

# Review

of the paper entitled

*Producing Optimal Code Using the Unharnessed Power of Esoteric Language Compilers*

submitted by

*Boróka Anna Aradi, Levente Cantwell, András Szabó, Richárd Krisztián Szigeti*

**Reviewer:** *Bence Nagy*

November 13, 2025

The article subject to this review task is a writing practice with imaginary results, but actual literature research for the Research methodology class at ELTE Faculty of Informatics.

## Recommendation

**strong accept** / accept / weak accept / borderline / weak reject / reject / strong reject

## Summary of the Content, Evaluation

The motivation behind this research lies in revisiting compiler optimization through unconventional, creative approaches. The newly proposed optimizing method (called the Poisson-Steve method) is a two phase process. In the first phase the original code is pre-processed (including minimizing branching, minimizing stack depth and maximizing bit- and computing parallelism). In the second phase the authors propose the use of hyper-metaprogramming, which was used to build the final optimized code from the pre-processed code. The authors compared the Poisson-Steve method against two optimizers, and according to the benchmarks, the Poisson-Steve method achieved faster runtimes, less memory usage and minimized peak stack depth. The results are revolutionary in the field of code optimizing and open up new doors for further possible exciting research projects.

**Technical Content and Accuracy**

(6 points)

The paper first introduces different esoteric languages and there compiler's use in code optimization. Then it explain the new method developed by the researchers to optimize code. The explanation takes the reader deep into the optimizer and explains every step in each phase in great detail. Lastly, the paper presents the new method's performance benchmarks against two other optimizers. The technical accuracy seems to hold concrete as the methods outlines give us an easily reproducible system for its purpose.

**Significance of the Work** *(6 points)*

This research undeniably opens up new doors in the field of code optimization. This combination of using esoteric language compilers for code optimization is outstanding and is not something that most researchers would have thought to yield such great results. If these results hold, future esoteric language compilers can be developed in the future that could improve optimization performance and produce even better optimized code.

**Appropriate Title, Introduction and Conclusion** *(7 points)*

The title perfectly highlights the importance and novelty of the results. The introduction gives a great peak into the significance of this work and highlights the different parts of the solution proposed.

**Overall Organization** *(6 points)*

Clear, and easy to understand structure is undeniable in this research paper. The paper clearly introduces the topic discussed, it later explain it in great details and gives exact descriptions to the methodology used, and the results achieved.

**Appropriateness for the Conf/Journal** *(7 points)*

The given paper fits greatly into our current conference as it gives a truly groundbreaking research results to the audience. Also, more notably, it highlights esoteric languages, which seem to go under the radar for most people even though it should not. For these reasons, I think this paper would breath some fresh air into our conference with its topic and the creativity of the proposed methods and results.

**Style and Clarity of the Paper** *(6 points)*

The paper shows concise and clear language. Its use of diagrams definitely help the readers understand the flow of the code through the different phases used to optimize the code. Also, it gives concrete reasoning into why and how it achieves the given results, which I think is easily readable for most people.

**Originality of the Content** *(7 points)*

Using esoteric language compilers has been done before, but this combination of using the techniques gained by them has not been published yet to the best of my knowledge. The approach's use of hyper-metaprogramming especially seem original for this purpose. I believe these results' originality is also a big reason why they should be published.

Sum Score *(45 points)*

## Novelty of Results

The paper is novel in framing esoteric-language behaviour as a source of optimisation techniques. The paper's two phase approach involving pre-processing and hyper-metaprogramming is entirely new to my personal knowledge. Also, the paper's use of esoteric language compilers for optimizing code is a never before seen, and never before thought of approach, even to seasoned researchers.

## Appropriateness of the Methods, Validation, References

The paper shows an easy to follow and easily recreatable approach to the readers. The methods described in the article seem fitting for the goal of this research project. The paper gives great detail into the inner workings of the proposed optimization method which makes it easily reproducible and verifiable by anyone. However I think in some places some further details could have been given about the methodology used. For example the paper mentions that it uses a modified version of the Sparrow esoteric language, but gives no further details about how exactly Sparrow was modified.

## Comments on Errors, Typos, Grammar, Figures

- Typos found in the paper:

Page	Original	Correct
1	optimization <b>trough</b> control flow	optimization <b>through</b> control flow
1	hyper-meta programming	hyper-metaprogramming
2	<b>Branfuck</b> 's features	<b>Brainfuck</b> 's features
2	the compiler achieves this <b>trough</b> changing the program	the compiler achieves this <b>through</b> changing the program

## Proposal for Improvements

In my opinion further benchmark could be a great way to further improve this paper. For example, we could try comparing the Poisson-Steve technique to more optimizers, not just 2. Furthermore, the paper could include some information about how the other optimizers work internally, any why is the Poisson-Steve faster than them. Also, further improvements could be made in the benchmarking to focus more on what are the types of programs that get sped up by this new invented technqie.

## Reviewer's Confidence

expert / high / **medium** / low / none