

### QUESTION III

we are given two periodic signals:  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$ :

$$f(t) = 4\cos\left(4\frac{\pi t}{T}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{10\pi t}{T}\right)$$

$$g(t) = 2\operatorname{sign}\left(\sin\left(\frac{6\pi}{T}t\right)\right) - 4\operatorname{sign}\left(\sin\left(\frac{4\pi t}{T}\right)\right)$$

```
T = 10;
t = 0:1/100:10;

g = @(t) 2*sign(sin(6*pi*t/T)) - 4*sign(sin(4*pi*t/T));


f = @(t) 4*cos(4*pi*t/T) + sin(10*pi*t/T);

n = -20:20;
phi = exp(1i*2*pi*(t.').*n/T);
f_phi = @(t) exp(1i*2*pi*(t.').*n/T);

n = 0:19;
psi = rectpuls(t.'/(T/20) -(n+0.5), 2*(T/20));
f_psi = @(n,t) rectpuls(t.'/(T/20) -(n+0.5), 2*(T/20));

plot(t, f_psi(5,t)); title("5th basis function of psi") ;xlabel("t"); ylabel('psi_5')

xlim([-0.04 10.45])
ylim([-1.84 3.56])
```



now that everything is set up, it is time to define our function that will take in the basis functions  $\psi_n(t)$  or perhaps  $\phi_n(t)$  and in addition a signal  $g(t)$  and output  $a_n$ , the projection coefficients. such that:

$$c_n = \frac{\int_0^T x(t) \phi_n^*(t) dt}{||\phi_n(t)||}$$

so we will:

- 1.calculate the norm, squared.
- 2.calculate the projection.
- 3.divide them for each n, to get the n'th coefficient.

**\*\*the function is declared at the end of the document, and is as follows:**

```
% function [a_hat] = Projection_coef(x,PSI,T)
```

```
% %INPUT: x -continuous time x(t) values
% %      PSI - a matrix wit basis functions
% %      T period duration
% %
%      PSI_CONJ = conj(PSI);
%      norm = trapz(abs(PSI.^2));
%      proj = trapz(x.'.*PSI_CONJ);
%      a_hat = proj/norm;
% end
```

---

## BET

---

let us calculate the coefficients  $c_n$  for  $f(t)$  using  $\phi_n(t)$  basis functins.

a quick reminder:

$$f(t) = 4\cos\left(4\frac{\pi t}{T}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{10\pi t}{T}\right)$$


```
cn_of_f_using_phi = Projection_coef(f(t), phi , T);
TAB =table(cn_of_f_using_phi)
```

TAB = 1x1 table

1	2.5224e-16 - 3.4273e-16i

let's plot it just to understand what we see:

```
stem(-20:20,abs(cn_of_f_using_phi) ); title('|cn| of f(t) using phi basis functions'),xlabel('n')
```



explanation:

we got exactly what we should have expected.  $f$  consists of 2 frequencies exactly (of sine and cosine) which means 4 spikes total, conjugates included.  $(\pm\omega_a, \pm i \cdot \omega_b)$

now we shall move to calculate the coefficients  $c_n$  for  $f(t)$  using  $\psi_n(t)$  basis functions.

```
cn_of_f_using_psi = Projection_coef(f(t), psi , T);
TAB =table(cn_of_f_using_psi);
```


again plotting will help us a great deal to understand the table:

```
stem(0:19,abs(cn_of_f_using_psi) ); title('|cn| of f(t) using psi basis functions'),xlabel('n')
```


explanation:

to understand the coefficients graph shape we should take a look at the signal:

```
grid on;
```



```
plot( t,f(t)); title ('f(t) vs. t') ; xlabel('t[sec]');ylabel('f(t)')
```



the projection is exactly proportional to the integral of  $f(t)$  in the respective  $0.05T$  section! so when we look at each  $c_n$  we see a normalized sum ( average ) of the  $f(t)$  signal at each time section respectively.

$$\text{-----}g(t)\text{-----}$$

now is the time to calculate the coefficients  $c_n$  for  $g(t)$  using  $\phi_n(t)$  basis functins.

we defined:

$$g(t) = 2\text{sign}\left(\sin\left(\frac{6\pi}{T}t\right)\right) - 4\text{sign}\left(\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{T}t\right)\right)$$


```
cn_of_g_using_phi = Projection_coef(g(t), phi , T);
TAB =table(cn_of_g_using_phi)
```

TAB = 1x1 table

1	-1.0000e-03 + 1.2321e-16i

let's plot it just to understand what we see:

```
stem(-20:20,abs(cn_of_g_using_phi) ); title('|cn| of g(t) using phi basis functions'),xlabel('n')
```



explanation:


once again we got exactly what we deserve. this time  $g$  consists of infinity frequencies (although it seems to be but an innocent trig function) because of the underivable sign function. later we will plot the signal and see that it is not even continuous, meaning, from intro to fourier analysis, that it is the reason to the "ripples" in the coefficients.

