a single sodium atom into an optical tweezer from a MOT for 250 ms [30] and repeats at 2.5 Hz. The tweezer is created by focusing a 700nm laser beam through an NA=0.55 objective to a beam waist of $0.7\ \mu\text{m}$?. With 45mW of tweezer power, the trapping frequencies are $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}/2\pi = \{69(1), 430(4), 590(5)\}$ kHz. Upon loading, an image is taken for 1.5ms to determine its success. The same imaging light is used for polarization gradient cooling (PGC) and reduces the temperature of the single atom to $70\ \mu\text{K}$, which corresponds to an initial motional state along the three different axes of $\{\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3\} = \{21(2), 3.4(2), 2.5(2)\}$ (initial $P_0 = 0.3\%$) in the trap.

To further reduce the temperature of the single atom and to achieve high ground-state preparation fidelity, we apply Raman sideband cooling. The relevant energy levels, the cooling sequence, and the Raman beam geometries our system is shown in Figure 1. Overall, the RSC consists of two steps: a step to drive a coherent Raman transition while removing motional quanta and a step to reset the atom internal state via optical pumping (OP). RSC is applied repeatedly until motional ground state is achieved.

Specifically, Raman transitions are driven in Na between the hyperfine states $|F = 2, m_F = -2\rangle$ and $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$ in the presence of a 8.8 G magnetic field. Subsequently, an OP/repump pulse brings the atom back to $|F = 2, m_F = -2\rangle$ via spontaneous emissions. Because any photon scattering is a heating source, we use a σ^- -polarized laser resonant with the D1 line for repump, which is dark to $|F = 2, m_F = -2\rangle$ [cite ion and K39]. Compared to using a D2 repump beam, we find a reduction in the $|F = 2, m_F = -2\rangle$ scattering rate of a factor of 130(20).




FIG. 1. (A) Energy levels and schematic of Raman sideband cooling. The Raman transitions have a one photon detuning $\Delta = 25$ GHz from the D2 line. We use D1 light with σ^- polarization to repump atoms out of $|F = 2, m_F = -1\rangle$ state to minimize heating on the atom in $|F = 2, m_F = -2\rangle$ state (B) Geometry and polarizations of the Raman and optical pumping beams relative to the optical tweezer and bias magnetic field. (C) Schematic of the cooling sequence. The tweezer switches at 3 MHz to reduce light shifts during optical pumping. Each cooling cycle consists of 8 pulses. The four axial pulses are addressing two neighboring cooling orders. The two pulses in each radial directions are either addressing two neighboring cooling orders or having different length on the first order when most of the population are below $n = 3$ towards then end of the cooling sequence.

For an atom in the motional level n , the OP could result in motional-state redistribution as shown in Fig. 2 [A or B?]. The state redistribution probability, and hence overall heating, is approximately proportional to the effective Lamb-Dicke parameter $\eta_{eff}^{OP} = \sqrt{2n+1}\eta^{OP}$, where $\eta^{OP} = kz_0 = k\sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega}$, k is the optical pumping wavenumber, z_0 is the zero-point wavefunction spread, m is the mass of the atom, and \hbar is the




FIG. 2. Matrix elements and heating as a function of motional state. The range plotted covers 95% of the initial thermal distribution. (A) Matrix elements for Raman transition in the axial direction showing deviation from \sqrt{n} scaling and multiple minima for different sideband orders. (B) Average change in motional state after the optical pumping step for all three axis. Due to the large Lamb-Dicke parameter, there is a high probability of n changing especially in the axial direction.

reduced Planck constant. Such heating presents a major challenge for efficient cooling of single Na atoms which feature a light mass and short D-line wavelengths. For our trap frequencies and beam geometry, $\{\eta_1^{OP}, \eta_2^{OP}, \eta_3^{OP}\} = \{0.602(5), 0.241(2), 0.206(1)\}$. Combined with a relatively large PGC temperature gives initial $\{\eta_{1eff}^{OP}, \eta_{2eff}^{OP}, \eta_{3eff}^{OP}\} = \{4.0(1), 0.67(2), 0.50(1)\}$. For the weak axial direction, $(\eta_{1eff}^{OP})^2 > 1$ is far outside of the Lamb-Dicke regime. As a result, the average change of motional state per OP step is large, which creates a large uncertainty on the motional state at the end of a full RSC step. Scenarios of runaway OP heating from RSC cycles are possible. As a comparison, the averaged change in motional states over the initial distribution is 2.4 in the axial direction, which is significantly greater than 0.89 – 0.95 in previous experiments of Rb and Cs [12–15].

