PPL222

Assignment 2

Responsible Lecturer: Meni Adler Responsible TA: Adham Jabarin

Submission Date: 1/5/2022

General Instructions

Submit your answers to the theoretical questions in a pdf file called id1_id2.pdf and your code for programming questions inside the provided q2.l3, and L31-ast.ts, q3.ts, q4.ts files of the *src* folder. ZIP those files together (including the pdf file, and only those files) into a file called *id1_id2.zip*. Make sure that your code abides by the Design By Contract methodology. Do not send assignment related questions by e-mail, use the forum instead. For any administrative issues (milu'im/extensions/etc) please open a request ticket in the Student Requests system.

You are provided with the templates ex2.zip.

Unpack the template files inside a folder. From the command line in that folder, invoke npm install, and work on the files in that directory, preferably working in the Visual Studio Code IDE (refer to the Useful Links). In order to run the tests, run npm test from the command line.

<u>Important</u>: Do not add any extra libraries and do not change the provided package.json and tsconfig.json configuration files. **The graders will use the exact provided files**. If you find any missing necessary libraries, please let us know.

Question 1: Theoretical Questions [30 points]

1.1 Is a function-body with multiple expressions required in a pure functional programming? In which type of languages is it useful? [3 points]

Q1.2

- a. Why are special forms required in programming languages? Why can't we simply define them as primitive operators? Give an example [3 points]
- b. Can the logical operation 'or' be defined as a primitive operator, or must it be defined as a special form? Refer in your answer to the option of shortcut semantics. [4 points]

Q1.3 What is a syntactic abbreviation? Give two examples [4 points]

Q1.4

a. What is the value of the following L3 program? Explain. [2 points]

b. Read about let* here.

What is the value of the following program? Explain. [2 points]

c. Annotate lexical addresses in the given expression [6 points]

```
(define x 2)
(define y 5)

(let
   ((x 1)
      (f (lambda (z) (+ x y z))))
   (f x))

(let*
   ((x 1)
      (f (lambda (z) (+ x y z))))
   (f x))
```

- d. Define the let* expression in section c above as an equivalent let expression [3 points]
- e. Define the let* expression in section c above as an equivalent application expression (with no let) [3 points]

Answers should be submitted in file id1 id2.pdf

Question 2: Programming in L3 [40 points]

Q2.1 Let us define the Result-OK-Error mechanism, met in assignment 1, in L3:

a. Write L3 procedures for supporting *result*, *ok* and *error* structures:

```
make-ok - gets a value and encapsulates it as an ok structure of type result make-error - gets an error string and encapsulates it as an 'error' structure of type result
```

ok? - type predicate for ok
error? - type predicate for error
result? - type predicate for result
result->val - returns the encapsulated value of a given result: value for ok result,
and the error string for error result

```
(define r1 (make-ok 3))
(ok? r1)
→ #t
(error? r1)
\rightarrow #f
(result? r1)
→ #t
(result->val r1)
→ 3
(define r2 (make-error "Error: key not found"))
(ok? r2)
→ #f
(error? r2)
→ #t
(result? r2)
→ #t
(result->val r2)
"Error: key not found"
(define r3 'ok)
(ok? r3)
→ #f
(error? r3)
→ #f
(result? r3)
\rightarrow #f
(define r3 'error)
(ok? r3)
→ #f
(error? r3)
\rightarrow #f
```

```
(result? r3)
→ #f
```

b. Implement the *bind* procedure, which gets a function from *non-result* parameter to *result* and returns this function from *result* to *result*.

