## 3. 2 Properties of Determinants

Key idea: If two natrices are row equivalent, then their determinates are related in precise ways. We wentron these here and were them to compare determinants much more afficiently.

Recall that let (A) was very easy to copple in the cox that A was triangular. Thus, if we know how the determinant of a retrix was related to the determinant of an educan torm, the latter would be simple to comple making the former simple as well. Indeed, we do know how these relate.

Fact: (The determinent and row reduction).
For A a Squere matrix

- Urite hebre ledure,
- 1) If a multiple of a row of A is added to awker to produce a matrix B, then def(A)= let(B).
- 2) If two rows of A are swapped to produce B then elet (B) = - del (A).
- 3) If one row at A is miltiplical by k to produce B then det (3) = k det (A)

Then properties of you reconsider the definition of del(A) in hight of them: e.g. prop 2 tollows from recorroging when the cofactors are

(a1; = a2; = C1; = -(2j).

Let's now use these properties to comple some determinants:

Method we reduce a natrix to exhalm form, tracking how each

operhan affects the olderninent the matrix shore determinant

we actually compute is triangular (so it's the product of the diagonal arteres).

Compath the defection of 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1-42 \\ -28 \end{bmatrix}$$
 by the contents of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1-42 \\ -140 \end{bmatrix}$  of  $A$  is noted this on early collection of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1-42 \\ -140 \end{bmatrix}$  of  $A$  is noted this on early collection of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1-42 \\ -140 \end{bmatrix}$  o

On a numerical who recall an user determined takes us operations to compute via the definition. The method described here requires much less at \$13 Many operations. Thus, we need 10,000 operations for a 25 x matrix corpored to 1.5 ×1025 many (less than a second us 500,000 years.)
This method also leads to an importent characterization of inverthility:
Fact: A is invertible if and only if det (A) \$0. Inverse.
(So we gain am additional item in the morthle metric theorem.)
To conclude, two final properties of the determinant:
Fact: 1) If A is non, dut (AT) = det(A)
e.g. det [a, a] = -del Cara,].
2) If A,B are NKA, det (AB) = det (AS det (B).
$EX(A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow AB = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow dul(AB) = 16 - 56 = -40$ $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow dul(A) = 2 - 12 = -10 \Rightarrow dul(B) = 4 - 0 = 4$
NOTE: def(A-1B) + def(A) + def(B) in general.
If you're curious, the determinant defens a linear transformation for every metric A. This that is stoday in deeper analyses of the eleterminant.  (One can show in some sense that the determinant in the only function that can do miss)