

Ardusa
ᶱᶯᶰᶱᶰ

A GRAMMAR OF THE ARDUSAN LANGUAGES

by Ian A. Cook

last edited
August 26, 2020

Ardusa: A Grammar of the Arduzan Languages

Copyright © 2018–2020 by Ian A. Cook.

Typeset in Junicode and Fira Sans with X_YL^AT_EX.

©

The Ardusan Script and all languages described within this document are copyrighted © 2018–2020 by Ian A. Cook, all rights reserved.

Contents

List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
Abbreviations	x
Acknowledgments	xi
Preface	xii
I Tavonic Family: Tavonic	I
1 History and Ethnography	2
1.1 Brief History	2
1.2 Ethnography	3
1.2.1 Demonyms and Language Names	3
1.2.2 Ethnology	3
1.2.3 Demography	3
2 Phonology and Orthography	4
2.1 Phoneme Inventory	4
2.1.1 Consonants	4
2.1.2 Vowels	7
2.2 Phonotactics	7
2.2.1 Syllable Structures	7
2.2.2 Phonological Changes	9
2.2.3 Syllable Parsing	9
2.2.4 Number of Syllables per Word	9
2.3 Prosody	9
2.3.1 Syllable Weight	9
2.3.2 Word Stress	10

2.3.3	Intonation	10
2.4	Orthography	10
3	Morphological Typology	11
3.1	Morphological Typology	11
3.1.1	Phonological Fusion	12
3.1.2	Formative Exponence	13
3.1.3	Flexivity	14
3.1.4	Synthesis	16
3.2	Morphological Processes	17
3.2.1	Suffixation	17
3.2.2	Cliticization	19
3.3	Locus of Marking	21
4	Grammatical Categories	25
4.1	Nouns	25
4.1.1	Proper Names and Common Nouns	25
4.1.2	Gender	27
4.1.3	Number	28
4.1.4	Case	29
4.1.5	Topicality	36
4.2	Pronouns and Determiners	38
4.2.1	Personal Pronouns	38
4.2.2	Demonstrative Pronouns and Determiners	41
4.2.3	Interrogative Pronouns and Determiners	43
4.2.4	Relative Pronouns	44
4.2.5	Indefinite Pronouns and Determiners	46
4.3	Verbs	46
4.4	Modifiers	46
4.4.1	Adjectives	46
4.4.2	Numerals	46
4.4.3	Quantifiers and Intensifiers	46
4.5	Adverbs	46
4.6	Adpositions	46
4.7	Conjunctions	47
5	Syntax	48
6	Lexical Operations	49
6.1	Compounding	49
6.2	Derivation	49
7	Discourse	50
7.1	Topic	50
8	Sociolinguistic Context	51

8.1	Conceptual Metaphors	51
8.2	Kinship Terms	51
8.3	Names	54
8.3.1	Masculine Names	54
8.3.2	Feminine Names	54
8.3.3	Gender-Neutral Names	55
9	Tavonic Reference Grammar	56
II	Tavonic Family: Alnuric	57
10	History and Ethnography	58
10.1	Brief History	58
10.2	Ethnography	58
10.2.1	Demonyms and Language Names	58
10.2.2	Ethnology	58
10.2.3	Demography	58
11	Phonology	59
12	Morphological Typology	60
13	Grammatical Categories	61
14	Syntax	62
15	Lexical Operations	63
16	Discourse	64
17	Sociolinguistic Context	65
18	Alnuric Reference Grammar	66
III	Tavonic Family: Redodhic	67
19	History and Ethnography	68
19.1	Brief History	68
19.2	Ethnography	68
19.2.1	Demonyms and Language Names	68
19.2.2	Ethnology	68
19.2.3	Demography	68
20	Phonology	69

21	Morphological Typology	70
22	Grammatical Categories	71
23	Syntax	72
24	Lexical Operations	73
25	Discourse	74
26	Sociolinguistic Context	75
27	Redodhic Reference Grammar	76
IV	Kalaakan Family: Kalaakan	77
28	History and Ethnography	78
29	Phonology	79
30	Morphological Typology	80
31	Grammatical Categories	81
32	Syntax	82
33	Lexical Operations	83
34	Discourse	84
35	Sociolinguistic Context	85
36	Kalaakan Reference Grammar	86
V	Kalaakan Family: Elvish	87
37	History and Ethnography	88
38	Phonology	89
39	Morphological Typology	90
40	Grammatical Categories	91
41	Syntax	92

42	Lexical Operations	93
43	Discourse	94
44	Sociolinguistic Context	95
45	Elvish Reference Grammar	96
VI	Kalaakan Family: Dwarvish	97
46	History and Ethnography	98
47	Phonology	99
48	Morphological Typology	100
49	Grammatical Categories	101
50	Syntax	102
51	Lexical Operations	103
52	Discourse	104
53	Sociolinguistic Context	105
54	Dwarvish Reference Grammar	106
VII	Kalaakan Family: Orcish	107
55	History and Ethnography	108
56	Phonology	109
57	Morphological Typology	110
58	Grammatical Categories	111
59	Syntax	112
60	Lexical Operations	113
61	Discourse	114
62	Sociolinguistic Context	115

63	Orcish Reference Grammar	116
VIII Kunmian Family: Kunmian		117
64	History and Ethnography	118
65	Phonology	119
66	Morphological Typology	120
67	Grammatical Categories	121
68	Syntax	122
69	Lexical Operations	123
70	Discourse	124
71	Sociolinguistic Context	125
72	Kunmian Reference Grammar	126
IX Kunmian Family: Gnomish		127
73	History and Ethnography	128
74	Phonology	129
75	Morphological Typology	130
76	Grammatical Categories	131
77	Syntax	132
78	Lexical Operations	133
79	Discourse	134
80	Sociolinguistic Context	135
81	Gnomish Reference Grammar	136
X Appendices		137
A	Example Texts	138

Bibliography	139
Index	142

List of Figures

8.1	Tavonic Kinship Tree	52
-----	--------------------------------	----

List of Tables

2.1	Tavonic Consonant Inventory	5
2.2	Tavonic Consonant Romanization	6
2.3	Tavonic Vowel Inventory	7
4.1	Tavonic Animate Noun Declension Paradigm	26
4.2	Tavonic Inanimate Noun Declension Paradigm	26
4.3	Tavonic Personal Pronouns	39

Abbreviations

1p	first person plural
1pc	first person paucal
1s	first person singular
2p	second person plural
2pc	second person paucal
2s	second person singular
3p	third person plural
3pc	third person paucal
3s	third person singular
ABS	absolutive
ACC	accusative
ACT	active
AN	animate
DAT	dative
DEM	demonstrative
DET	determiner
DIM	diminutive
DIST	distal
ERG	ergative
GEN	genitive
IMP	imperative
IN	inanimate
IND	indicative
INF	infinitive

INT	interrogative
INTR	intransitive
IPFV	imperfective
MED	medial
NEG	negative
NMZ	nominalizer
NPST	nonpast
NRTRV	non-restrictive
PASS	passive
PC	paucal
PFV	perfective
PL	plural
PRG	progressive
PROX	proximate
PST	past
PTCP	participle
Q	question particle
REL	relative
RTRV	restrictive
RTSP	retrospective
SBJV	subjunctive
SG	singular
TOP	topic

- * ungrammatical
- ? grammatically questionable
- ! semantically odd or ill-formed

Acknowledgments

Given that I have not taken any official linguistics coursework, this work would not be possible without several sources of linguistic education. Mark Rosenfelder's *The Language Construction Kit* and *Advanced Language Construction Kit* were important to my first starting out in the world of language construction, with further knowledge gained from David J. Peterson's *The Art of Language Invention*. Of course, I received an unmeasurable amount of education via several online sources, especially the articles available on Wikipedia. Yet more education, as well as inspiration and motivation, have come from the *Conlangery* podcast and all its hosts and guests. Lexicon generation received guidance from Mark Rosenfelder's *The Conlanger's Lexipedia* and William S. Annis' *A Conlanger's Thesaurus*.

Finally, this document's format, layout, and organization have been influenced by several sources, particularly Thomas E. Payne's *Describing Morphosyntax*, Carsten Becker's *A Grammar of Ayeri*, and Matt Pearson's *The Okuna Reference Grammar*.

Preface

This document provides a detailed grammatical description of the languages of Ardusa, a fictional landmass set in a fictional constructed world. This project serves as a method for linguistic research, as an intellectual exercise, as an outlet for creative and artistic expression, and as a setting for potential future works of fiction. It is intended primarily for my own personal use and entertainment, though others with similar linguistic interests will hopefully find it interesting and entertaining as well. I have chosen to use \LaTeX to typeset this grammar because it provides a way to be clear, consistent, and organized. Further, since \LaTeX uses plain text files, it allows me to use Git for version control so I can keep track of changes over time.

