

## Cutting Languages Down to Size

#### Student Project

at the Cooperative State University Baden-Württemberg Stuttgart

by

Nahku Saidy and Hanna Siegfried

08.06.2020

Time of Project Student ID; Course Advisors nothing 8540946, 6430174; TINF17ITA

Prof. Dr. Stephan Schulz and Geoff Sutcliffe

## **Contents**

Ac	crony	ms	ı
Lis	st of	Figures	П
List of Tables			
Listings			IV
1	1.1 1.2	oduction  Problem Statement and Goals	1 1 2
2	2.1 2.2 2.3	Ekground and Theory  TPTP Language	3 3 3
3	<b>Con</b> 3.1	ocept Overview	<b>5</b>
4	Imp 4.1 4.2 4.3	Parser	6 6 7
5	Vali	dation	8
6	Con	nclusion	9
Bi	Bibliography		

# **Acronyms**

**BNF** Backus-Naur Form

**CNF** First-Order Clause Normal Dorm

FOF Full First-Order Logic

 ${\bf TFF} \qquad \quad {\bf Typed \ First-Order \ Logic}$ 

**THF** Typed Higher-Order Logic

**TPTP** Thousands of Problems for Theorem Provers

# **List of Figures**

# **List of Tables**

# Listings

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Problem Statement and Goals

Formal languages are likely to grow over time as they are getting more complex when their functionality is extended and more application cases are covered. On the one hand that leads to a more powerful language. However, on the other hand it becomes harder to understand the language and to implement it. Thus, it becomes harder for new users to use the language.

This problem can be addressed by dividing languages into smaller sub-languages that cover everything relevant to the specific use case. This could be done manually, but using this method is likely to raise errors or divergences from the original grammar.

Therefore, the approach considered in this report is to develop an application that is able to automatically extract sub-languages from a language. A sub-language should be specified by the user using the application.

This report focusses on the Thousands of Problems for Theorem Provers (TPTP) language for automated theorem proving. Sub-languages of interest are for example a grammar just for First-Order Clause Normal Dorm (CNF) or Full First-Order Logic (FOF) The grammar of the language is provided in an extended Backus-Naur Form (BNF).

The first step to divide the TPTP language in smaller sub-languages is to build a parser that parses the grammar of the TPTP language. The parser should build a parse tree that represents the grammar rules of the TPTP language. This parse tree should be visually presented to the user and the user can then choose which grammar rules should not be included in the desired sub-language. After the user specified the sub-language, the developed application should extract the sub-language from the TPTP language and present the sub-language in the same format as the original TPTP syntax. Also, comments present in the TPTP syntax should be maintained and associated with the corresponding rules in the reduced syntax.

### 1.2 Structure of the Report

This report is structured into six chapters. In the first chapter the research problem and goals of this research are stated. Then in chapter 2 the necessary background information for the following chapters 3 and 4 is provided. In chapter 3 the concept for the TODO APLICATION is developed. Based on this, the implementation of the application is featured in chapter 4. In chapter 5 the results of the TODO reduced grammar is tested on a problem which is presented in a form corresponding to the reduced grammar. Chapter 6 sums up the results achieved in this research and offers an outlook for possible future research.

## 2 Background and Theory

## 2.1 TPTP Language

[1]

### 2.2 Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

#### 2.3 Parser

#### 2.3.1 Lex

Lexing/lexical analysis: Division of input into units so called tokens [2]

Input: description of tokens - lex specification, regular expressions][2] Output: routine that identifies those tokens [2]

#### 2.3.2 Yacc

Parsing: establish relationship among tokens [2] Grammar: list of rules that defines the relationships [2]

Input: description of grammar [2] Output: parser [2]

## 2.3.3 PLY

Python implementation of lex and yacc [LALR-parsing] consists of lex.py and yacc.py

lex.py tokenizes an input string

http://www.dabeaz.com/ply/ply.html

# 3 Concept

## 3.1 Overview

## 4 Implementation

#### 4.1 Lexer

-Definition of tokens

-Tabs and newlines ignored

-Newline would be helpful to identify comments because a comment is a newline followed by the percentage sign, as well as new rules if each rule would be represented in one line However, there are rules that cover multiple lines. That is the main reason newlines are ignored.

-A comment is identified by the lexer as a percentage sign followed by an arbitrary character excluding "]". This is followed by any arbitrary character. A comment can not only be identified by a percentage sign as the percentage sign is also part of the terminal symbols. However, the percentage symbol when used as terminal symbol is embedded in square brackets.

Tokens: LGRAMMAR EXPRESSION:

LTOKEN EXPRESSION:

LSTRICT EXPRESSION:

LMACRO EXPRESSION:

Non terminal symbol:

A non terminal symbol starts with "<" and ends with ">". In between there is any arbitrary sequence of numbers, underscores and small or capital letters.

T SYMBOL:

COMMENT:

OPEN SQUARE BRACKET/CLOSE SQUARE BRACKET, OPEN/CLOSE PARENTHESIS, ALTERNATIVE SYMBOL, REPETITION SYMBOL:

-is recognized and represented by the symbol itself

- 4.2 Parser
- 4.3 **GUI**

# 5 Validation

# 6 Conclusion

Outlook

# **Bibliography**

### **Publikationen**

- [1] G. Sutcliffe. "The TPTP Problem Library and Associated Infrastructure. From CNF to TH0, TPTP v6.4.0". In: *Journal of Automated Reasoning* 59.4 (2017), pp. 483–502.
- [2] John Levine, Tony Mason, and Doug Brown. Lex & Yacc. O'Reilly Media Inc., 1992. ISBN: 9781565920002.