now we shall move to calculate the coefficients  $c_n$  for  $g(t)$  using  $\psi_n(t)$  basis functions.

```
cn_of_g_using_psi = Projection_coef(g(t), psi , T);
TAB =table(cn_of_g_using_psi);
```

again plotting will help us a great deal to understand the table:

```
stem(0:19,abs(cn_of_g_using_psi) ); title('|cn| of g(t) using psi basis functions'),xlabel('n')  
grid on
```




explanation:

like before , to understand the coefficients graph shape we should take a look at the signal:

```
plot( t,g(t)); title ('g(t) vs. t') ; xlabel('t[sec]');ylabel('g(t)')  
grid on;
```





once again, we get what we deserve: the projection is exactly proportional to the integral of  $g(t)$  in the respective  $0.05T$  section! so when we look at each  $c_n$  we see a normalized sum ( average ) of the  $g(t)$  signal at each time section respectively.

---

### GIMEL

---

to recover the original signals we will use the recover formula:



$$\hat{x}(t) = \sum c_n \phi_n(t)$$

here we calculate-

$$\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=-20}^{20} c_n \phi_n(t)$$

```
f_hat = sum((cn_of_f_using_phi.*phi).');
plot(t,f_hat); title('f(t) recoverd using phi basis functions');xlabel('t[sec]');ylabel('f(t) r
```


Warning: Imaginary parts of complex X and/or Y arguments ignored



looking good so far. out of curiosity, we must check if the recovery is perfect.

```
plot(t,f_hat, t,f(t),'--',"linewidth",2); title('f(t) recoverd using phi basis functions');xlab
```

Warning: Imaginary parts of complex X and/or Y arguments ignored





the recovery is perfect. this is obvious, it happens because  $\{\phi_n(t)\}$  spans the hibertspace to which  $f(t)$  belongs.

we want to also calculate-


$$\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n=-20}^{20} c_n \psi_n(t)$$

```
f_hat_psi = sum((cn_of_f_using_psi.*psi).');
plot(t,f_hat_psi); title('f(t) recoverd using psi basis functions');xlabel('t[sec]');ylabel('f(t)')
```



looking simmilar also but surely, the recovery is **not** perfect.

```
plot(t,f_hat_psi, t,f(t),'--',"linewidth",2); title('f(t) recoverd using phi basis functions');
```



this is because of curse,  $\{\psi_n(t)\}$  does not span the space in which  $f$  exists, and thus a perfect recovery will be impossible. more precision can be achieved using a larger number of (narrower pulse) basis functions.


$$\text{_____} g(t) \text{_____}$$

now we calculate the same for g:

$$\hat{g}(t) = \sum_{n=-20}^{20} c_n \phi_n(t)$$

```
g_hat = sum((cn_of_g_using_phi.*phi).');
plot(t,g_hat); title('g(t) recoverd using phi basis functions');xlabel('t[sec]');ylabel('g(t) r
```


Warning: Imaginary parts of complex X and/or Y arguments ignored



this resembles the shape but this is not a good recovery. let's compare it with  $g(t)$ :

```
plot(t,g_hat, t,g(t),'--',"linewidth",2); title('g(t) recoverd using phi basis functions');xlab
```

Warning: Imaginary parts of complex X and/or Y arguments ignored




this is because again,  $\{\phi_n(t)\}$  does not span the space in which  $g$  exists.

we want to also calculate-

$$\hat{g}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{20} c_n \psi_n(t)$$

```
g_hat_psi = sum((cn_of_g_using_psi.*psi).');
plot(t,g_hat); title('g(t) recoverd using psi basis functions');xlabel('t[sec]');ylabel('g(t) r
```

Warning: Imaginary parts of complex X and/or Y arguments ignored




looking simmlar also but again, the recovery is **not** perfect.

a perfect recovery won't be possible, and as discussed, more precision will require more basis functions, as close as possible to infinity.

```
plot(t,g_hat_psi, t,g(t),'--',"linewidth",2); title('g(t) recoverd using phi basis functions');
```





this is because of curse,  $\{\psi_n(t)\}$  does not span the space in which  $g$  exists, they may resemble eachothers

characteristics, but no series of  $\alpha_n$  in the complex plane gives us  $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{20} \alpha_n \psi_n(t)$

so a perfect recover wouldn't be possible. it may be perfect for other  $\psi_n(t)$  choices though, perheps more pulses, with narrower dutycycles.(s.t at least every rise and falls of  $g$  will aline with one rise and fall respectively of  $\psi_n(t)$ )

```
function [a_hat] = Projection_coef(x,PSI,T)
%INPUT: x -continuos time x(t) values
%       PSI - a matrix wit basis functions
%       T period duration
%
PSI_CONJ = conj(PSI);
```

```
norm = trapz(abs(PSI.^2));  
proj = trapz(x.'.*PSI_CONJ);  
a_hat = proj./norm;  
end
```