My goal is to build a series of languages with naturalistic grammars that are linguistically plausible and consistent, yet also original in their content and details. This project consists of three distinct and unrelated language families, each of which contains one or more related languages. Some elements of these languages are influenced by existing languages such as Japanese, Finnish, Navajo, Nahuatl, and Arabic, but they are not meant to simply mimic these, instead drawing this inspiration into new forms along with entirely *a priori* lexicons. Ardusa and the Ardusan languages is an ongoing project with no fixed endpoint or goal.

This concise grammar is my attempt to document the Ardusan languages in an official and systematic way, and as comprehensively as possible. It is intended to be the official description of the languages. This is a concise grammar because, admittedly, I am not a professional linguist, nor have I taken any linguistics coursework. My education in linguistics consists solely of self-guided research, which means invariably my knowledge will be limited. It is a concise grammar because, frankly, I don't know enough to go into greater detail. That being said, I'm always eager to learn, and will always accept feedback. Again, learning is one of the reasons for this endeavor.

Since the purpose of writing this grammar is to provide a comprehensive description of the Ardusan languages, not to teach them to others, it is not intended to serve as a textbook or as a way to learn the languages. I have organized topics thematically, rather than curricularly, and I employ technical terms when they are precise, accurate, and appropriate. I have not conducted a formal analysis of the languages, but I have worked to make it as descriptive as possible.

The discussion is ordered from the smallest elements of the languages to the largest. It begins with a description of each language's place in Ardusa followed by their phonologies, it addresses morphology and the combining of words, it discusses vocabulary and derivation, and it explains syntax and discourse. The final chapter serves as a reference grammar, summarizing all of the previous chapters. There are

also several appendices describing the conceptual metaphors that organize much of the lexicons, the naming practices of the fictional speakers of these languages, several translation examples, and lexicons. Other resources include a glossary of linguistic glossing abbreviations, a bibliography, and an index.

This document uses several linguistics conventions to clarify meaning. Any reference to specific orthographic spelling is marked with angled brackets, such as ⟨hin⟩. Pronunciations are usually given phonemically, in which case they are marked with slashes, such as /hin/. Phonetic pronunciations are used only when conveying specific details like the difference between allophones, and are marked with square brackets, such as [çin]. Both phonemic and phonetic pronunciations are given using the International Phonetic Alphabet. Foreign words are always written in italics, such as *lu*. English glosses are surrounded by single quotes, such as ‘and’. If a morphological gloss is provided in-line, it is surrounded by parentheses, such as (INF).

Many short examples are provided in one single line.

- (1) Tavonic: ꝥꝥ ꝑek /fek/ ‘ran’ (run-IND.PST.PFV)

Longer examples are usually provided with a multi-line, or interlinear, gloss. In these examples, the optional first line will indicate which language the example is in, if it is not clear from context. The next two lines present the text in that language, one in the Ardusan Script and one using the romanization, followed by the pronunciation. After this, the text is broken into its component morphemes, and the following line provides a morpheme-by-morpheme gloss. The final line provides an English translation of the example phrase or sentence.

- (2) Tavonic
bꝑb ꝥꝥ ꝥꝥꝥ:
Nan oko šeđo.
/nan o'ko 'fe.ðo/

nan= oko š-eđo
PL.AN.TOP= dog run-IND.PST.PRG

‘The dogs were running.’

As shown in example 2, morpheme glosses are labeled with abbreviations in SMALL CAPS. A full list of all glossing abbreviations is given on page x. A hyphen marks a morpheme boundary within a word that is shared between the text and its gloss, while a period marks a boundary present in only one or the other, including when a single word in the text corresponds to multiple words in its gloss. Clitics are marked with an equals sign, reduplication with a tilde, discontinuous affixes (e.g., infixes, circumfixes) with angle brackets, and morphemes that cannot be easily separated out with backslashes.

The L^AT_EX source code for this grammar and a copy of this PDF are available in a public [GitHub](#) repository. Undoubtedly, there will be errors in this document. If you notice any, please feel free to open an issue in the GitHub repository with a description and the location of the error.

Ian A. Cook
Minneapolis, September 8, 2018

Part I

Tavonic Family: Tavonic

History and Ethnography

This chapter will present a brief history of the Tavonic language family, followed by a short description of its ethnolinguistic context.

1.1 Brief History

The Tavotath (the Tavonic people) migrated to Ardusa hundreds of years ago in what they termed Year 1 of the Ardusan Era (AE). Ardusa is far from any other landmasses and is isolated from the influence of other lands and other peoples. The Tavotath landed in the warm southeastern regions of Ardusa where they first established their new home, naming this new realm \mathfrak{Urdeso} *Urdeso*, a compound word meaning ‘Safe Land’. Over the following centuries, the Tavotath spread westward and northward throughout the whole of Ardusa.

As the Tavotath spread, they formed several individual territories, each of which eventually developed into small kingdoms. These kingdoms constantly battled one another for power, and borders were continually shifting. Those who fled the fighting fled northward, furthering the Tavonic expansion throughout Ardusa. As the Tavotath spread farther apart and splintered, their language diverged. Two main dialects emerged, one in the north and one in the south.

After a few hundred years, one kingdom in the south emerged as dominant, conquering or allying with more and more kingdoms until, by 327 AE, the entire south of Ardusa was united under one empire. This empire enforced the usage of the language that had emerged in the south, thus forming the Alnuric language. The empire continued to push northward until it spread too thin and reached a stalemate with the allied kingdoms in the north around 371 AE. Finally, in 582 AE after a couple hundred years of relatively stable rule, the empire declined and divided again into individual territories, leaving behind six sovereign kingdoms.

While the empire was emerging in the south, the kingdoms in the north formed a loose alliance to resist its spread. The alliance managed to reach a stalemate with the empire, stopping its spread northward. The allied kingdoms together maintained the language that emerged in the north, thus forming the Redodhic language. Eventually, as the empire split in 582 AE and the northern alliance was no longer needed, the north also split into individual territories, leaving behind four sovereign kingdoms.

1.2 Ethnography

1.2.1 Demonyms and Language Names

The Tavotath were a tribe that migrated to Ardusa together, fleeing their previous home. The Tavonic word $\tau\alpha\upsilon\upsilon$ *tavo* /ta'vo/ means 'person', and so the derived word $\tau\alpha\upsilon\upsilon\tau\alpha\theta$ *Tavotath* /ta.vo'taθ/ means 'people' or 'tribe'. In other words, the Tavotath referred to themselves as the People, with $\tau\alpha\upsilon\upsilon\beta\eta$ *Tavonak* being the Language of the People. The Alnuric- and Redodhic-derived words, $\tau\epsilon\upsilon\upsilon\delta\epsilon\theta$ *Tevedeph* /te.vo'deθ/ and $\tau\omicron\upsilon\upsilon\delta\eta\theta$ *Tovujith* /to.vu'dziθ/ respectively, refer to all people who descended from the original Tavotath tribe. Both Alnuric and Redodhic are Tavotath languages and part of the Tavonic language family.

1.2.2 Ethnology

Here will be a brief ethnological description of the Tavotath.

1.2.3 Demography

Here will be a brief demographical description of the Tavotath.

Phonology and Orthography

This chapter will present the phonological inventory of consonants and vowels and the orthography used to write them. An observational analysis of the Tavonic languages' syllable structures and phonotactics will follow. The chapter will close with notes on syllable stress within words and a brief exploration of intonation.

2.1 Phoneme Inventory

2.1.1 Consonants

With approximately 20 consonants, Tavonic has an “average” inventory.¹ Table 2.1 shows the full chart of consonant phonemes, along with several allophones enclosed in parentheses. Table 2.2 shows how each consonant in Tavonic is romanized.

Despite its “average” inventory of consonants, there are many more allophones that occur in the language. First, any doubled consonant is realized as a geminated (elongated) consonant.

- (1) ḡbbḡḡ *unner* /u'n:er/ ‘empire’

Thus, example 1 above is realized with a lengthened [n]. A doubled ⟨r⟩ is similarly geminated, but the pronunciation changes from a flap/tap to a trill.

The remaining allophones occur due to various sound change processes, mostly by assimilation. For example, /n/ becomes velarized when it appears immediately before a velar consonant.

- (2) ʔʔqvbḡʔ *tavonga* [ta.voŋ'ga] ‘humanlike’

As discussed above, ⟨r⟩ can be pronounced as both a tap/flap [ɾ] and as a trill [r]. Additionally, when part of certain consonant clusters, it can be pronounced as an approximant [ɹ]. This primarily occurs when the ⟨r⟩ leads into a cluster or immediately follows a nasal.

- (3) lḡvḡḡʔʔc *frorgali* [froɹ.'ga.li] ‘to un-see’

¹Ian Maddieson, “Consonant Inventories,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/1>.


