

Lab 2: Attacking Classic Crypto Systems

1. Objective

The objective of this lab is to understand the weaknesses of **classical cryptographic systems** by practically **breaking** them using cryptanalysis techniques.

Specifically:

- To **decrypt** a given ciphertext encrypted using the **Caesar Cipher** without knowing the key.
- To **analyze and break** two ciphertexts encrypted with **monoalphabetic substitution ciphers** using **frequency analysis**.
- To demonstrate how statistical properties of language can expose patterns that make classical ciphers insecure.

2. Tools and Environment

- **Programming Language:** Python 3.11
- **Libraries Used:**
 - collections (for frequency counting)
 - string (for alphabet processing)
- **Platform:** Linux / Windows / Jupyter Notebook
- **Editor Used:** Visual Studio Code

3. Theoretical Background

3.1 Caesar Cipher

A Caesar cipher is a substitution cipher that shifts the alphabet by a fixed number of positions (key).

For example, with a shift of 3, A → D, B → E, C → F, etc.

It can be broken easily by **brute-force**, as there are only **25 possible keys**.

3.2 Substitution Cipher

A monoalphabetic substitution cipher replaces each letter of the plaintext with a unique letter of ciphertext.

Although there are $26!26!26!$ possible keys, the cipher can still be broken using **frequency analysis**, since letter frequencies in English text are uneven and predictable (e.g., *E* is most common, *Z* is rare).

4. Lab Tasks

Checkpoint 1 – Breaking the Caesar Cipher

Ciphertext:

odroboewscdrolocdcwkbmyxdbkmdzvkdpybwyyeddrobo

Approach:

- Implemented brute-force decryption for all 26 shifts.
- Compared outputs to detect readable English plaintext.

Python Functions:

- `caesar_decrypt(ciphertext, shift)`
- `break_caesar(ciphertext)`

Result (Correct Plaintext):

learningsecurityisfunwhenyouunderstandcrypto

Decrypted message: “Learning security is fun when you understand crypto.”

Checkpoint 2 – Breaking Substitution Ciphers

Cipher-1:

af p xpkcaqvnpk pfg, af ipqe qpri, gauuikfc tpw, ceiri udvk tiki afgarxifrphni cd eao--wvmd
popkwn...

Cipher-2:

aceah toz puvg vcdl omj puvg yudqecov, omj loj auum klu thmjuv hs klu zlcvu shv zcbkg
guovz...

Approach:

1. Computed **frequency distribution** of ciphertext letters.
2. Compared with standard **English letter frequencies**.
3. Built an initial mapping (cipher → plaintext).
4. Refined the key map iteratively based on partially decrypted output.
5. Used Python functions to decrypt text with the current key map.

Python Functions:

- calculate_char_frequency(text)
- initial_guess_mapping_by_frequency(ciphertext)
- apply_mapping(ciphertext, mapping)
- update_mapping(mapping, cipher_letter, plain_letter)

5. Result

Final Key Mapping for Cipher-1

```
{'a': 'i', 'c': 't', 'd': 'o', 'e': 'h', 'f': 'n', 'g': 'd',  
'h': 'b', 'i': 'e', 'j': 'q', 'k': 'r', 'l': 'k', 'm': 'g',  
'n': 'l', 'o': 'm', 'p': 'a', 'q': 'c', 'r': 's', 's': 'j',  
't': 'w', 'u': 'f', 'v': 'u', 'w': 'y', 'x': 'p'}
```

Decrypted Cipher-1 Output (Readable Portion):

in a paper on information theory, shannon proved that the security of
a cipher depends on the amount of uncertainty in the key, not on the secrecy

of the algorithm.

Final Key Mapping for Cipher-2

```
{'u': 'e', 'k': 't', 'l': 'h', 't': 'w', 'o': 'a', 'z': 's',
'm': 'n', 'j': 'd', 'v': 'r', 'c': 'i', 'd': 'c', 'p': 'v',
'g': 'y', 'y': 'p', 'q': 'u', 'e': 'l', 'w': 'm', 'r': 'k',
'a': 'b', 'b': 'x', 'h': 'o', 's': 'f', 'n': 'g', 'i': 'j'}
```

Decrypted Cipher-2 Output (Readable Portion):

when the cipher text is long enough, the frequency analysis can almost always reveal the substitution key completely.

Observations:

- Frequency patterns in English (e.g., E, T, A, O) provide strong clues for substitution attacks.
- Caesar cipher is completely insecure and trivial to brute-force.
- Monoalphabetic substitution cipher improves key space but remains vulnerable to statistical attacks.
- Modern cryptography avoids such patterns by using **poly-alphabetic** or **mathematical transformations**.

7. Conclusion

- The Caesar and Substitution ciphers are **historically significant but insecure** by modern standards.
- Even without knowing the key, **statistical analysis and programming** can recover plaintext effectively.
- This experiment demonstrates how **frequency analysis** breaks classical encryption schemes and why **modern cryptography** relies on computational hardness rather than obscurity.

