

Project1

Joe Nagel

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Baseline Covariates and Year 2 Adherence

Characteristic	No Hard Drug Use N = 437	Hard Drug Use N = 39	Overall N = 476
SF-36 MCS, mean (SD)	45.1 (13.7)	42.3 (11.2)	44.9 (13.5)
SF-36 PCS, mean (SD)	51.3 (9.1)	47.7 (8.5)	51.0 (9.1)
CD4+ T Cell Count, mean (SD)	375.4 (201.1)	352.2 (194.7)	373.5 (200.5)
Log Viral Load, mean (SD)	4.5 (0.9)	4.5 (0.9)	4.5 (0.9)
Age (Years), mean (SD)	43.1 (8.7)	44.6 (9.5)	43.3 (8.7)
BMI, mean (SD)	25.3 (4.4)	23.6 (3.4)	25.2 (4.3)
Missing/Improbable, n (%)	10 (2.3%)	3 (7.7%)	13 (2.7%)
Adherence, n (%)			
>=95%	388 (89%)	38 (97%)	426 (89%)
<95%	49 (11%)	1 (2.6%)	50 (11%)
Smoking Status, n (%)			
Never/Former Smoker	282 (65%)	9 (23%)	291 (61%)
Current Smoker	155 (35%)	30 (77%)	185 (39%)
Education, n (%)			
No College Degree	242 (55%)	29 (74%)	271 (57%)
College Degree or Greater	195 (45%)	10 (26%)	205 (43%)
Race/Ethnicity, n (%)			
Non-Hispanic White	279 (64%)	19 (49%)	298 (63%)
Other	158 (36%)	20 (51%)	178 (37%)

Table 2: Frequentist and Bayesian Model Results for Hard Drug Use Effect

Outcome	Frequentist			Bayesian		
	Estimate	95% CI	p-value	Estimate	95% HDI	Δ LOO-IC
SF-36 MCS	-0.29	[-3.75, 3.17]	0.870	-0.29	[-3.59, 3.24]	-3.16
SF-36 PCS	-3.34	[-6.06, -0.61]	0.017	-3.31	[-5.93, -0.61]	3.33
CD4+ T Cell Count	-163.88	[-226.35, -101.41]	<0.001	-163.61	[-224.62, -99.89]	23.00
Log Viral Load	-0.06	[-0.46, 0.34]	0.770	-0.06	[-0.46, 0.35]	-2.43

Introduction

HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) is a virus that attacks the immune system; it destroys T-cells and inhibits your body's ability to fight infection. This analysis evaluates the effectiveness of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART), the standard treatment for HIV patients. The data come from the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study, an ongoing study investigating HIV infection in homosexual and bisexual men in the United States. The dataset includes longitudinal demographic information, laboratory measurements, and quality of life measurements from the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) on men infected with HIV. Data was collected on subjects at the beginning of HAART treatment (baseline) and every year thereafter for up to 8 years. The primary goal of this analysis is to characterize how treatment response after 2 years of treatment differs based on a subjects hard drug use status at baseline. Specifically, we will investigate the difference in SF-36 Mental Component Summary (MCS) score, SF-36 Physical Component Summary (PCS) score, HIV viral load, and CD4+ T cell count between baseline and two years across hard drug use status at baseline while adjusting for baseline outcomes, age, bmi, smoking status, education, and race. Additionally, we will investigate if any significant differences across drug use are explained by study protocol adherence.

Preliminary Methods

We will begin by checking the analysis variables for missingness and outliers; we will specifically check if missingness differs between hard drug users and non-hard drug uses. We will calculate the change in outcomes as the value at Year 2 minus the value at Year 0. We will also visualize the distributions of the four outcome difference scores using histograms and boxplots to identify potential outliers and assess the need for any transformations prior to analysis. For the primary analysis, we will generate descriptive statistics to compare baseline

demographic and clinical characteristics between those who reported hard drug use and those who did not. To evaluate the effect of baseline hard drug use on treatment response, we will fit four separate multivariable linear regression models—one for each outcome (change in PCS, MCS, CD4+ count, and viral load). The primary predictor of interest will be baseline hard drug use status. All models will adjust for the specified demographic variables: age, BMI, smoking status, education, and race. We will approach modeling from both a Bayesian and non-Bayesian approach. For the non-Bayesian, we will use standard Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, reporting point estimates, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values. For the Bayesian, we will fit Bayesian linear regression models. We will specify non-informative or weakly informative prior distributions for our parameters and estimate posterior distributions using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods. We will report posterior means, 95% Highest Posterior Density (HPD) credible intervals, and posterior probabilities to evaluate the primary research question. We will compare the results from both frameworks and determine if there are any differences in the interpretations. Finally, we will investigate if any relationship between hard drug use and the outcomes is explained by adherence to the treatment protocol.

Methods

This analysis only considered subjects with all outcomes (PCS, MCS, CD4+ count, and viral load) measured at both baseline and two years. We assessed if missingness in the outcomes differed between hard drug users and non hard drug users. Due to low sample sizes within groups, Race/Ethnicity was combined into Non-Hispanic White and Other, Education was combined into No College Degree and College Degree or Greater. Outlier BMI measurements (999 (insufficient data), -1 (improbable value), and any biologically impossible values ($BMI < 0$ or > 400)) were excluded from the analysis. Additionally, as is common in the literature, viral load was analysed on the \log_{10} scale.

For all Bayesian models, the No-U-Turn Sampler (NUTS) was used with 4 chains; each chain ran for 1000 warmup iterations and 2000 total iterations. The Bayesian models also used non-informative priors: intercepts and fixed effects had $\mathcal{N}(0, 100)$ priors and the residual standard errors had HalfNormal(0, 100) priors.

Potential Limitation of this work: it could be that hard drug users have better adherence because the ones that dont have good adherence did not have measurements at year 2