Table 2.1: Tavonic Phonetic Consonant Inventory (allophones in parentheses)

	Bilabial	Labio-dental		Dental		Alveolar		Post-alveolar		Velar
Nasal	m					n				(ŋ)
Plosive		p	b	t	d					k g
Fricative		f	v	θ	ð	s	z	ʃ	ʒ	x ɣ
Flap/Tap							r			
Trill							(r)			
Approximant							(ɹ)			
Lateral							l			

Table 2.2: Tavonic Consonant Romanization

Phone	Phoneme	Script	Romanization	English	Notes
[m]	/m/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨m⟩	⟨m⟩	
[n]	/n/	⟨ᄢ⟩	⟨n⟩	⟨n⟩	
[ŋ]	/n/	⟨ᄢ⟩	⟨n⟩	⟨n⟩	/n/ becomes velarized before a velar consonant
[p]	/p/	⟨ᄠ⟩	⟨p⟩	⟨p⟩	
[b]	/b/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨b⟩	⟨b⟩	
[t]	/t/	⟨ᄣ⟩	⟨t⟩	⟨t⟩	
[d]	/d/	⟨ᄣ⟩	⟨d⟩	⟨d⟩	
[k]	/k/	⟨ᄤ⟩	⟨k⟩	⟨k⟩	
[g]	/g/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨g⟩	⟨g⟩	
[f]	/f/	⟨ᄣ⟩	⟨f⟩	⟨f⟩	
[v]	/v/	⟨ᄤ⟩	⟨v⟩	⟨v⟩	
[θ]	/θ/	⟨ᄤ⟩	⟨p⟩	⟨th⟩	
[ð]	/ð/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨ð⟩	⟨dh⟩	
[s]	/s/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨s⟩	⟨s⟩	
[z]	/z/	⟨ᄢ⟩	⟨z⟩	⟨z⟩	
[ʃ]	/ʃ/	⟨ᄢ⟩	⟨š⟩	⟨sh⟩	
[ʒ]	/ʒ/	⟨ᄠ⟩	⟨ž⟩	⟨zh⟩	
[x]	/x/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨kh⟩	
[ɣ]	/ɣ/	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨ᄡ⟩	⟨gh⟩	
[r]	/r/	⟨ᄣ⟩	⟨r⟩	⟨r⟩	
[r]	/r/	⟨ᄣᄣ⟩	⟨rr⟩	⟨rr⟩	⟨r⟩ is trilled when doubled
[ɹ]	/r/	⟨ᄣ⟩	⟨r⟩	⟨r⟩	⟨r⟩ is occasionally pronounced as an approximant when a part of a consonant cluster
[l]	/l/	⟨ᄣ⟩	⟨l⟩	⟨l⟩	

Table 2.3: Tavonic Vowel Inventory



2.1.2 Vowels

Tavonic distinguishes five vowel qualities, as shown in Table 2.3, giving it an “average” inventory.² This means the consonant–vowel ratio is 20:5 or 4.0, which is “average”.³ Tavonic does not distinguish long and short vowels and does not allow any diphthongs.

Note that all Tavonic vowels have a very rigid acceptable pronunciation with very little variance.

- (4) a. **ʒɪɕbɜʒɪɕ** *akrinsali* ‘to rewrite’ is pronounced /ak.rin'sa.li/. ⟨i⟩ is not pronounced with a lax [ɪ] in closed syllables (i.e., /ak.rɪn'sa.li/)
- b. **ɔðɪɪɕ** *eðerik* ‘pencil’ is pronounced /e.ðe'rik/. ⟨e⟩ is not pronounced with an open [ɛ] in closed syllables or syllables with secondary stress or with a central [ə] in unaccented syllables (i.e., /ɛ.ðə'rik/), nor is it diphthongized to [eɪ] (i.e., /eɪ.ðe'rik/)
- c. **pɜɪɪv** *kalo* ‘man’ is pronounced /xə'lo/. ⟨a⟩ is not pronounced with a raised [æ] (i.e., /xæ'lo/), a backed [ɑ] (i.e., /xɑ'lo/), or a centralized [ɜ] (i.e., /xɜ'lo/)
- d. **ɔɜvɪɕ** *esonɔ* ‘arable’ is pronounced /e.son'di/. ⟨o⟩ is not pronounced with an open [ɔ] (i.e., [e.sɔn'di]), nor is it diphthongized to [ou] (i.e., /e.sou'n'di/)
- e. **ɪɪɪɜɜɪɕ** *frumbali* ‘to misunderstand’ is pronounced /frum'ba.li/. ⟨u⟩ is not pronounced with an open [ʌ] (i.e., /frʌm'ba.li/) or a centralized [ʊ] (i.e., /frʊm'ba.li/)

2.2 Phonotactics

At the time of writing, there does not yet exist a sufficient corpus for a meaningful statistical analysis of Tavonic’s phonotactics. Therefore, this section will present only a cursory observational analysis.

2.2.1 Syllable Structures

Syllables in Tavonic must contain a vowel to serve as the syllable’s nucleus. Each syllable will only have at most one vowel. Syllables may also include any single consonant or one of a limited set of

²Ian Maddieson, “Vowel Quality Inventories,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/2>.

³Ian Maddieson, “Consonant–Vowel Ratio,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/3>.

two-consonant clusters as the onset, coda, or both.

In other words, the most complex syllable structure allowed in Tavonic is CCVCC, with restrictions on the allowable consonant clusters, giving Tavonic a “moderately complex syllable structure”.⁴

V

Since vowels are required to form a syllable nucleus, the most basic syllable structure is simply a vowel (V). Any syllable that starts with a vowel will occur exclusively at the beginning of a word.

- (5) a. ɔ e /e/ ‘in’ or ‘on’
 b. ɔðɔŋ eðer /e'ðer/ ‘pen’
 c. ʒʒvɐ abom /a'bom/ ‘two’
 d. vɔv oko /o'ko/ ‘dog’
 e. ɡʒɡɔvb usukon /u.su'kon/ ‘possessor’

C

A syllable can contain a single-consonant onset or coda. There is no restriction on which consonants may appear in the onset or coda with just one consonant. CV is likely the most frequent type of syllable in Tavonic, with CVC probably being the second-most-frequent syllable type.

- (6) a. ɸʒ ga /ga/ ‘but’
 b. ɱɔ lu /lu/ ‘and’
 c. ɸv mo /mo/ ‘with’
 d. pʒɱv ʔalo /xa'lo/ ‘man’
 e. yɔðv šeðo /'ʃe.ðo/ (run.PST.IND.PRG) ‘was running’
 f. ʒʒɱɔ ablu /ab'lu/ ‘cat’
 g. ɡɱrʒ urda /ur'da/ ‘safe’
 h. ʒɱrʒɱɱ akradir /ak.ra'dir/ ‘writing implement’
 i. ɔɔvbʒɱ esonak /e.so'nak/ ‘citizen’

Across two syllables within a word, there are restrictions on the combination of consonants that are possible. At such syllable boundaries, a plosive⁵ or a fricative⁶ can be followed by a liquid⁷; a liquid may be followed by a plosive, fricative, nasal⁸, or a different liquid; or a nasal can be followed by any other consonant.

⁴Ian Maddieson, “Syllable Structure,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/12>.

⁵i.e., ⟨p⟩, ⟨t⟩, ⟨k⟩, ⟨b⟩, ⟨d⟩, or ⟨g⟩

⁶i.e., ⟨f⟩, ⟨p⟩, ⟨s⟩, ⟨ʃ⟩, ⟨k⟩, ⟨v⟩, ⟨ð⟩, ⟨z⟩, ⟨ʒ⟩, or ⟨g⟩

⁷i.e., ⟨l⟩ or ⟨r⟩

⁸i.e., ⟨m⟩ or ⟨n⟩

- (7) a. elbi /el'bi/ 'egg'
 b. vbn *ongo* /on'go/ 'pan'
 c. pzmqab *kalven* /xal'ven/ '400'
 d. zmqbndz *ablunga* /ab.lun'ga/ 'catlike'

CC

Syllables may contain onsets or codas with two consonants, but these shapes are less common and there are restrictions on the possible combinations. Syllable onsets with two consonants may only occur at the beginning of a word and may only contain a plosive or fricative followed by a liquid. Syllable codas with two consonants may only occur at the end of a word and may only contain a liquid followed by a plosive.

- (8) a. Lnm *pral* /pral/ 'some'
 b. nmvdbr *tlopendi* /tlo.θen'di/ 'permissible'
 c. lnzbr *frandi* /fran'di/ 'visible'
 d. ym *šolk* /folk/ 'yet'
 e. rmy *delš* /delf/ 'zero'

2.2.2 Phonological Changes

Placeholder

2.2.3 Syllable Parsing

Placeholder

2.2.4 Number of Syllables per Word

Placeholder

2.3 Prosody

Placeholder

2.3.1 Syllable Weight

Placeholder

2.3.2 Word Stress

Placeholder

2.3.3 Intonation

Placeholder

2.4 Orthography

Placeholder

Morphological Typology

Now that Tavonic, Alnuric, and Redodhic's phonologies have been defined in chapter 2, this chapter will discuss the next larger unit of language: morphemes. A morpheme is the smallest meaningful unit in a language. A morpheme can be a root, or it can be another element that affects or modifies the meaning of a root. Further, a morpheme may be freestanding, or it may be bound to other morphemes to form a larger word.