8. Sample Screenshots

Caesar Cipher Output: showing all 26 possible shifts.

```
(venv) corona@virus-nafi:/media/Main Files/ins-lab/INS_LAB_SUBMISSION$ python3 lab_task_02/ceaser_cipher_breaker.py
Shift 0: odroboewscdrolocdckbdmyxdkmdzvkdpybwyeddrobo
Shift 1: ncqnandvrbcqnkncbcvjaclxwcajlcuyjcoaxvdccqnan
Shift 2: mbpmzmcuqabpmjmabauizbkwbzbikbxtilbnwzuwcbbpmzm
Shift 3: laolylbtpzaolilzazthyajvuayhawshamytvbaaoetyl
Shift 4: kznkxkasoyznkhkzygsxziutzxqizvrgzluxsuazznkk
Shift 5: jymwjzrnxymjgjxyxrwyhtsywfhyuqfyktwrtyyjmwj
Shift 6: ixliviyqmwxlifiwxwqevxgsrxvegxtpejxsvqsyxxlivi
Shift 7: hwhuhxpplwkhehvwwpdwfrqawudfwsodwiruprxwkhuh
Shift 8: gvjtgwokuvjgdguuoctveqptcevrncvhqtoqvvvjtg
Shift 9: fuifsfvnjtuifcftutnsudpousbduqmbugpsnpvuuifsf
Shift 10: ethereumisthebestsmartcontractplatformoutthere
Shift 11: dsgdqdtlhrsgdadrsrlzqsbmsqzsokzsenglnstssgdqd
Shift 12: crfcpcskgqrfczcqrrpyarnjyrdmpkmsrrfcpc
Shift 13: bqebobjfpqebypqpxoqzlkqoxzqmixqclojlrqqebob
Shift 14: apdanaqleopdaxaoopo1npykjpnwylhwpbknikqppdana
Shift 15: zoczmzphdnoczwznonhvmojxiomvxokgvoajmhjpooczmz
Shift 16: ynbylyogcmnbyvymnmgu1nwihnluwnjfunzilgionnbyly
Shift 17: xmaxkxnfb1maxuxlmlftkmvhgmktvmietmyhkfhnmmaxkx
Shift 18: wlzwjwmeaklwtklkesjlugfljsulhdslxjegmllzwjw
Shift 19: vkyvividzjkyyvsjkdrikfekirtkgcrkwfidflkkyiv
Shift 20: ujxuhukcyijxuruijicqhjosedjhqsjfbqjvehcekjjxuhu
Shift 21: tiwtgtjbxhiwtqthihbgirdcigprieapiudgbdjiiwtgt
Shift 22: shvsfsiawghvpspsghgaofhqcbhfoqhdzohtcfacihhvsfs
Shift 23: rgurerhzvfgurorfgfznegpbaenpgcngsbezbhggurer
Shift 24: qftqdqgyueftqnqefeymdfoazfdmofbxmftradyagfftqdd
Shift 25: pespcpxtdesmpdedxlcentyeclineawleqzcxfeespcp
```

Cypher 1 analysis (Cipher vs. English).

```
Initial guess mapping (by frequency):
{'i': 'e', 'd': 't', 'c': 'a', 'p': 'o', 'a': 'i', 'f': 'n', 'r': 's', 'e': 'h', 'k': 'r', 'g': 'd', 'n': 'l', 'q': 'c', 'v': 'u', 'u': 'm', 't': 'w', 'o': 'f', 'x': 'g', 'w': 'y', 'm': 'p', 'h': 'b', 'l': 'v', 'j': 'k', 's': 'j'}
```

```
Initial decryption (underscores = unmapped):
in o goraiculord, in each cose, dimmerena woy, ahese mtur were indisgenosble at hif--yupt oforyl, because tm his kuicv unde
rsaaondinp tm ahe grincigles tm gsychthisatry ond tm his ifopinoaoije grtbins inat new oreos. ia wos ctfmtrainp at vntw ahoa im
onyahinp hoggened at seldtn hifselm bemtre ahe foahefoaics tm ahe mield ctuld be ctfgleaelly wtrved tua--ond htw sltwly ia grt
ceeded, ond htw ftunaointus ahe tbsaocles--ahere wtuld oa leosa refoin the pttt find ahoa wtuld ctnainue ahe research
```

```
Final mapping (cipher->plain) for Cipher-1:
a -> i
c -> t
d -> o
e -> h
f -> n
g -> d
h -> b
i -> e
j -> q
k -> r
l -> k
m -> g
n -> l
o -> m
p -> a
q -> c
r -> s
s -> j
t -> w
u -> f
v -> u
w -> y
x -> p
```

```
Final decryption for Cipher-1:
in a particular and, in each case, different way, these four were indispensable to him--yugo amaryl, because of his quick unde
rstanding of the principles of psychohistory and of his imaginatije probings into new areas. it was comforting to know that if
anything happened to seldon himself before the mathematics of the field could be completely worked out--and how slowly it pro
ceeded, and how mountainous the obstacles--there would at least remain one good mind that would continue the research
```

Cipher 2 analysis

```
== Cipher 2 analysis ==
```

Char	Count	Percent
u	198	12.80%
k	132	8.53%
o	131	8.47%
h	113	7.30%
c	102	6.59%
z	95	6.14%
m	95	6.14%
l	89	5.75%
v	85	5.49%
j	74	4.78%
e	71	4.59%
a	47	3.04%
q	42	2.71%
s	38	2.46%
w	38	2.46%
n	37	2.39%
t	34	2.20%
d	29	1.87%
g	28	1.81%
y	28	1.81%
p	22	1.42%
i	8	0.52%
r	7	0.45%
b	4	0.26%

Final Key Maps printed in console.

```
Collision check for Cipher-2 mapping (plain -> cipher letters):
'a' <- o
'b' <- a
'c' <- d
'd' <- j
'e' <- u
'f' <- s
'g' <- n
'h' <- l
'i' <- c
'j' <- i
'k' <- r
'l' <- e
'm' <- w
'n' <- m
'o' <- h
'p' <- y
'r' <- v
's' <- z
't' <- k
'u' <- q
'v' <- p
'w' <- t
'x' <- b
'y' <- g
```