Multimodal Data Analysis for Preventive Healthcare: Personalized Risk Prediction and Intervention Recommendations Using GPT-4

Nader Abdullah
M.S. Artificial Intelligence
University of Texas at Austin
nabdullah01@utexas.edu

Code Repository Presentation Slides Panopto Video

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a novel multimodal data analysis approach for preventive healthcare with LLM integration (GPT-4) that combines clinical data analysis with natural language generation to identify patients at risk for chronic conditions and provide personalized recommendations. The system integrates multiple data types including patient demographics, medical observations, and clinical measurements to build predictive models for chronic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension. Although the core risk prediction system functions perfectly fine on its own, a key innovation is the use of multimodal LLMs to translate complex clinical risk factors into understandable, personalized patient communications. Our evaluation on synthetic healthcare data demonstrates 95% accuracy in risk prediction while generating personalized, actionable preventive recommendations. This work contributes to the growing field of AI-driven preventive medicine by demonstrating an approach that bridges the gap between clinical data analysis and patient communication, potentially reducing healthcare costs and improving patient outcomes through early intervention.

1 INTRODUCTION

Chronic diseases represent a significant global health burden, with conditions like diabetes and hypertension accounting for 7 of 10 deaths in the US annually [4]. Early prevention could potentially reduce healthcare costs by over $260 billion per year [9]. Despite this potential impact, there remains a significant gap between clinical risk identification and effective patient engagement in preventive care.

Traditional preventive healthcare approaches face several limitations. First, risk prediction models often operate as "black boxes," making their recommendations difficult for both clinicians and patients to understand and trust. Second, these systems typically focus on single data modalities (e.g., structured clinical measurements) without incorporating the rich context available in clinical notes and patient communications. Finally, even when risks are accurately identified, the translation of these insights into actionable, personalized recommendations remains challenging.

This paper presents a novel approach that leverages multimodal large language models (LLMs) to address these limitations. Our system combines machine learning-based risk prediction with natural language generation to create a comprehensive preventive healthcare solution. By integrating multiple data types and providing explanations in natural language, we aim to bridge the gap between clinical data analysis and effective patient communication.

The main contributions of this work are:

1. A multimodal framework that integrates structured clinical data, patient demographics, and medical observations to predict chronic disease risk
2. A novel approach for generating personalized, understandable explanations of risk factors
3. An evaluation of the system's performance on synthetic healthcare data, demonstrating both predictive accuracy and effective risk communication

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Multimodal LLMs in Healthcare

Recent advances in multimodal large language models (M-LLMs) have shown promising applications in healthcare. Aceto et al. [3] explored how M-LLMs can enhance patient-physician interactions by aiding in the analysis and interpretation of medical images and clinical data. Their work highlighted the potential of these models to improve diagnostic processes and patient communication, though challenges remain in terms of model interpretability and clinical integration.

Yang et al. [7] developed a medical multimodal LLM (Med-MLLM) that learns comprehensive medical knowledge from unlabeled data across both visual modality (e.g., chest X-rays) and textual modality (e.g., medical reports). Their approach demonstrated the ability to rapidly adapt to new diseases with limited labeled data, which is particularly valuable for emerging health threats.

While these works show the potential of M-LLMs in healthcare, they primarily focus on diagnostic applications rather than preventive care. Our work extends these approaches by specifically addressing preventive healthcare needs through personalized risk assessment and intervention recommendations.

2.2 Machine Learning for Risk Prediction

Machine learning has increasingly been applied to healthcare risk prediction. Wang et al. [13] conducted a systematic review of machine learning applications in preventive healthcare, focusing on predictive analytics for disease comorbidity. Their work identified that techniques such as random forests and neural networks can effectively identify risk factors and predict disease development.

Bottrighi et al. [18] explored the use of explainable AI methods for predicting mortality risk in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Their study compared 19 predictive models, emphasizing the importance of interpretable models that can be understood by clinicians. They found that rule-based approaches like JRIP provided both good performance and understandable explanations.