The discussion will begin with a general explanation of the Tavonic family's morphological typology. Following this will be a brief summary of the various morphological processes that occur in the languages, ending with an explanation of the locus of marking.

3.1 Morphological Typology

Traditional research would show that Tavonic is typologically partially isolating and partially fusional, meaning that morphemes are often either separated into distinct words or fused together such that a single phonological unit represents several morphemes. However, according to Bickel and Nichols,

Recent research has shown that such a scale [ranging from isolating to agglutinative to fusional to introflexive] conflates many different typological variables and incorrectly assumes that these parameters covary universally.¹ Three prominent variables involved in this are phonological fusion, formative exponence, and flexivity (i.e. allomorphy, inflectional classes).²

Therefore, we will examine each of these areas—phonological fusion, formative exponence, and flexivity, as well as the degree of synthesis—separately.

¹Frans Plank, "Split Morphology: how Agglutination and Flexion Mix," *Linguistic Typology* 3 (1999): 279–340; Balthasar Bickel and Johanna Nichols, "Inflectional Morphology," in *Language Typology and Syntactic Description*, ed. Timothy Shopen, 2nd edition (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005).

²Balthasar Bickel and Johanna Nichols, "Fusion of Selected Inflectional Formatives," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/20>.

3.1.1 Phonological Fusion

Tavonic's phonological formatives are partially fusional, being partially “isolating” and partially “concatenative”.³ The concatenative morphemes are phonologically bound, requiring a “host word” with which they form one single phonological word, while the isolating morphemes are “full-fledged phonological words of their own”.

Verbs are almost exclusively concatenative, with tense, aspect, and mood morphemes attached directly to the verb's stem.

- (1) a. **glɔmɕ**
ufuli
 /u'fu.li/

uf-uli
 sing-INF
 ‘to sing’
- b. **glɔbʔɔɕ**
Ufunte!
 /u'fun.te/

uf-unte
 sing-IMP
 ‘Sing!’
- c. **ɔvb ɔlɔɕ:**
Mon ufuk.
 /'mon u'fuk/

mon uf-uk
 IS.TOP sing-IND.PST.PFV
 ‘I sang.’

Example 1 shows how morphemes are attached to the stem of a verb through suffixes, rather than with separate (isolating) modifying words or nonlinear ablaut or tone modifications.

Example 1c similarly shows how personal pronouns are fusional. Example 2 demonstrates further how each personal pronoun simultaneously indicates the person, number, animacy in the third person, case, and whether it is the topic.

- (2) a. **ɔvŋ** *mor* /mor/ ‘I’ (IS.ABS)
 b. **ɔʔvɔb** *peton* /θe'ton/ ‘you’ (2p.ACC)
 c. **ɔcbɔɔɕ** *ginsek* /gin'sek/ ‘to it’ (3pc.IN.TOP.DAT)

This concatenation appears not only in inflectional morphology, but also in derivational morphology. For example, the word **ʔɔmɔɕɔɕ** *ablutik* /a.blu'tik/ ‘kitten’ is formed from the root noun **ʔɔmɔ**

³Bickel and Nichols, “Fusion of Selected Inflectional Formatives.”

ablu /a'blu/ 'cat' with a diminutive suffix attached (*ablu*-DIM). Similarly, the word *շիշրւոյ* *akradir* /ak.ra'dir/ 'pen' is formed from the root verb *շիշրւոյ* *akrali* /ak'ra.li/ 'to write' with a nominalizing suffix (*akra*-NMZ).

Nouns, on the other hand, are exclusively isolating. All grammatical markings, including number, gender, case, and topicality, are indicated using phonologically separate prepositions.

(3) a. **bv շիշրւոյ շոյց:**

No akrakon arup.

/no ak.ra'kon a'ruθ/

no= akrakon ar-up

AN.SG.TOP.ABS= writer stand-IND.NPST.PRG

'The writer is standing.'

b. **ճՅՅՅ իժժճճ յոյճ յոյճ յոյճ յոյճ յոյճ:**

Eson moþes elbi šus ken botra draš.

/e'son mo,þes el'bi 'jus ken bot'ra 'draʃ/

Ø= *eson moþes= elbi šus ken= botra dr-aš*

AN.SG.ABS= farmer IN.PC.TOP.ACC= egg 3S.AN.GEN AN.PL.DAT= wife give-IND.NPST.RTSP

'The farmer has given the eggs to his wife.'

Notice in example 3 how every noun is preceded by a preposition that identifies that noun's grammatical role within the sentence.

3.1.2 Formative Exponence

Tavonic has mostly polyexponential formatives, meaning that, in almost all cases, single morphemes express multiple grammatical categories each.⁴ Derivational morphemes are all monoexponential while inflectional morphemes are almost exclusively polyexponential.

(4) **բշբ ղշգՅՅՅ Յճ ղշ?**

Nan tavotik one vi?

/nan ta.vo'tik o'ne vi/

nan= tavo-tik on-e =vi

AN.PL.TOP= person-DIM play-IND.NPST.IPFV =Q

'Do children play?'

Example 4 includes one derivational morpheme and three inflectional morphemes attached to the roots *շգՅՅ* *tavo* and *Յճ* *oneli*, two of which are polyexponential. The preposition *բշբ* *nan* is a polyexponential morpheme that identifies the preceding noun's gender (animate), number (plural),

⁴Balthasar Bickel and Johanna Nichols, "Exponence of Selected Inflectional Formatives," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/21>.

and topicality. The affix -7CJ -*tik*, a diminutive that derives the word ‘child’ from the root ‘person’, is a monoexponential derivational suffix. The single-letter suffix -ɔ -*e* attaches to the verb to express the mood (indicative), tense (nonpast), and aspect (imperfective). Finally, the word qC *vi* is a monoexponential interrogative clitic that turns the sentence into a question.

Noun prepositions can additionally encode case. In example 4, the noun 7ZQV7CJ *tavotik* is inferred to be in the absolutive case despite being unmarked for it. In many other situations, this grammatical case is additionally encoded within the same polyexponential preposition. In example 3b, the word pvdɔɔ *moþes* indicates that the noun ‘egg’ is inanimate, paucal, the topic, and in the accusative case.

One noun preposition, b97 *nut* has not fully cumulated, with the noun’s number being still separated into a distinct segment.

- (5) a. b97 *nut*-Ø /nut/ (AN.TOP.ACC-SG)
 b. b97v3 *nut-os* /nu'tos/ (AN.TOP.ACC-PC)
 c. b97vb *nut-on* /nu'ton/ (AN.TOP.ACC-PL)

All other noun prepositions are fully cumulated and cannot be separated into their component morphemes.

- (6) a. Inanimate Ergative
 i. dʒ ɖa /ɖa/ (IN.SG.ERG)
 ii. dɔɔ ɖes /ɖes/ (IN.PC.ERG)
 iii. r9b *dun* /dun/ (IN.PL.ERG)
 b. Inanimate Topic Dative
 i. pvp *mok* /mox/ (IN.SG.TOP.DAT)
 ii. pɔjv3 *mekos* /me'kos/ (IN.PC.TOP.DAT)
 iii. bcj9b *nikun* /ni 'kun/ (IN.PL.TOP.DAT)

3.1.3 Flexivity

Tavonic nouns, adjectives, and verbs display flexivity, which means that these words are divided into separate classes that receive distinct inflectional allomorphs. On such allomorphs, otherwise identical morphemes take distinct phonological shapes.

Nouns are divided into animate and inanimate genders. These two genders determine which prepositions are used to provide the grammatical context of the noun.

- (7) a. ɳc 3cm7
 ri bilt
 /ri 'bilt/
 ri= *bilt*
 AN.PC.ABS= *breath*
 ‘breaths’

b. ḡ'ḡḡḡḡ *l'eḡer*

/le'ḡer/

le=eḡer

IN.PC.ABS=pen

‘pens’

In example 7, both ḡḡḡḡ *bilt* and ḡḡḡḡ *eḡer* are marked for the paucal number and the absolutive case, but because ḡḡḡḡ *bilt* is animate and ḡḡḡḡ *eḡer* is inanimate, the shape of the prepositions are entirely different.

Although they are distinct, the shapes are often more closely related than in example 7. Example 8 shows the animate and inanimate forms of the plural ergative preposition; the relation between the two forms is much clearer, as only the vowel changes.

(8) a. ḡḡḡ ḡḡḡḡ *din bilt*

/din 'bilt/

din= bilt

AN.PL.ERG= breath

‘breaths’

b. ḡḡḡ ḡḡḡḡ *dun eḡer*

/dun e'ḡer/

dun= eḡer

IN.PL.ERG= pen

‘pens’

Nouns do not show possessive flexivity, as there is no possessive classification.⁵ There is only one method of forming a possessive relationship: using the genitive case.

