

# Joint IETF / OMA call

2019-02-26

# Agenda

8:00	Introductions (Chairs)
8:15	<a href="#">CoAP Observe</a> : observations across security context changes (Klaus H)
8:30	<a href="#">CoRE Dynlink</a> : LwM2M use alignment (Bill S, Michael K)
8:50	<a href="#">CoRE Resource Directory</a> : recent changes and their effect on LwM2M (Christian A)
9:20	<a href="#">SenML FETCH/PATCH</a> : Read-Composite and Write-Composite with FETCH/PATCH (Ari K)
9:35	<a href="#">URNs for Device Identifiers</a> : LwM2M use alignment (Jari A)
9:45	Data types and encodings
9:55	Closing: conclusions & way forward (Chairs)

# SenML FETCH/PATCH

- POST vs. PATCH in LwM2M: What are the implications if we replace POST with PATCH for [partial update](#) or keep them both or don't change at all?
- SenML FETCH/PATCH status and Read-Composite and Write-Composite LwM2M use. New media types needed to avoid context-aware processing.

# SenML FETCH/PATCH

- OK to use without unique part in name?
- For example: "resolved in the context of the request"?

```
[{"n":"/3311/0/5850", "vb":false},  
 {"n":"/3311/1/5850", "vb":false},  
 {"n":"/3311/2/5851", "v":20},  
 {"n":"/3308/0/5900", "v":18}]
```