Fortunately, the large Lamb-Dicke parameters also provide a way to overcome OP heating. The Raman transitions in our configuration have $\{\eta_1^R, \eta_2^R, \eta_3^R\} = \{0.40(1), 0.341(2), 0.291(1)\}$, which allow strong coupling to higher order sidebands for atoms in large motional states as calculated in Figure 2 [A or B?]. To offset heating from OP initially, higher-order Raman cooling sidebands can be utilized to remove more motional quanta in a single cooling pulse. Since the coupling strengths of different orders do not reach minima for the same pulse duration, using multiple orders of cooling sidebands would avoid accumulations of population near the coupling minima.

Taking the large motional-state changing heating and cooling sources into account, it was not immediately clear that efficient cooling can be achieved. We therefore use a




FIG. 3. (A) Radial Raman sideband spectrum of first order heating, first order cooling and second order cooling before and after Raman sideband cooling. (B,C) Rabi flopping on axis 3 (B) carrier and (C) first order heating sideband before (red) and after (blue) cooling. Solid lines in (B) and (C) are theoretical calculation of the Rabi flopping. The blue lines corresponds to a ground state probability of 93% after cooling and the red lines corresponds to a thermal distribution of 70 μK before cooling.




FIG. 4. (A) Axial Raman sideband spectrum from first order heating to eighth order cooling before and after Raman sideband cooling. The data for the second and higher orders of cooling sidebands are taken with 150 μs pulse time and the rest are taken with 125 μs pulse time. (B,C) Rabi flopping on axial (B) carrier and (C) first order heating sideband before (red) and after (blue) cooling. Solid lines in (B) and (C) are theoretical calculation of the Rabi flopping. The blue and green lines corresponds to a ground state probability of 92% after cooling and the red lines corresponds to a thermal distribution of 70 μK before cooling. The blue lines does not take into account the effect of decoherence due to resonance fluctuation. By comparing it to the green line in (C), which includes a 3 kHz fluctuation, we can clearly see that this effect is the strongest for the after cooling data on the axial heating sideband where the Rabi frequency is the lowest.

Monte-Carlo simulation to guide our search and to find a robust cooling sequence[31]. In the simulation, we indeed observe a high heating rate due to the large Lamb-Dicke parameters and confirm that cooling with higher-order Raman sidebands can suppress heating. In particular, we find that instead of cooling on only one sideband order repeatedly, it is more efficient to alternate the cooling pulses (Fig. 1C) between two neighboring orders for the axial direction and 2nd- and 1st-orders for the radial directions to minimize the accumulation of the atom in motional states that have zero Raman coupling. The simulation also indicates setting the coupling strength of each cooling sideband to drive a Rabi π pulse corresponding to the maximum matrix element motional state (i.e. the maxima in 2[A or B?]). Such choice insures each order cools a range of states that have the strongest couplings and leaves other sidebands to cool the rest. The efficiency of cooling on higher-order sidebands diminishes as the atom approaches the ground state. Therefore, the final cooling cycles utilize only the first-order sideband

while alternating between different axes.

Guided by the promising simulation results, we construct our axial cooling sequence by starting at the highest two observed cooling sidebands (8th- and 7th-orders) and decreasing the orders after 6 to 15 cycles. This process is repeated until we reach the first order cooling sideband where most of the population are in the first few excited state at which point we switch to only cooling on the first order sideband with two different pulse lengths in order to efficiently cool atoms in the few remaining motional states. The radial cooling is done similarly to the axial cooling with the initial cooling orders being the 2nd and 1st order and switching to first order only after 20 to 30 pulses. This sequence gives good initial cooling performance which is used to measure experimental parameters, including the Rabi rates of the Raman beams and optical pumping rates, in order to optimize the sequence further.

Two additional challenges of cooling single sodium atoms are further identified and addressed experimen-

tally. First, the high initial temperature causes population in high motional states means that the atoms sample anharmonicity of the trap away from the center. In order to address trap anharmonicity, we drive sidebands with a large Rabi frequency and short pulses to Fourier broaden spectrum coverage. For the higher trap frequency radial directions, anharmonicity is calculated to be $1\text{kHz}/n$, Rabi frequency exceeds tens of kHz is needed to couple to $[??\%]$ population up to $[n=?(\text{we should calculate this})]$. Second, the tweezer trap causes a large AC Stark shift (as large as 300 MHz) in the excited state. This creates a large, position-dependent OP detuning and mixes the excited-state hyperfine levels, and therefore reduces the optical pumping fidelity. We solve this issue by switching the trapping light at 3 MHz during the whole cooling sequence, similar to our loading and imaging process [30], while leaving OP on with constant intensity. Due to the large light shift, the optical pumping is effectively off when the trap light is on. Since the atom can only be addressed by the optical pumping light when the trap light is off, the effect of light shift on optical pumping fidelity is suppressed.