Adjectives also show flexivity since they decline to match the gender of the noun they modify. Each adjective has a distinct animate and inanimate form, with animate adjectives ending in $-\text{ḡ}$ *-a*, $-\text{ḡ}$ *-i*, or $-\text{ḡ}$ *-u* and inanimate adjectives ending in $-\text{ḡ}$ *-e* or $-\text{ḡ}$ *-o*.

⁵Johanna Nichols and Balthasar Bickel, “Possessive Classification,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/59>.

- (9) a. **39 lɛzbɪc ʒcmʔ**
su frandi bilt
 /su fran'di 'bilt/
 su= frandi bilt
 AN.SG.GEN= visible.AN breath
 'of the visible breath'
- b. **yv lɛzbɪv ɔðɔŋ**
šo frando eðer
 /ʃo fran'do e'ðer/
 šo= frando eðer
 IN.SG.GEN= visible.IN pen
 'of the visible pen'

In example 9, the form of **lɛzbɪc** *frandi* changes depending on whether it is modifying an animate noun like **ʒcmʔ** *bilt* or an inanimate noun like **ɔðɔŋ** *eðer*.

Verbs are divided into three distinct conjugation classes, each identified by the infinitive form. Class I verb infinitives end in **-ʒmɪc** *-ali*, class II verb infinitives end in **-ɔmɪc** *-eli*, and class III verb infinitives end in **-ɔmɪc** *-uli*.

- (10) a. Class I: **ʒɪɔɔʒʒmɪc** *bruɔpat-ali* /bru.θa'ta.li/ 'to handle' (handle-INF)
 b. Class II: **yɔmɪc** *š-eli* /'ʃe.li/ 'to run' (run-INF)
 c. Class III: **ʒɔɔɔmɪc** *teg-uli* /te'gu.li/ 'to worry' (worry-INF)

Beyond just the form of the infinitive, the verb's class determines the entire conjugation paradigm for that verb.

- (11) a. Class I: **ʒɪɔɔʒʒʒʒ** *bruɔpat-abe* /bru.θa'ta.be/ 'handling' (handle-ACT.PTCP)
 b. Class II: **yɔʒʒ** *š-iba* /'ʃi.ba/ 'running' (run-ACT.PTCP)
 c. Class III: **ʒɔɔɔʒʒ** *teg-ube* /te'gu.be/ 'worrying' (worry-ACT.PTCP)

As shown in example 11, the same inflection takes a different form when attached to a verb of a different class. To form the active participle, **ʒɪɔɔʒʒmɪc** *bruɔpatali* becomes **ʒɪɔɔʒʒʒʒ** *bruɔpatabe* and **ʒɔɔɔmɪc** *teguli* becomes **ʒɔɔɔʒʒ** *tegube*. Following this pattern, one might expect **yɔmɪc** *šeli* to become ***yɔʒʒ** **šebe*, but instead it becomes **yɔʒʒ** *šiba*.

3.1.4 Synthesis

As discussed in subsection 3.1.1, derivation and verb inflection occurs by attaching affixes to a stem or root, forming singular phonological words. Meanwhile, noun declension occurs using prepositions that mark the grammatical information for the noun. These prepositions are separate phonological words from the nouns themselves.

In all cases, however, inflected forms constitute singular *syntactic* words because the inflections cannot be separated or reordered at all. This means that Tavonic morphology is synthetic.⁶

Tavonic verbs normally inflect to show mood, tense, and aspect, a total of three morpheme categories per word. The maximally inflected form adds negation, a particle that is a separate phonological word but remains a part of the syntactic word of the verb, bringing Tavonic's category-per-word ratio up to 4.⁷

- (12) **ygb vbɔj ʒv:**
Šun onek bo.
 /'ʃun o'nek bo/
 šun on-ek -bo
 3S.AN.TOP play-IND.PST.PFV -NEG
 'S/he did not play.'

3.2 Morphological Processes

Tavonic is “predominantly suffixing”⁸ and primarily makes use of suffixes and clitics to derive and inflect words. The language does not employ infixation, stem modification, or suprafixation, no prefixation has yet been identified, and reduplication only appears in wordplay and child-directed speech.

3.2.1 Suffixation

Suffixes in Tavonic apply mainly to verbs. All verbal inflections occur via the addition of suffixes, whether phonologically bound or not. This is illustrated in example 13.

- (13) a. **yvbz ɓc7 ʒɪɪzd:**
Šona git akrağ.
 /ʃo'na git ak'raɣ/
 šona git akr-ağ
 3p.AN.TOP 3S.IN.ACC write-IND.PST.RTSP
 'They had written it.'

⁶Balthasar Bickel and Johanna Nichols, “Inflectional Synthesis of the Verb,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/22>.

⁷Bickel and Nichols.

⁸Matthew S. Dryer, “Prefixing vs. Suffixing in Inflectional Morphology,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/26>.

b. **ᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᑭᑭᑭ ᐅᑭ ᐅᑭᑭᑭ:**
Monsa ufut oᑭ nikiᑭ.
/mon'sa u'fut oᑭ ni'kiᑭ/
monsā uf-ut oᑭ niki-is
ipc.TOP sing-IND.NPST.PFV if be.able-SBJV.NPST.IPFV
'We will sing if we are able.'

c. **ᑭᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ**
usombe akrapis
/u'som.be ak.ra'piᑭ/
us-ombe akrapis
hold-PASS.PTCP.IN letter
'held letter'

d. **ᐅᑭ ᑭᑭᑭ ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ:**
Mi ᑭᑭᑭ akroᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ.
/mi 'θro ak.ror'gan.ta/
mi ᑭᑭᑭ akroᑭᑭ-ᑭᑭᑭ
IN.SG.TOP that.MED erase-IMP
'Erase that.'

e. **ᐅᑭᑭᑭ ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ ᑭᑭ:**
Mana kantenta bo.
/ma'na kan'ten.ta bo/
mana kant-enta -bo
IP.TOP thank-IMP -NEG
'Don't thank us.'

As discussed in subsection 3.1.4, although the particle **ᑭᑭ** *bo* is a separate phonological word, it functions syntactically as a suffix. This is shown in example 13e where it attaches to the verb **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *kantenta* to negate it.

Suffixes are also present on adjectives, though only minimally. Adjectives take one of two vowel endings to mark the gender of its referent, with animate adjectives ending in **-ᑭ** *-i*, **-ᑭ** *-a*, or **-ᑭ** *-u* and inanimate adjectives ending in **-ᑭ** *-e* or **-ᑭ** *-o*.

- (14) a. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *ablunga* /ab.lun'ga/ (AN) vs. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *ablunge* /ab.lun'ge/ (IN) 'catlike'
b. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *akrandi* /ak.ran'di/ (AN) vs. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *akrando* /ak.ran'do/ (IN) 'writable'
c. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *bruᑭᑭᑭᑭ* /bru.θat'la/ (AN) vs. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *bruᑭᑭᑭᑭ* /bru.θat'lo/ (IN) 'manual'
d. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *fraᑭᑭ* /fraθ'ru/ (AN) vs. **ᑭᑭᑭᑭᑭ** *fraᑭᑭ* /fraθ'ro/ (IN) 'observant'

Suffixation also occurs regularly in derivational inflection. In fact, several derivational suffixes can be strung together to derive yet more words. Example 15 shows this process.

- (15) a. łɛzɲɕ *frali* /'fra.li/ 'to see'
 b. łɛzqɔɓ *fravem* /fra'vem/ 'sight'
 c. łɛzqɔɓɕ7ɲɕ *fravemitla -v -o* /fra.vem.it'la/ 'visual'
 d. vbɜy *onaš* /o'naʃ/ 'rug'
 e. vbɜyɔɲɕ *onašuli* /o.na'ʃu.li/ 'to place'
 f. vbɜyɕbɜɔɲɕ *onašinsuli* /o.na.ʃin'su.li/ 'to re-place'

In example 15f, the **-cbɜ** *-ins* affix may not immediately appear to be a suffix, however it should be noted that it is being attached to the end of the *stem* of the word, which is **vbɜy**- *onaš*-, prior to the verb's infinitive ending **-ɲɕ** *-uli*, which is an *inflectional* suffix.

3.2.2 Cliticization

Clitics can be difficult to define in a formal way, and it is therefore worthwhile to explain how certain morphemes in Tavonic can be classified as such.

A 'clitic' is often characterized as "a 'small', prosodically weak, or non-prominent word which fails to respect normal principles of syntactic distribution because it requires a host to which it can attach phonologically".⁹ Clitics are different from affixes in that they will typically "cliticize 'promiscuously' to a word of any old category, including uninflectable words which otherwise fail to take any affixes whatever",¹⁰ whereas affixes are limited to only specific parts of speech to which they can connect.¹¹ Yet, they are different from function words in that they are bound, that is they do not have the free ordering afforded to words.¹²

The primary example of clitics in Tavonic is the noun prepositions. These particles cannot appear alone, conveying solely grammatical, not lexical, information. They are not affixes because they attach to the beginning of the entire noun phrase, no matter what word comes after, rather than attaching directly to the head noun.

