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## Designing Agents namely Multi-Agent for classic Version of Pac-Man including Ghosts

## **Q1. Reflex Agent – Improvements in game considering food & ghost locations**

**Methodology Used:** Reflex agent uses following strategy to evaluate action:

* Consider the location of nearest ghost; if a ghost is about to catch Pacman should run towards other direction. In this case the function should return a large negative number
* If the step eats a food, Pacman should favor this step. In this case return a very high value
* Consider distance to nearest food. Evaluation function would be reciprocal to this.

A sum of these three strategies is returned by the evaluation function. We are using Manhattan distance to compute distances.

**Execution Details**

The basic set1 with testClassic Layout could be cleared successfully with our defined evaluation function

**Set 1:**

python pacman.py -p ReflexAgent –l testClassic

*Pacman Game status:* Win

*Total Score:* 564

**Set 2:** We use the mediumClassic layout with one ghost – 10 games were run and results are as below.

python pacman.py -–frameTime 0.1 -p ReflexAgent –k 1 –n 10

*Average Score: 1052.2*

*Scores: 1485.0, 971.0, 1373.0, 1460.0, 675.0, 1056.0, -75.0, 1306.0, 980.0, 1291.0*

*Win Rate: 9/10 (0.90)*

*Record: Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Loss, Win, Win, Win*

**Set 3:** Usage of mediumClassic layout with two ghost - 10 games were run and results are as below.

python pacman.py -–frameTime 0.1 -p ReflexAgent –k 2 –n 10

Average Score: 855.8

Scores: 1089.0, 238.0, 273.0, 1368.0, 1256.0, 1703.0, 1679.0, 259.0, 331.0, 362.0

Win Rate: 5/10 (0.50)

Record: Win, Loss, Loss, Win, Win, Win, Win, Loss, Loss, Loss

**Note: Repeated Run with 2 ghosts – Pac-Man wins with 50% signifying evaluation functions to quite good.**

Set 4: Usage of openClassic layout repeatedly ie ten times

python pacman.py -p ReflexAgent –l openClassic –n 10 -q

Here are scores of each run, average score and win rate.

Average Score: 1257.5

Scores: 1260.0, 1257.0, 1257.0, 1258.0, 1244.0, 1260.0, 1264.0, 1257.0, 1259.0, 1259.0

Win Rate: 10/10 (1.00)

Record: Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Win, Win

## **Q2. Adversarial search Agent in the provided Minimax Agent Class**

**Methodology Used:** We make the recursive minimax decision from the current state wrt the number of agents, depth, successors, evaluation function during the particular state under consideration. Based on the agent value we call the minimizer or the maximizer.

**Execution Set 1:** for depth =4 with an sample set of 1000 games.

python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=4 --numGames 1000 --frameTime 0 --fixRandomSeed --textGraphics

Win Rate: 436/1000 (0.44)

time taken: 0:28:16.454000 seconds

**Execution Set 2:** for depth =4 with an sample set of 100 games.

python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=4 --numGames 100 --frameTime 0 --fixRandomSeed --textGraphics

Win Rate: 48/100 (0.48)

time taken: 0:04:55.984000 seconds

**Execution Set 3:** for depth =3 with an sample set of 100 games.

python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=3 --numGames 100 --frameTime 0 --fixRandomSeed --textGraphics

Win Rate: 35/100 (0.35)

time taken: 0:00:25.816000 seconds

**Execution Set 4:** for depth =4 with n=10 games with smartScorer giving number of nodes expanded at each state.

python pacman.py -p MinimaxAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=4, profile=True, evalFn=smartScorer –n 10

We have an evaluation function defined and with smart scorer we get the number of nodes expanded at each state.

For more details refer to report/MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_smartScorer.txt

**Note:** Similarly smartScorer – complete details about the win rate, nodes expanded at each state are attached with depth=3,depth=4 with n=100,1000,10 combinations with file name suffix smartScorer.

Q3. Alpha-Beta pruning

**Methodology Used:** To decrease the number of nodes as evaluated by minimax algorithm by using two values alpha & beta representing the maximum score for maximizing player & minimum score for minimizing player. Some nodes or path are not chosen when beta<=alpha. Hence we eliminate going to certain paths – thus saving time.

**Execution Set 1:** for depth =4 with an sample set of 1000 games.

python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=4 --numGames 1000 --frameTime 0 --fixRandomSeed --textGraphics

Win Rate: 656/1000 (0.66)

Time Taken: 0:04:53.418000 seconds

**Execution Set 2:** for depth =4 with an sample set of 100 games.

python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=4 --numGames 1000 --frameTime 0 --fixRandomSeed --textGraphics

Win Rate: 63/1000 (0.63)

Time Taken: 0:00:32.480000 seconds

**Execution Set 3:** for depth =3 with an sample set of 10 games with smallClassic layout.

python pacman.py -p AlphaBetaAgent -l minimaxClassic -a depth=3, evalFn=smartScorer, profile=True –l smallClassic –n 10 –framTime 0.01

Win Rate: 10/10 (1.0)

**Note:** Similarly as for minimax – AlphaBeta has a smartScorer – complete details about the win rate, nodes expanded at each state are attached with depth=3,depth=4 with n=100,1000,10 combinations with file name starting with AlphaBetaAgent and with suffix smartScorer.

Analysis:

We have the analysis tabulated in the alphabetaAgent\_smallClassic\_depth\_3.txt where we compare the alphabeta pruning to minimax in terms of the nodes expanded and how alphabeta is more efficient compared to minimax. % efficiency at each state is tabulated.

<<<<ADD- HERE>>>>>>>

**Enclosure – Attached Report Files:**

AlphaBetaAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_n\_1000.2.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_n\_1000.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_numGames\_1000\_fixRandomSeed.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_numGames\_1000\_fixRandomSeed\_displayed.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_numGames\_100\_fixRandomSeed.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_smallClassic\_depth\_3.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_smallClassic\_depth\_3\_numGames\_100\_fixRandomSeed.txt

AlphaBetaAgent\_smallClassic\_depth\_3\_smartScorer.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_3\_numGames\_100\_fixRandomSeed.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4.2.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_n\_1000.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_numGames\_1000\_fixRandomSeed.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_numGames\_100\_fixRandomSeed.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_smartScorer.2.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_4\_smartScorer.txt

MinimaxAgent\_minimaxClassic\_depth\_\_numGames\_100\_fixRandomSeed.txt

MinimaxAgent\_smallClassic\_depth\_3.txt

MinimaxAgent\_smallClassic\_depth\_3\_smartScorer.txt

ReflexAgent\_openClassic\_1000.txt

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |