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Algorithm Description

1. General Information

**Intended Use Statement:**

This algorithm is intended to aid radiologists in identification of pneumonia from x-ray images

**Indications for Use:**

The algorithm identifies chest X-ray images from males and females between the age groups of 1 to 99. The chest X-ray images have to be positioned either Anterior/Posterior or Posterior/Anterior and modality should be digital radiography.

**Device Limitations:**

This device is not intended for diagnostic purposes, it used mainly to aid radiologists in making a diagnosis for Pneumonia.

The algorithm has been trained on data that is positioned either AP or PA, and thus cannot be used for data positioned in any other format.

The algorithm requires GPU in order to train and make predictions faster.

**Clinical Impact of Performance:**

The f1 score of the algorithm which is calculated using precision and recall is 0.439, which is slightly better than radiologists (0.38), hence, the model can be a good tool to aid radiologists in making predictions about pneumonia.

2. Algorithm Design and Function
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**DICOM Checking Steps:**

This algorithm uses pydicom library to assess the DICOM image for all limitations. The algorithms first checks if the modality is "DX", the patien position is "AP" ot "PA" and the body part examined is "Chest". If the DICOM does not meet all these criterias, the X-ray will not be assessed.

**Preprocessing Steps:**

The pixel\_array from the DICOM image is rescaled by dividing with 255. It is also stacked and resized to fit the input shape of the model (1,244,244,3)

**CNN Architecture:**

The architecture used to build the model is called VGG16 and contains the following layers:

\*\*Layer (type) Output Shape Param :\*\*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

model\_1 (Model) (None, 7, 7, 512) 14714688

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

flatten\_1 (Flatten) (None, 25088) 0

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

dropout\_1 (Dropout) (None, 25088) 0

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

dense\_1 (Dense) (None, 512) 12845568

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

dense\_2 (Dense) (None, 1) 513

-----------------------------------------------------------------

Total params: 27,560,769

Trainable params: 15,205,889

Non-trainable params: 12,354,880

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

3. Algorithm Training

**Parameters:**

\* Types of augmentation used during training:

``` horizontal\_flip = True,

vertical\_flip = False,

height\_shift\_range = 0.1,

width\_shift\_range = 0.1,

rotation\_range = rotation,

shear\_range = shear,

zoom\_range = zoom```

\* Batch size: `32`

\* Optimizer learning rate: `1e-4`

\* Layers of pre-existing architecture that were frozen: `16`

\* Layers of pre-existing architecture that were fine-tuned: `block5\_pool`

\* Layers added to pre-existing architecture: A `Flatten` layer, followed by `Dropout`, and a `Dense` layer with relu activation and another `Dense` layer with a sigmoid activation function.
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**Final Threshold and Explanation:**

As per the precision-recall graph above, we can see how different thresholds affect the P-R curve. There’s a trade-off between precision and recall, after plotting a graph between the F1 score and threshold, it’s clearer to see the effects of different thresholds. I picked a threshold of 0.40 to get a decent F1 score.

4. Databases

(For the below, include visualizations as they are useful and relevant)

The data was obtained from a larger NIH dataset for chest X-rays and was split into Training and Validation set using the package scikit-learn.

The age distribution in the entire dataset is as follows:
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**Description of Training Dataset:**

Training dataset contained 2290 images with 50% Pneumonia labels
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**Description of Validation Dataset:**

Validation dataset contained 1430 images with 20% Pneumonia labels

5. Ground Truth

The data here is taken from a larger x-ray dataset available through NIH. This dataset wasn't specifically collected for Pneumonia detection, so it has multiple diagnosis labels, like Atelectasis, Cardiomegaly, Consolidation, Edema, Effusion,

Emphysema, Fibrosis, Hernia, Infiltration, Mass, Nodule, Pleural\_Thickening and Pneumothorax.

These labels were obtained by using NLP to extract information, so the labels are as good as the NLP model accuracy (~0.9).

6. FDA Validation Plan

**Patient Population Description for FDA Validation Dataset:**

The population included in this dataset contain both males and females, within the age group of 1 to 100. The xray images contain patients with comorbidities mentioned above, and many of them don't contain any of these findings and are labeled as 'No findings'

**Ground Truth Acquisition Methodology:**

In order to obtain the ground truth on these patients, an expert labeling can be conducted for the images. This will be a gold standard of obtaining the ground truth. The limitation here is that it may require a lot of time to come up with a protocol and individually label the images. A silver standard can also be established to get ground truth for the datasets, where a vote of several radiologists is considered to label the images.

**Algorithm Performance Standard:**

We can use an f1 score to calculate the algorithm's performance. The standard f1 score - based on radiology panel review is currently at an average 0.387. This model's f1 score is 0.439