- (16) a. ɓvɾ bɜɜ vɟv łɛɜ
Mod nas oko fra.
 /'mod nas o'ko 'fra/

mod nas= oko fr-a
 IS.ERG AN.PC.TOP dog see-IND.NPST.IPFV

 'I see the dogs.'

⁹Andrew Spencer and Ana Luis, "The Canonical Clitic," chap. 6 in *Canonical Morphology and Syntax*, by Dunstan Brown, Marina Chumakina, and Greville G. Corbett (2012), 123–150, ISBN: 9780199604326, accessed November 25, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199604326.001.0001>, https://www.academia.edu/4379177/The_canonical_clitic_With_Ana_Lu%C3%ADs_.

¹⁰Spencer and Luis.

¹¹Arnold M. Zwicky and Geoffrey K. Pullum, "Cliticization vs. Inflection: English N'T," *Language* 59, no. 3 (1983): 503–505, accessed November 25, 2018, <https://web.stanford.edu/~zwicky/ZPCliticsInfl.pdf>.

¹²Arnold M. Zwicky, "Clitics and Particles," *Language* 61, no. 2 (1985): 286–290, accessed November 25, 2018, <http://babel.ucsc.edu/~hank/mrg.readings/zwicky1985.pdf>.

b. **ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ:***Mod nas urda oko fra.*

/'mod nas ur'da o'ko 'fra/

mod nas= urd-a oko fr-a

IS.ERG AN.PC.TOP protected-AN dog see-IND.NPST.IPFV

'I see the protected dogs.'

c. **ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ:***Mod nas tesar urda oko fra.*

/'mod nas te'sar ur'da o'ko 'fra/

mod nas= tesar urd-a oko fr-a

IS.ERG AN.PC.TOP 2pc.GEN protected-AN dog see-IND.NPST.IPFV

'I see your protected dogs.'

d. **ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ:***Mod nas su eson urda oko fra.*

/'mod nas su e'son ur'da o'ko 'fra/

mod nas= su= eson urd-a oko fr-a

IS.ERG AN.PC.TOP AN.SG.GEN farmer protected-AN dog see-IND.NPST.IPFV

'I see the farmer's protected dogs.'

Notice in example 16 how the particle **ᐅᐅᐅ** *nas* directly precedes the entire noun phrase, even when separated from the head noun by an adjective (16b), a pronoun (16c), and even another modifying noun and its preposition (16d).

In some cases, the noun prepositions reduce phonologically and attach to the following word. Any time a noun preposition ends with the same vowel with which the following word begins, that vowel is dropped and the preposition is attached orthographically to the following word with an apostrophe.

- (17) a. **ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ** *le eðer* → **ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *l'eðer* /le'ðer/ 'pens' (IN.PC.ABS-pen)
 b. **ᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *mati inam* → **ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *mat'inam* /ma.ti'nam/ 'location' (IN.SG.TOP.ACC-location)
 c. **ᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ** *no oko* → **ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *n'oko* /no'ko/ 'dog' (AN.SG.TOP-pen)
 d. **ᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *su urda ablu* → **ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *s'urda ablu* /sur'da ab'lu/ 'of the protected cat' (AN.SG.GEN-protected-AN cat)

This phonological reduction occurs no matter whether the following word is the noun the preposition is modifying or not. For example, notice in example 17d that the preposition attaches itself to **ᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *urda* even though it is an adjective modifying the noun **ᐅᐅᐅᐅ** *ablu*.

The other main example of cliticization is the particle **ᐅᐅ** *vi*. It is used to ask questions and is most often added at the end of a sentence after the verb, as shown in example 18.

(18) **bv yɔɪvb ʔɔ lɪʔdɪɔ vɪv ɔʔɔ ʔɔʔ***No šekon tu fraɸru oko usu vi?**/no ʃe'kon tu fraθ'ru o'ko u'su vi/*

no= šekon tu= fraɸr-u oko us-u =vi
 AN.SG.TOP= runner AN.SG.ACC= observant-AN dog have-IND.NPST.IPFV =Q

‘Does the runner have an observant dog?’

A speaker can, however, move the interrogative particle earlier in the sentence to focus the question on some specific element.

(19) a. **bv yɔɪvb ʔɔ ʔɔ lɪʔdɪɔ vɪv ɔʔɔʔ***No šekon vi tu fraɸru oko usu?**/no ʃe'kon vi tu fraθ'ru o'ko u'su/*

no= šekon =vi tu= fraɸr-u oko us-u
 AN.SG.TOP= runner =Q AN.SG.ACC= observant-AN dog have-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘Is it the runner who has an observant dog?’

b. **bv yɔɪvb ʔɔ lɪʔdɪɔ ʔɔ vɪv ɔʔɔʔ***No šekon tu fraɸru vi oko usu?**/no ʃe'kon tu fraθ'ru vi o'ko u'su/*

no= šekon tu= fraɸr-u =vi oko us-u
 AN.SG.TOP= runner AN.SG.ACC= observant-AN =Q dog have-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘Is it an *observant* dog the runner has?’c. **bv yɔɪvb ʔɔ lɪʔdɪɔ vɪv ʔɔ ɔʔɔʔ***No šekon tu fraɸru oko vi usu?**/no ʃe'kon tu fraθ'ru o'ko vi u'su/*

no= šekon tu= fraɸr-u oko =vi us-u
 AN.SG.TOP= runner AN.SG.ACC= observant-AN dog =Q have-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘Is it an observant *dog* the runner has?’

3.3 Locus of Marking

Tavonic is almost exclusively dependent-marking.¹³ This can readily be seen in the expression of possessive relationships, where the dependent is marked with the genitive case.

¹³Johanna Nichols and Balthasar Bickel, “Locus of Marking: Whole-language Typology,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/25>.

(20) a. 7ᵛ3 3ᵛ7ᵇᶯ


tes botra

/'tes bot'ra/

tes botra

2S.GEN wife

'your wife'



b. 3ᵇ ᵛ3ᵛ3ᶯ 3ᵛ7ᵇᶯ


su esobiš botra

/su e.so'biʃ bot'ra/

su= esobiš botra

AN.SG.GEN= patriot wife

'the patriot's wife'



In example 20a, 'you' are grammatically in possession of 3ᵛ7ᵇᶯ *botra* 'wife'; the possessee forms the head of the phrase while it is modified by the possessor, which receives the genitive inflection. In example 20b, 3ᵛ7ᵇᶯ *botra* is still the possessee and thus the head of the phrase while the genitive is marked on the dependent, ᵛ3ᵛ3ᶯ *esobiš* 'patriot', using a noun preposition.

Tavonic also shows dependent marking when modifying nouns with adjectives.

(21) ᵇᶯᵇ ᵇᶯᵇᵛᵇᶯ 3ᵛ7ᵇᶯ


ran ǩalonža botra

/ran xa.lon'ʒa bot'ra/

ran= ǩalonž-a botra

AN.PL.ABS= husbandless-AN woman

'husbandless women'



In example 21, while the head noun 3ᵛ7ᵇᶯ *botra* is marked for animacy with ᵇᶯᵇ *ran*, the dependent modifying adjective ᵇᶯᵇᵛᵇᶯ *ǩalonža* 'husbandless' takes the -ᶯ -a animate ending to match.

At the clause level, Tavonic is solely dependent-marking. Verbs have no grammatical inflections that indicate the grammatical role of any noun phrases within the clause, with that information being marked only on the verb's dependents, the noun phrases.


(22) a. **ᵑᵛᵇ ǧǧǧᵛ:***Mon usuš.*

/ˈmon uˈsuʃ/

mon *us-uš*

IS.TOP sing-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘I have sung.’


b. **ᵑᵛᵇ ǧᵛᵇ ᵐᵇᵇᵇᵛ:***Mon ǧet kante.*

/ˈmon ˈθet kanˈte/

mon *ǧet* *kant-e*

IS.TOP 2S.ACC thank-IND.NPST.IMP

‘I thank you.’


c. **ᵇᵇᵇ ᵐᵇᵇ ǧǧǧᵛ:***Nas arb usuš.*

/nas ˈarb uˈsuʃ/

nas= *arb* *us-uš*

AN.PC.TOP= bird sing-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘The birds have sung.’


d. **ᵐᵇ ǧǧ ǧᵛᵇᵐᵇᵇ ᵐᵇᵇᵛ ᵐᵇ ᵐᵇᵇᵛᵐᵇᵇ ᵐᵇᵇᵇ ᵐᵇᵇᵇ:***Do su botra ǧalo mi tavofre alma frak.*

/do su botˈra xaˈlo mi ta.vofˈre alˈma ˈfrak/

do= *su=* *botra* *ǧalo* **mi=** *tavofr-e* *alma* *fr-ak*

AN.SG.ERG= AN.SG.GEN= woman husband IN.SG.TOP= inhabited-IN house see-IND.PST.PFV

‘The woman’s husband saw the inhabited house.’