For example: (bind (lambda (x) (make-ok (* x x))) should return a function which gets a result (ok with x, or error) and returns (make-ok (* x x)) or error accordingly.

bind can simplify the composition of functions:

```
;; compose two given functions
(define compose
  (lambda (f q)
    (lambda (x)
       (f(qx)))
;; Compose a list of functions
;; (pipe (list f1 f2 ... fn)) with fi: Ti \rightarrow T(i+1)
;; returns the composition T1->T(n+1)
;; fn(....(f1(x)))
;; (Functions are executed in the order in which they appear in
;; parameter)
(define pipe
  (lambda (fs)
    (if (empty? fs)
        (lambda (x) x)
        (compose (pipe (cdr fs)) (car fs)))))
(define square (lambda (x) (make-ok (* x x))))
(define inverse (lambda (x)
 (if (= x 0)
      (make-error "div by 0")
      (make-ok (/ 1 x))))
(define inverse-square-inverse
 (pipe (list inverse (bind square) (bind inverse))))
(result->val (inverse-square-inverse 2))
\rightarrow 4
(result->val (inverse-square-inverse 0))
→ "div by 0"
```

Q2.2

Let us define a *dictionary* which maps unique keys to values.

```
make-dict - returns a new empty dictionary
dict? - type predicate for dictionaries
```

put - gets a dictionary, a key and a value, and returns a *result* of a dictionary with the addition of the given key-value. In case the given key already exists in the given dict, the returned dict should contain the new value for this key.

get - gets a dictionary and a key, and returns the value in dict assigned to the given key as an *ok result*. In case the given key is not defined in dict, an *error result* should be returned.

```
(define dict (make-dict))
(dict? dict)
→ true
(dict? '(2 4))
→ false
(result->val (get (result->val (put dict 3 4)) 3))
\rightarrow 4
(result->val (get (result->val (put (result->val (put dict 3 4)) 3
5)) 3))
→ 5
(result->val (get (result->val (put dict 3 4)) 4))
→ "Key not found"
(result->val (put '(1 2) 3 4))
→ "Error: not a dictionary"
(result->val (get '(1 2) 1))
→ "Error: not a dictionary"
```

Q2.3

a. Write an L3 procedure *map-dict*, which gets a dictionary and an unary function, applies the function of the values in the dictionary, and returns a result of a new dictionary with the resulting values.

For example:

```
(result->val (get (result->val (map-dict (result->val (put
  (result->val (put (make-dict) 1 #t)) 2 #f)) (lambda (x) (not x ))))
1))
→#f

(result->val (get (result->val (map-dict (result->val (put
  (result->val (put (make-dict) 1 #t)) 2 #f)) (lambda (x) (not x ))))
2))
→#t
```

b. Write an L3 procedure *filter-dict*, which gets a dictionary and a predicate that takes (key value) as arguments, and returns a *result* of a new dictionary that contains only the key-values that satisfy the predicate.

For example, let *even?* be the predicate that returns true when the number is even, then:

```
(define even-key-odd-value? (lambda (k v) (and (even? k) (odd? v))))

(result->val (get (result->val (filter-dict (result->val (put
  (result->val (put (make-dict) 2 3)) 3 4)) even-key-odd-value?)) 2))

→ 3

(result->val (get (result->val (filter-dict (result->val (put
  (result->val (put (make-dict) 2 3)) 3 4)) even-key-odd-value?)) 3))

→ "Key not found"
```

You may add auxiliary procedures to all questions.

The code (without comments) should be submitted in file src/q2.l3

Don't forget to write a contract for each of the above procedures.

```
; Signature:
; Type:
; Purpose:
; Pre-conditions:
; Tests:
```

Write the contracts in file id1 id2.pdf.

Question 3: Syntactic Parsing & Transformations [15 points]