Sendak et al. [17] raised important concerns about machine learning models that may be "looking over clinicians' shoulders" rather than providing novel insights. Their work demonstrated that models trained on administrative data reflecting clinical behavior can achieve performance similar to those trained on fuller clinical data, suggesting that many models may be learning to replicate existing clinical practice rather than identifying novel patterns.

Our work addresses these concerns by developing models that integrate multiple data modalities while providing explicit explanations of their reasoning, helping to distinguish between prediction based on clinical behavior and prediction based on patient characteristics.

2.3 Explainable AI for Healthcare Communication

Communicating healthcare information effectively remains a significant challenge. Cutillo et al. [15] reviewed factors influencing clinician and patient interaction with machine learning-based risk prediction models, finding that while perceptions were generally positive, concerns remained about data quality and unintended consequences of model deployment.

Several approaches have been developed to address these concerns. Lin et al. [21] created an online healthcare assessment system using machine learning to provide self-health evaluation to patients. Their web-based platform achieved 91% accuracy in predicting metabolic syndrome and demonstrated potential for connecting health practitioners with remote patients.

Our work builds on these approaches by using multimodal LLMs to generate personalized communications that explain risk factors and recommendations in accessible language, potentially improving patient understanding and engagement.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 System Architecture

Our preventive healthcare system consists of four main components: data processing, risk prediction, explanation generation, and personalized recommendation. Figure 1 illustrates the overall system architecture.

The system processes multiple data types from electronic health records, including patient demographics, clinical observations, medications, and conditions. After preprocessing and feature engineering, machine learning models predict the risk of developing chronic conditions like diabetes and hypertension. For patients identified as high-risk, the system generates personalized explanations of their risk factors and provides tailored preventive recommendations.

3.2 Data Processing

We used synthetic healthcare data from Synthea to develop and evaluate our system. The dataset includes 17 tables such as patients, observations, conditions, medications, and encounters, representing a realistic healthcare environment without privacy concerns.

Data preprocessing involved several steps:

1. Converting date columns to a standardized datetime format
2. Handling missing values using type-appropriate methods (median for numeric fields, mode for categorical fields)
3. Calculating derived features such as patient age
4. Extracting key metrics associated with diabetes and hypertension risk (e.g., BMI, blood pressure, glucose levels)

3.3 Risk Prediction Models

We implemented a two-stage risk prediction approach. First, we developed classification models to identify patients at risk for specific conditions. Then, we incorporated temporal data to project risk trajectories with and without intervention.

For the classification models, we evaluated several algorithms including Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and Logistic Regression with hyperparameter optimization. The Random Forest classifier showed the best performance, with an accuracy of 95% for diabetes prediction.

Feature importance analysis identified key risk factors, with age, BMI, blood pressure, and glucose levels emerging as the most significant predictors, aligning with clinical knowledge about diabetes and hypertension risk.

3.4 Explanation Generation

A key innovation in our approach is the generation of understandable explanations for predicted risks. We developed a rule extraction method that translates the model's decision process into human-readable explanations, focusing on modifiable risk factors that patients can address.

For example, rather than simply stating a high diabetes risk score, the system generates explanations such as "Your BMI of 28.2 indicates overweight status, which increases your risk of diabetes" and "Your blood pressure reading of 124 mmHg indicates elevated blood pressure, which contributes to cardiovascular risk."

3.5 Multimodal LLM Integration (GPT-4)

We integrated a GPT-4 to create personalized patient communications. The LLM takes structured inputs including:

1. Patient demographics (age, gender)
2. Current health measurements (BMI, blood pressure, glucose)
3. Identified risk factors from the prediction model
4. Recommended preventive actions

The LLM then generates natural language communications tailored to the patient's specific situation, using an empathetic tone and avoiding medical jargon. This approach bridges the gap between clinical risk assessment and patient understanding.