In example 22a, the pronoun **pvb** *mon* is declined to indicate it is the topic of the sentence while the verb **q3gy** *usuš*, despite conjugating for mood, tense, and aspect, is not marked for this role. Example 22b similarly marks the two pronouns **pvb** *mon* and **qɔ7** *pet* for their roles in the sentence as topic and object while the verb **ɟb7ɔ** *kante* does not inflect to indicate these roles. When nouns are used instead of pronouns, as in examples 22c–d, the nouns are marked for their grammatical role by their prepositions, their own dependents, while the head verb remains unmarked for these roles.

Grammatical Categories

Tavonic words can be divided into several different categories, or parts of speech. While the previous chapter dealt with the general mechanisms of marking words, this chapter will examine each of the various parts of speech in order to define their morphology more closely. The discussion will begin with an examination of nouns, pronouns, and verbs. Following this will be a discussion of the remaining parts of speech, including adverbs, numerals, and conjunctions.

4.1 Nouns

Nouns in Tavonic decline to express number and gender (animacy) and are marked for case to indicate their grammatical role within the clause. As discussed in chapter 3, this inflection takes place not directly on the noun itself but on prepositional clitics that convey this grammatical meaning.¹ For a full illustration of the declension paradigms, compare Table 4.1 and Table 4.2. As shown in these tables, Tavonic noun inflections are never syncretic.²

4.1.1 Proper Names and Common Nouns

Common nouns are those that behave in a prototypical way with regards to their morphology and syntax. On the other hand, “[p]roper names are nouns that are used to address and identify particular persons or culturally significant personages or places. Proper names are used to refer to specific individuals both speaker and hearer can identify, therefore they do not usually appear with... modifiers, possessors, [identifying] relative clauses, or other devices that render nouns more identifiable.”³

¹Matthew S. Dryer, “Position of Case Affixes,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/51>.

²Matthew Baerman and Dunstan Brown, “Case Syncretism,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/28>.

³Thomas E. Payne, *Describing Morphosyntax: A Guide for Field Linguists* (Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2006), 39, ISBN: 0-521-58805-7, <http://www.cambridge.org/vi/academic/subjects/languages-linguistics/grammar-and-syntax/describing-morphosyntax-guide-field-linguists>.

Table 4.1: Tavonic Animate Noun Declension Paradigm for the word ᶑᶓᶑᶑᶑ *bruṓa* ‘hand’ or ‘tool’

Case	SG	PC	PL
ABS	<i>bruṓa</i>	<i>ri bruṓa</i>	<i>ran bruṓa</i>
ERG	<i>do bruṓa</i>	<i>das bruṓa</i>	<i>din bruṓa</i>
ACC	<i>tu bruṓa</i>	<i>tos bruṓa</i>	<i>ton bruṓa</i>
DAT	<i>ke bruṓa</i>	<i>kas bruṓa</i>	<i>ken bruṓa</i>
GEN	<i>su bruṓa</i>	<i>sar bruṓa</i>	<i>san bruṓa</i>
TOP	<i>no bruṓa</i>	<i>nas bruṓa</i>	<i>nan bruṓa</i>
TOP.ACC	<i>nut bruṓa</i>	<i>nutos bruṓa</i>	<i>nuton bruṓa</i>
TOP.DAT	<i>nek bruṓa</i>	<i>nekas bruṓa</i>	<i>naken bruṓa</i>
TOP.GEN	<i>nus bruṓa</i>	<i>nosar bruṓa</i>	<i>nosan bruṓa</i>

Table 4.2: Tavonic Inanimate Noun Declension Paradigm for the word ᶑᶓᶑ *šem* ‘busyness’

Case	SG	PC	PL
ABS	<i>šem</i>	<i>le šem</i>	<i>ren šem</i>
ERG	<i>ḏa šem</i>	<i>ḏes šem</i>	<i>dun šem</i>
ACC	<i>ti šem</i>	<i>ḥis šem</i>	<i>ten šem</i>
DAT	<i>ḵo šem</i>	<i>kos šem</i>	<i>ḵun šem</i>
GEN	<i>šo šem</i>	<i>se šem</i>	<i>šen šem</i>
TOP	<i>mi šem</i>	<i>mes šem</i>	<i>nun šem</i>
TOP.ACC	<i>mati šem</i>	<i>moḥes šem</i>	<i>noten šem</i>
TOP.DAT	<i>moḵ šem</i>	<i>mekos šem</i>	<i>nikun šem</i>
TOP.GEN	<i>miš šem</i>	<i>mise šem</i>	<i>nušen šem</i>

(i) Proper names

- a. ᶓᶑᶑᶑᶑ
Ronne
‘Ronne’
- b. ᶑᶓ ᶓᶑᶑᶑᶑ
ke Ronne
‘to Ronne’
- c. ᶓᶑ ᶓᶑᶑᶑᶑ
ri Ronne
‘Ronne and associates’
- d. ᶑᶓᶑᶑ ᶓᶑᶑᶑᶑ
arsi Ronne
‘three Ronnes’
- e. ᶑᶑᶓᶑᶑᶓᶑ ᶓᶑᶑᶑᶑ
ablutla Ronne
‘a feline Ronne’

Common nouns

- ᶑᶓᶓᶓᶓ
fild
‘doll’
- ᶑᶓ ᶑᶓᶓᶓᶓ
ḵo fild
‘to the doll’
- ᶓᶑᶑ ᶑᶓᶓᶓᶓ
le fild
‘a few dolls’
- ᶑᶓᶑᶑ ᶑᶓᶓᶓᶓ
arsi fild
‘three dolls’
- ᶑᶑᶓᶑᶑᶓᶑ ᶑᶓᶓᶓᶓ
ablutlo fild
‘a feline doll’

f. ʔbʋŋ ʒɔŋ lŋʒd Lɔʔ ŋvbbo
mor suk fraġ put Ronne
 ‘the Ronne that I had seen’

bʋŋ ɓʒɔ lŋʒd Lɔʔ lɔmɾ
mor gake fraġ put fild
 ‘the doll that I had seen’

In all of the examples above, the treatment of the common noun *fild* is perfectly acceptable. However, whenever the noun is modified in some way, such as by specifying the number, adding a descriptive adjective, or adding an identifying relative clause, such as in examples 1d–f, the treatment of the proper name *Ronne* is questionable. These expressions are possible, but the context must be such that the specific referent is not automatically identifiable, which is unusual for proper names. Meanwhile, using the paucal or plural form with a proper name, such as in example 1c, changes the meaning to signify the proper name *and their associates*. See more about the associative plural in subsection 4.1.3.

4.1.2 Gender

Grammatical gender in Tavonic consists of two⁴ non-sex-based⁵ classes based primarily on semantic ontological properties.⁶ The animate gender refers primarily to entities that are considered alive or are associated with life, movement, change, or dynamism. The inanimate gender refers primarily to entities that are not alive and are generally stationary or abstract. Grammatical gender in Tavonic can also be referred to as “animacy” since that is what the genders denote. Examples of nouns in each gender can be seen in example 2.

- (2) a. Animate nouns:
 ʒvŋʒ *botra* ‘woman’; pʒmʋ *kalo* ‘man’; ɔɔvb *eson* ‘farmer’; vɔvʒɔ *okotik* ‘puppy’; ɔŋʒʒɔm
urdatil ‘ward’; ʒɔmʒ *bilt* ‘breath’
- b. Inanimate nouns:
 ɔɔvʒɔ *esotik* ‘country’; ɔʒɔ *dedu* ‘sky’; ɔmʒɔ *elbi* ‘egg’; ɔɔɔʒɔ *usudir* ‘basket’; ʒŋʒɔɔ
akrapis ‘letter’; lŋʒɔ *fradir* ‘glasses’

Since the nouns themselves are not directly inflected, with grammatical information instead shown on prepositional particles, it is impossible to tell what gender a noun is based solely on its word form.

Some nouns are able to change category in certain circumstances. For example, plants and animals switch from the animate gender to the inanimate gender when they serve as food. Further, there exist some duplicates with otherwise identical words declining to opposite genders.

⁴Greville G. Corbett, “Number of Genders,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <http://wals.info/chapter/30>.

⁵Greville G. Corbett, “Sex-based and Non-sex-based Gender Systems,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/31>.

⁶Greville G. Corbett, “Systems of Gender Assignment,” in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/32>.

4.1.3 Number

Grammatical number in Tavonic consists of three numbers, all of which are coded on the noun prepositions.⁷ The singular is always used when there is only one of the referent noun, the paucal is used when there are two to five of the referent noun, and the plural is used when there are more than five of the referent noun.