Let us define the L31 as L3 with the addition of a new special form let* (see Q1.4c)

```
/ Program(exps:List(exp))
<exp> ::= <define> | <cexp>
                                   / DefExp | CExp
<define> ::= ( define <var> <cexp> ) / DefExp(var:VarDecl,
val:CExp)
<var> ::= <identifier>
                                   / VarRef(var:string)
<cexp> ::= <number>
                                   / NumExp(val:number)
       | <boolean>
                                    / BoolExp(val:boolean)
       | <string>
                                    / StrExp(val:string)
       ( lambda ( <var>* ) <cexp>+ ) / ProcExp(args:VarDecl[],
                                              body:CExp[]))
       ( if <cexp> <cexp> <cexp> ) / IfExp(test: CExp,
                                           then: CExp,
                                           alt: CExp)
       ( let ( <binding>* ) <cexp>+ ) /
LetExp(bindings:Binding[],
                                               body:CExp[]))
       | ( let* ( <binding>* ) <cexp>+ ) /
                        LetPlusExp(bindings:Binding[],body:CExp[]))
       | ( quote <sexp> )
                                        / LitExp(val:SExp)
       | ( <cexp> <cexp>* )
                                       / AppExp(operator:CExp,
                                                 operands:CExp[]))
<binding> ::= ( <var> <cexp> )
                                       / Binding(var:VarDecl,
                                                 val:Cexp)
<prim-op> ::= + | - | * | / | < | > | = | not | eq? | string=?
                | cons | car | cdr | list | pair? | list? | number?
                | boolean? | symbol? | string?
<num-exp> ::= a number token
<bool-exp> ::= #t | #f
<str-exp> ::= "tokens*"
<var-ref> ::= an identifier token
<var-decl> ::= an identifier token
<sexp> ::= symbol | number | bool | string | ( <sexp>* )
```

- a. Add the new let* special form to the parser of L31 (the file 'src/L31-ast.ts'):
- b. Implement the procedure *L31ToL3* (at file src/q3.ts), which gets an L31 AST and returns an equivalent L3 AST.

Question 4: Code translation [15 points]

Let us define L30 as L3 excluding pairs and lists.

Write the procedure I30ToJS which transforms a given L30 program to a JavaScript program.

The procedure gets an L30 AST and returns a string of the equivalent JavaScript program.

For example:

```
(+\ 3\ 5\ 7) \Rightarrow (3\ +\ 5\ +\ 7)
(= 3 (+ 1 2)) \Rightarrow (3 === (1 + 2))
(if (> x 3) 4 5) \Rightarrow ((x > 3) ? 4 : 5)
(lambda (x y) (* x y)) \Rightarrow ((x,y) \Rightarrow (x * y))
((lambda (x y) (* x y)) 3 4) \Rightarrow ((x,y) \Rightarrow (x * y)) (3,4)
(define pi 3.14) \Rightarrow const pi = 3.14
(define f (lambda (x y) (* x y))) \Rightarrow const f = ((x,y) \Rightarrow (x * y))
(f 3 4) \Rightarrow f(3,4)
boolean? \Rightarrow ((x) => (typeof(x) === boolean))
symbol? \Rightarrow ((x) => (typeof (x) === symbol))
'red ⇒ Symbol.for("red")
"abc" ⇒ "abc"
(let ((a 1) (b 2)) (+ a b)) \Rightarrow ((a,b) => (a + b)) (1,2)
(L3
(define b (> 3 4))
(define x 5)
(define f (lambda (y) (+ x y)))
(define g (lambda (y) (* x y)))
```

```
(if (not b) (f 3) (g 4))
(if (= a b) (f 3) (g 4))
(if (> a b) (f 3) (g 4))
((lambda (x) (* x x)) 7)
)

⇒
const b = (3 > 4)
const x = 5
const f = ((y) => (x + y))
const g = ((y) => (x * y))
((not b) ? f(3) : g(4))
((a === b) ? f(3) : g(4))
((a > b) ? f(3) : g(4))
((x) => (x * x))(7)
```

To make things simpler, you can assume that the body of the lambda and let expressions contains <u>one</u> expression.

You can use functions given in class, in particular rewriteLet.

Notes:

- The primitive operators of L30 are: +, -, *, /, <, >, =, number?, boolean?, eq?, and, or, not, symbol?, string?, string=?

 You can see their exact semantics in the applyPrimitive function in the interpreter of L3.
- L30 contains expressions for symbols ('red) and strings ("abc").

Hint: Take a look at the unparse procedure.

The code should be submitted in file src/q4.ts
You can test your code with test/q4-tests.ts

Good Luck!