Our final cooling results are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. In total, 1000 cooling pulses (for 100 ms) are applied along three axes with cooling begins on the radial second order to remove most energy initially. To characterize the single atom thermal occupation before and after cooling, we perform Raman sideband thermometry before and after cooling[add citation?]. [How is the thermometry done? pulse duration etc.] For the more tightly confined radial directions (non-degenerate), we observe clear first-order heating, first-order cooling, and second-order cooling sidebands before RSC as is shown in red in Figure 3A. After cooling, the first and second order cooling sidebands on both radial axes are suppressed. Given the absence of the second order cooling sideband, we perform sideband thermometry by taking the ratio of the peaks of first-order cooling and heating sidebands to measure $\bar{n}/(\bar{n}+1)$ [cite]. We found $\{\bar{n} =, \bar{n} =\}$, which corresponds to a ground state population of 90(2)% and 94(3)% along the two radial directions. It is important to note that the simple sideband thermometry formula assumes the coupling strength to be proportional to $\sqrt{\bar{n}}$. Outside of the Lamb-Dickes regime, the coupling strength deviates from this simple scaling rule rapidly as shown in figure 2A. Since the Raman spectroscopy is performed with a relatively large Raman Lamb-Dickes parameters η^R , we therefore use an independent measurement of Rabi flopping on the carrier (Fig. 3B) and first-order heating(Fig. 3C) sidebands to verify the ground-state population [32]. The data is fitted using the same Monte-Carlo simulation we used to simulate the cooling which yields 89(2)% and 92(2)% and show good agreement with the population extracted from the sideband thermometry. We also extracted the initial temperature before cooling from the motional-state decohered Rabi flopping and obtained

[70(?)] μK .

For the weaker axial direction, cooling is much more challenging due to the large $\eta_{eff1}^{OP} \approx 4.0(2)$. In the Raman sideband thermometry, we observe up to 8th-order cooling sidebands initially, which indicates population in highly-excited motional states. Nevertheless, our cooling sequence works efficiently as all the cooling sidebands are suppressed after RSC (Fig. 4). The ground-state probability calculated using the ratio of first-order sideband heights yields 92(3)%. We also perform Rabi flopping on the carrier(Figure 4B) and extracted a ground-state population of $[??]\%$, in agreement with the other method. For the first-order heating sideband Rabi flopping (Figure 4C), we observed additional decoherence that is more produced due to the slower Rabi frequency. The decoherence time scale is consistent with magnetic field fluctuations of 1.5 mG that we measure independently in the lab, which produces a Zeeman shift of ~ 3 kHz.

Combining the axial and radial cooling results, we have prepared a single Na atom with 3D ground state probability of 77(4)%. We measuring a heating rate of the single atoms in the tweezer to be $[??]\%$ due to trapping light scattering.[ciet Grimm] The ground-state preparation fidelity is currently limited by off-resonant scattering from the Raman beams, which are measured to be between 3 to 15 kHz for the detuning and power we use, and the resonance shift caused by magnetic field fluctuations. In addition, there are 15% population loss during the experiment, including 5% from single atom imaging and 10% very high-lying initial motional states that are not efficiently cooled by RSC, but off-resonantly heat by the Raman beams. We are planing to improve these by increasing the detuning of the Raman beams, addressing wider range of anharmonicity by frequency sweep..., implementing better control of the magnetic field and optimize the temperature during imaging. We expect these changes to improve the cooling performance further. Another improvement could be to implement grey molasses cooling to achieve a lower starting temperature before RSC. [cite]

We have shown that despite the difficulty in achieving a low optical cooling temperature of light mass sodium atoms, reliable three dimensional cooling with significant ground-state population can be achieved by using high-order Raman sidebands in an optimized cooling sequence in the presence of temporal switching tweezer trap. These techniques are well-suited for a large variety of systems and open up a route to ground-state cooling to other species including molecules and exotic atoms.

We thank T. Rosenband and J. Hood for discussion. This work is supported by the NSF through the Harvard-MIT CUA, the AFOSR Young Investigator Program, the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation, and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

