

Review Guidelines and Submission Procedure

Welcome to the review guidelines presentation!

As a reviewer for ISCAS-2025, your feedback is crucial in helping us select the best papers for the conference.

Many thanks in advance!

We use four main categories to evaluate submissions:

- ACCEPT: Represents excellent technical work & is well written.
- MARGINAL ACCEPT: Needs some changes to enhance its technical or presentation quality.
- MARGINAL REJECT: Content, style, examples, description of previous work or results needs major improvement.
- REJECT: Seriously deficient & should not be considered for this conference.

We use a scale from Highest to Lowest, with each level indicating increasing certainty.

- Highest: I'm extremely confident in my recommendation.
- High: I'm fairly certain but might have some minor doubts.
- Average: My confidence is moderate; I've considered both pros and cons.
- Low: I'm less confident; there are significant concerns or uncertainties.
- Lowest: I'm not at all confident; the paper doesn't meet our conference standards.

Relevance refers to how well the paper addresses the conference topic. We use a scale from Excellent to Very Weak, with each level indicating increasing irrelevance.

- Excellent: The paper addresses the conference topic directly and thoroughly.
- Good: The paper touches on the conference topic but may not be entirely relevant.
- Average: The paper attempts to address the conference topic but has some gaps or limitations.
- Weak: The paper doesn't address the conference topic at all.
- Very Weak: The paper is completely off-topic and irrelevant.

Originality refers to the novelty and creativity of the paper. We use a scale from Excellent to Very Weak, with each level indicating increasing originality.

- Excellent: The paper presents novel ideas or approaches.
- Good: The paper builds upon existing research but offers some new insights.
- Average: The paper is largely based on existing research without adding much new value.
- Weak: The paper lacks originality and fails to contribute to the field.
- Very Weak: The paper is entirely unoriginal and lacks any meaningful contribution.

Presentation Format

We use three main categories to determine the presentation format:

- Lecture: Best suited for longer papers with in-depth discussions.
- Poster: Suitable for shorter papers or those with visual components.
- Either: Some papers may require both formats.

To summarize:

- Decision recommendations (ACCEPT, MARGINAL ACCEPT, MARGINAL REJECT, REJECT)
- Confidence level (Highest to Lowest)
- Relevance (Excellent to Very Weak)
- Originality (Excellent to Very Weak)
- Presentation format (Lecture, Poster, Either)

ISCAS 2025

IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS
LONDON, UK

Enter/Edit Reviews

- Input answers directly in the grid by **DOUBLE CLICKING** each cell and selecting an answer from the pop-up list. OR, click the pencil icon to open the review form.
- **HINT:** Hover over the column title for more detail.
- Ensure you have a **GREEN CHECK** in the status column which confirms you have answered ALL review questions

DOUBLECLICK or ENTER to edit cell. Your answers are automatically saved. A green check mark in the status column indicates the review is complete.

Download all PDFs as zip file Filter: Submission ID: Status: ALL Track: ALL Clear All filters

Naem Abbasi has completed 9/6 assigned reviews for ISCAS 2025

Submission: 1127 Status: (INCOMPLETE: 0/11 required answers)
Title: MDE: Modality Discrimination Enhancement for Multi-Modal Recommendation
Authors: Heng Zhou, Yucheng Wang, Ninghui Zhai

#	Submission ID	Status	Actions	Track	Theme(s)	Title	Decision	Confidence	Relevance	Originality	Accuracy
1	1127	✖	✎	12.1		MDE: Modality Discrimination Enhancement for ...	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →
2	1276	✖	✎	2.6		DPAcc: an FPGA-Based Differential Privacy Acco...	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →
3	1554	✖	✎	2.3		Kung-Fu: an Energy-Efficient Compute-in-Memor...	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →
4	1989	✖	✎	2.3		High-Performance Co-Processing Architecture U...	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →
5	1928	✖	✎	2.3		AS2.8 TOPSWP-FP-INT Digital Computing in Me...	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →
6	2471	✖	✎	2.6		A-Privacy-Aware Quantification Approach for Effic...	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →	→ REQUIRED →

or help or comments contact: iscas@eppapers.org

Copyright © 2001-2024, All Rights Reserved

Enter/Edit Review for 1127




Title: MDE: Modality Discrimination Enhancement for Multi-Modal Recommendation

* Decision Recommendation to the Program Committee


ACCEPT: Represents excellent technical work & is well written. MARGINAL ACCEPT: Needs some changes to enhance its technical or presentation quality. MARGINAL REJECT: Content, style, examples, description of previous work or results needs major improvement. REJECT: Seriously deficient & should not be considered for this conference.

Choose Decision 

* Confidence Level of Your Decision

Confidence Level 


* Rate the relevance of this submission.

Choose Rating 

* Rate the originality of this submission.

Choose Rating 

* Rate the technical accuracy of this submission.

Choose Rating 

* Rate the clarity of this submission.

Choose Rating 

* Rate the references for this submission.

Choose Rating 

* Does this submission need to be edited?

Choose One 

* Comments to the author

Please provide specific comments on the strengths and weaknesses of this submission. Constructive comments that aid the author in improving their submission are the most important feedback. DO NOT INCLUDE YOUR NAME OR COMPANY AFFILIATION!



Review Submission

* Comments to the author

Please provide specific comments on the strengths and weaknesses of this submission. Constructive comments that aid the author in improving their submission are the most important feedback. DO NOT INCLUDE YOUR NAME OR COMPANY AFFILIATION!

* Comments to the program committee

These comments will NOT be provided to the authors. This is for internal use by the program committee only.

* Your suggestion for presentation format

Choose One



Cancel

Save changes