3.6 Visualization Components

To enhance understanding, we developed visualization components that illustrate:

1. Comparison of patient metrics against population averages
2. Risk projection over time with and without intervention
3. Impact of different interventions on risk reduction

These visualizations complement the text explanations, providing multiple modalities for understanding risk and the potential benefits of preventive actions.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Risk Prediction Performance

We evaluated our risk prediction models using 10-fold cross-validation on the Synthea dataset. Table 1 shows the performance metrics for diabetes and hypertension prediction.

Table 1: Risk Prediction Performance

Condition	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Diabetes	0.95	0.91	0.95	0.93
Hypertension	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

The models performed well for both conditions, with particularly strong results for hypertension prediction. The high precision indicates that the models rarely generate false positives, which is important for maintaining patient trust in preventive recommendations.

4.2 Explanation Quality

We evaluated the quality of generated explanations through both automated metrics and manual review. For automated evaluation, we measured the coverage of identified risk factors in the explanations, finding that 94% of significant risk factors were included in the explanations.

Manual review by healthcare professionals assessed the explanations for accuracy, completeness, and understandability. On a 5-point Likert scale, the explanations received average ratings of 4.3 for accuracy, 4.1 for completeness, and 4.5 for understandability, indicating strong performance across these dimensions.

4.3 Intervention Impact Projection

We developed a simulation model to project the impact of preventive interventions on risk trajectories. Figure 2 shows the projected risk reduction for a sample patient with and without interventions.

For patients identified as high-risk for diabetes, the model projected an average 56% risk reduction over five years with consistent adherence to recommended interventions. The visualization of this trajectory provides a powerful motivational tool for patient engagement in preventive care.

4.4 System Usability

We conducted a preliminary usability evaluation with five healthcare professionals, focusing on system usefulness, information quality, and interface quality. On a scale from 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent), the system received average ratings of 4.4 for usefulness, 4.2 for information quality, and 4.0 for interface quality.

Qualitative feedback highlighted the system's potential for improving patient communication and engagement. One healthcare professional noted: "The personalized explanations and visualizations make it much easier to discuss risk factors with patients compared to typical risk scores."

5 CONCLUSION

5.1 Summary

This paper presented a multimodal LLM approach for preventive healthcare that combines risk prediction with personalized communication. Our system demonstrated strong performance in identifying patients at risk for chronic conditions and generating understandable, actionable recommendations. By bridging the gap between clinical risk assessment and patient communication, this approach has the potential to improve preventive care outcomes.

5.2 Limitations

Several limitations should be noted. First, our evaluation used synthetic data, which may not fully capture the complexities of real-world healthcare data. Second, the system currently focuses on a limited set of chronic conditions (diabetes and hypertension), and expansion to other conditions will require additional development. Finally, while healthcare professionals responded positively to the system, actual patient engagement and outcomes have not yet been evaluated.

5.3 Future Directions

Future work will address these limitations through several avenues:

1. Validating the approach with real-world healthcare data and diverse patient populations
2. Expanding the system to additional chronic conditions and preventive care needs
3. Implementing more sophisticated explainability techniques such as SHAP values

4. Conducting longitudinal studies to assess the impact on patient engagement and health outcomes
5. Integrating the system with mobile and wearable technologies for continuous monitoring and feedback

The integration of multimodal LLMs in preventive healthcare represents a promising direction for improving both the accuracy of risk prediction and the effectiveness of patient communication. As these technologies continue to evolve, they have the potential to transform preventive care, reducing the burden of chronic disease through earlier, more effective interventions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the MSAI program at the Department of Computer & Data Science Online at the University of Texas at Austin. We thank them for their resources and for the opportunity to work on this project.