* yichaoyu@g.harvard.edu

† ni@chemistry.harvard.edu

- [1] N. Schlosser, G. Reymond, I. Protsenko, and P. Grangier, *Nature* **411**, 1024 (2001).
- [2] D. S. Weiss, J. Vala, A. V. Thapliyal, S. Myrgren, U. Vazirani, and K. B. Whaley, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 040302 (2004).
- [3] L. Isenhower, E. Urban, X. L. Zhang, A. T. Gill, T. Henage, T. A. Johnson, T. G. Walker, and M. Saffman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 010503 (2010).
- [4] T. Wilk, A. Gaëtan, C. Evellin, J. Wolters, Y. Miroshnychenko, P. Grangier, and A. Browaeys, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 010502 (2010).
- [5] A. M. Kaufman, B. J. Lester, M. Foss-Feig, M. L. Wall, A. M. Rey, and C. A. Regal, *Nature* **527**, 208 (2015).
- [6] H. Labuhn, D. Barredo, S. Ravets, S. de Léséleuc, T. Macrì, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, *Nature* **534**, 667 (2015), [arXiv:1509.04543](https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.04543).
- [7] S. Murmann, A. Bergschneider, V. M. Klinkhamer, G. Zürn, T. Lompe, and S. Jochim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 080402 (2015).
- [8] B. Dayan, A. S. Parkins, T. Aoki, E. P. Ostby, K. J. Vahala, and H. J. Kimble, *Science* **319**, 1062 (2008), [http://science.sciencemag.org/content/319/5866/1062.full.pdf](https://science.sciencemag.org/content/319/5866/1062.full.pdf).
- [9] T. G. Tiecke, J. D. Thompson, N. P. de Leon, L. R. Liu, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, *Nature* **508**, 241 (2014).
- [10] D. Barredo, S. de Léséleuc, V. Lienhard, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, *Science* **354**, 1021 (2016).
- [11] M. Endres, H. Bernien, A. Keesling, H. Levine, E. R. Anschuetz, A. Krajenbrink, C. Senko, V. Vuletic, M. Greiner, and M. D. Lukin, *Science* **354**, 1024 (2016).
- [12] X. Li, T. a. Corcovilos, Y. Wang, and D. S. Weiss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 103001 (2012).
- [13] A. M. Kaufman, B. J. Lester, and C. A. Regal, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 041014 (2012).
- [14] J. D. Thompson, T. G. Tiecke, A. S. Zibrov, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 133001 (2013), [arXiv:1209.3028](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.3028).
- [15] L. R. Liu, J. T. Zhang, Y. Y. Yu, N. R. Hutzler, Y. Liu, T. Rosenband, and K.-K. Ni, [arXiv 1701.03121](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03121) (2017), [arXiv:1701.03121](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03121).
- [16] C. Robens, J. Zopes, W. Alt, S. Brakhane, D. Meschede, and A. Alberti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 065302 (2017).
- [17] J. D. Thompson, T. G. Tiecke, N. P. de Leon, J. Feist, A. V. Akimov, M. Gullans, A. S. Zibrov, V. Vuletic, and M. D. Lukin, *Science* **340**, 1202 (2013).
- [18] Y. Wang, A. Kumar, T.-Y. Wu, and D. S. Weiss, *Science* **352**, 1562 (2016), <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/352/6293/1562.full.pdf>.
- [19] A. M. Kaufman, B. J. Lester, C. M. Reynolds, M. L. Wall, M. Foss-Feig, K. R. A. Hazzard, A. M. Rey, and C. A. Regal, *Science* **345**, 306 (2014), <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/345/6194/306.full.pdf>.
- [20] D. DeMille, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 67901 (2002).
- [21] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, M. H. G. de Miranda, A. Pe'er, B. Neyenhuis, J. J. Zirbel, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, *Science* **322**, 231 (2008).
- [22] A. V. Gorshkov, S. R. Manmana, G. Chen, E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and A. M. Rey, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 033619 (2011).
- [23] B. Yan, S. A. Moses, B. Gadway, J. P. Covey, K. R. A. Hazzard, A. M. Rey, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, *Nature* **501**, 521 (2013).
- [24] J. F. Barry, D. J. McCarron, E. B. Norrgard, M. H. Steinecker, and D. DeMille, *Nature* **512**, 286 (2014), [arXiv:1404.5680](https://arxiv.org/abs/1404.5680).
- [25] S. Truppe, H. J. Williams, M. Hambach, L. Caldwell, N. J. Fitch, E. A. Hinds, B. E. Sauer, and M. R. Tarbutt, (2017), [arXiv:1703.00580](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.00580).
- [26] L. Anderegg, B. Augenbraun, E. Chae, B. Hemmerling, N. R. Hutzler, A. Ravi, A. Collopy, J. Ye, W. Ketterle, and J. Doyle, (2017), [arXiv:1705.10288](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.10288).
- [27] C. Monroe, D. M. Meekhof, B. E. King, S. R. Jefferts, W. M. Itano, D. J. Wineland, and P. Gould, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4011 (1995).
- [28] A. J. Kerman, V. Vuletić, C. Chin, and S. Chu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 439 (2000).
- [29] D.-J. Han, S. Wolf, S. Oliver, C. McCormick, M. T. DePue, and D. S. Weiss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 724 (2000).
- [30] N. R. Hutzler, L. R. Liu, Y. Yu, and K.-K. Ni, *New J. Phys.* **19**, 023007 (2017).
- [31] See supplemental material.
- [32] D. M. Meekhof, C. Monroe, B. E. King, W. M. Itano, and D. J. Wineland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 1796 (1996).