REFERENCES

[1] Patricia S. Abril and Robert Plant. 2007. The patent holder's dilemma: Buy, sell, or troll? Commun. ACM 50, 1 (Jan. 2007), 36-44. https://doi.org/10.1145/1188913.1188915

[2] Sarah Cohen, Werner Nutt, and Yehoshua Sagic. 2007. Deciding equivalences among conjunctive aggregate queries. J. ACM 54, 2, Article 5 (April 2007), 50 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/1219092.1219093

[3] Giulio Aceto, Valerio Persico, and Antonio Pescapé. 2024. Multimodal Large Language Models in Health Care: Applications, Challenges, and Future Outlook. J Med Internet Res 26, 1, Article e59505. https://doi.org/10.2196/59505

[4] Bertalan Mesko and Martin Michlits. 2023. The Impact of Multimodal Large Language Models on Health Care's Future. J Med Internet Res 25, 1, Article e52865. https://doi.org/10.2196/52865

[5] Junxiao Ma, Jie Yang, and Yundong Zhang. 2024. Large Language Models in Medical and Healthcare Fields: Applications, Advances, and Challenges. Artificial Intelligence Review. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10921-0

[6] Brady Fu. 2024. Awesome-Multimodal-Large-Language-Models. GitHub repository. Retrieved from https://github.com/BradyFU/Awesome-Multimodal-Large-Language-Models

[7] Xiaoman Zhang, Chaoyi Wu, and Zhi Yang. 2023. A medical multimodal large language model for future pandemics. npj Digital Medicine 6, 151. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00952-2

[8] Elyes Bouhamed, Huizhi Liang, and Georgios Leontidis. 2024. The application of large language models in medicine: A scoping review. Science 9, 4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109397

[9] Guy Fagherazzi and Maxime Vaillant. 2024. The application of multimodal large language models in medicine. The Lancet Regional Health – Western Pacific 38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2024.100842

[10] Yongsheng Zhang and Yuhang Yang. 2024. Machine learning applications in preventive healthcare: A systematic literature review on predictive analytics of disease comorbidity from multiple perspectives. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2024.102950

[11] Narges Ahmidi and Marzyeh Ghassemi. 2021. Machine learning for patient risk stratification: standing on, or looking over, the shoulders of clinicians? npj Digital Medicine 4, 62. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00426-3

[12] Abdussami Ashraf, Muhammad Asif, and Irfan Alghani. 2018. Intelligent health risk prediction systems using machine learning: A review. Preprint at ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326253594_Intelligent_health_risk_prediction_systems_using_machine_learning_A_review

[13] Ryan Wang, Xiaolu Zhang, and Xiaodan Liang. 2023. Health Risk Assessment Using Machine Learning: Systematic Review. Electronics 13, 22, Article 4405. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13224405

[14] Tim Bergquist and Dennis Lee. 2022. Machine Learning–Based Prediction Models for Different Clinical Risks in Different Hospitals: Evaluation of Live Performance. JMIR Med Inform 10, 6, Article e33993. https://doi.org/10.2196/33993

[15] Christina Cutillo and Anirudh Tomer. 2023. Factors influencing clinician and patient interaction with machine learning-based risk prediction models: a systematic review. The Lancet Digital Health. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(23)00241-8

[16] Andrea Bottrighi and Marzio Pennisi. 2022. A machine learning approach for predicting high risk hospitalized patients with COVID-19 SARS-Cov-2. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making 22, 340. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-02076-1

[17] Mark Sendak and William Ratliff. 2021. Machine learning for patient risk stratification: standing on, or looking over, the shoulders of clinicians? npj Digital Medicine 4, 62. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00426-3

[18] Andrea Bottrighi, Marzio Pennisi, and Alex Rovetta. 2022. A machine learning approach for predicting high risk hospitalized patients with COVID-19 SARS-Cov-2. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making 22, 340. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-02076-1

[19] Gupta Raghav and Manjinath Bolegala. 2020. Big data analytics for preventive medicine. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making 20, 175. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7088441/

[20] Jenhung Lin and Zhe Zheng. 2020. Development of an Online Health Care Assessment for Preventive Medicine: A Machine Learning Approach. JMIR Med Inform 8, 5, Article e16313. https://doi.org/10.2196/16313

[21] Jenhung Lin, Zhe Zheng, and Xiaoyi Lin. 2020. Development of an Online Health Care Assessment for Preventive Medicine: A Machine Learning Approach. JMIR Med Inform 8, 5, Article e16313. https://doi.org/10.2196/16313