- (3) a. **39** **cpz** *su ima* /su i'ma/ 'of mother' (SG.AN.GEN= mother)
 b. **37** **cpz** *sar ima* /sar i'ma/ 'of (some) mothers' (PC.AN.GEN= mother)
 c. **37b** **cpz** *san ima* /san i'ma/ 'of (several) mothers' (PL.AN.GEN= mother)

When a numeral is used to identify the number of a referent noun, the singular is used instead of the paucal or plural, even if without the numeral the other forms would be used.⁸

- (4) a. **1'3d** **cpz** *k'ep ima* /keθ i'ma/ 'to one mother' (SG.AN.DAT=one mother)
 b. **13** **z73c** **cpz** *ke arsi ima* /ke ar'si i'ma/ 'to three mothers' (SG.AN.DAT= three mother), not ***173** **z73c** **cpz** **kas arsi ima*
 c. **13** **379d** **z3vp** **cpz** *ke bruð abom ima* /ke bruð a'bom i'ma/ 'to seven mothers' (SG.AN.DAT= five two mother), not ***13b** **379d** **z3vp** **cpz** **ken bruð abom ima*

Most nouns that represent concrete entities are countable, including some words that in English are uncountable like corn, and by default they are used in the singular form unlike English words like pants or glasses. However, many entities that are not easily split into discreet parts like liquids, grains, and certain abstract concepts are uncountable, such as **377v** *elto* /el'to/ 'water'. Occasionally, when a word's semantics cover multiple concepts, a word can be variably countable or uncountable; when **1779** *dedu* /de'du/ is used to mean 'sky' or 'heaven', it is uncountable, but when it is used to mean 'ceiling', it is countable and can be made paucal or plural.

People's names can also be declined to the paucal or plural number to indicate the associative plural.⁹ This form is used to refer to a person and the other people associated with that person. For example, **7c** **377** *ri Bol* /ri bol/ (PC.AN.ABS Bol) refers to Bol and two to five other people associated with him. Similarly, **77b** **773** *ran Ote* /ran o'te/ (PL.AN.ABS Ote) refers to Ote and the group he is with.

⁷Matthew S. Dryer, "Coding of Nominal Plurality," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/33>.

⁸Martin Haspelmath, "Occurrence of Nominal Plurality," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/34>.

⁹Michael Daniel and Edith Moravcsik, "The Associative Plural," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/36>.

4.1.4 Case

As shown in Tables 4.1 and 4.2, Tavonic noun phrases decline to five different grammatical cases¹⁰ in order to show their role in the sentence. These cases are governed by the phrase's verb or assigned to adjuncts depending on their purpose or meaning. As shown in the same declension tables, any of these grammatical cases can be replaced by or combined with topic markers. See subsection 4.1.5 for more information on topicality.

Absolutive and Intransitive

The intransitive case marks a noun or noun phrase that serves as the subject of an intransitive verb like **ყაჲ** *šeli* 'to run' or a transitive verb used intransitively like **ღღჲ** *ufuli* 'to sing' (without naming the object, what is being sung). This means that when a verb has only a single argument, that argument will by default be in the intransitive case. That is true whether the subject is serving like an agent as in words like **ყაჲ** *šeli* 'to run' or **ღღჲ** *ufuli* 'to sing' or when the subject is serving more like a patient as in words like **ვჲჲ** *orđali* 'to fall'.

(5) a. **ჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲ** *ყაჲ*:

Mollur šep.

/mo'l:ur 'ʃeθ/

Ø= *Mollur š-ep*

AN.SG.INTR= Mollur run-IND.NPST.PRG

'Mollur is running.'

b. **ჲ'ჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲ** *ღღ*:

R'ima ufu.

/ri'ma u'fu/

ri=ima uf-u

AN.PC.INTR=mother sing-IND.NPST.IPFV

'The mothers sing.'

c. **ჲჲჲ ჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲჲ** *ვჲჲ*:

Ren fild orđak.

/ren 'fild or'đak/

ren= fild orđ-ak

IN.PL.INTR= doll fall-IND.PST.PFV

'The dolls fell.'

Note that the singular intransitive case is entirely unmarked by any preposition. This is true whether the noun is animate or inanimate.

¹⁰Oliver A. Iggesen, "Number of Cases," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/49>.

(6) a. **Հմցի ցոյճոյ:***Alum uldeteš.*

/a'lum ul.de'teʃ/

Ø= *alum uldet-eš*

AN.SG.INTR= cloud change-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘The cloud has changed.’

b. **Հմբզ ցոյճոյ:***Almaþ uldeteš.*

/al'maθ ul.de'teʃ/

Ø= *almaþ uldet-eš*

IN.SG.INTR= village change-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘The village has changed.’

However, the subject of certain transitive verbs will also take the intransitive case if the semantic meaning of the verb is stative. See section 4.1.4 Dative for more information on this. Since it is used in these situations, and since the intransitive is the citation form, the case is normally referred to as the absolutive case, even when used intransitively. These terms are interchangeable.

(7) **Դոյ յո շիջ իշ զո?***Ter ke arb fra vi?*

/ter ke arb 'fra vi/

ter ke= arb fr-a =vi

2S.ABS AN.SG.DAT= bird see-IND.NPST.IPFV =Q

‘Do you see a bird?’

The absolutive case is frequently used with postpositions to indicate a location where or through which an action is taken, for example being placed at, on, or in something.

(8) a. **Հմց վոյ յո վոյ:***Ablu onaš e onek.*

/ab'lu o'naʃ e o'nek/

Ø= *ablu* Ø= *onaš e on-ek*

AN.SG.ABS= cat IN.SG.ABS= rug on play-IND.PST.PFV

‘The cat played on the rug.’

b. **Խր Դո Եբեճոյ մ'ոյճոյ շիջ զոյ:***Mod ti ennis l'elbi arku ğirak.*

/mod ti e'n:is lel'bi ar'ku ği'rak/

mod ti= ennis le=elbi arku ğir-ak

IS.ERG IN.SG.ACC= ball IN.PC.ABS=egg above throw-IND.PST.PFV

‘I threw the ball over the eggs.’

When an action is done ‘with’ or ‘without’ a noun, the absolutive case will be used.

(9) **ᠠᠨᠤᠰᠤᠨ ᠠᠨᠤᠰᠤᠨ ᠠᠨᠤᠰᠤᠨ**:

Oko ablu mo oneḥ.

/o'ko ab'lu mo o'neθ/

Ø= *oko* Ø= *ablu mo on-eph*

AN.SG.ABS= dog AN.SG.ABS= cat with play-IND.NPST.PRG

‘The dog is playing with the cat.’

The absolutive case is also used when directly addressing someone in a vocative function. The noun functioning in this way is often placed at the beginning or end of the sentence separated by a pause in speech or a comma in writing.

(10) a. **ᠯᠦᠷᠭᠡ ᠰᠡᠪᠠᠨᠲᠠ**:

Lerk, šebanta.

/'lerk, še'ban.ta/

Ø= *Lerk šeb-anta*

AN.SG.ABS= Lerk run-IMP

‘Run, Lerk.’

b. **ᠰᠤᠳᠤ ᠲᠤ ᠲᠠᠪᠣᠲᠢᠭ ᠤᠷᠳᠠᠲᠤᠨ ᠡᠷᠮᠡ**:

Sud tu tavotik urdateḥ, Erme.

/sud tu ta.vo'tik ur.da'teθ er'me/

sud tu= tavotik urdat-eph Ø= Erme

3S.AN.ERG AN.SG.ACC= child guard-IND.NPST.PRG AN.SG.ABS= Erme

‘He is guarding the child, Erme.’

Ergative

The ergative case marks a noun or noun phrase that serves as the subject of an active transitive verb or any ditransitive verb. This means that when a verb has multiple arguments and the semantic meaning of the verb is active, the subject argument will by default be in the ergative case.

(11) a. **ᠲᠤ ᠲᠤᠯᠤᠨᠳᠠ ᠲᠢ ᠠᠬᠤᠷᠠᠭᠢᠰ ᠡᠳᠡᠷᠠᠰ**:

Do Tlunda ti akrapis eḏeraš.

/do tlun'da ti ak.ra'pis e.ḏe'raʃ/

do= Tlunda ti= akrapis eḏer-aš

AN.SG.ERG= Tlunda IN.SG.ACC= letter pen-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘Tlunda has penned a letter.’

b. *ṛṣ vlv 7ḡ ṣṣḡḡ vlv7ṣḡ:**Das oko tu ablu okotam.**/das o'ko tu ab'lu o.ko'tam/**das= oko tu= ablu okot-am*

AN.PC.ERG= dog AN.SG.ACC= cat chase-IND.PST.IPFV

‘The dogs chased the cat.’

c. *ṛcb ṣqv 7ṡb ḡṣḡṛḡ ḡṣṣṣṣ:**Din avo ten usudir visaḡ.**/din a'vo ten u.su'dir vi'say/**din= avo ten= usudir vis-aḡ*

AN.PL.ERG= father IN.PL.ACC= basket take.away-IND.PST.RTSP

‘The father and his associates had taken away the baskets.’

Accusative

The accusative case marks a noun or noun phrase that serves as the direct object of an active transitive verb or any ditransitive verb.

(12) a. *ṛv ṣḡṣṣṣ ḡṣ ṡḡḡḡḡ ṣḡṣṣ ṡ vḡṣḡḡ:**Do akrakon pis eḡerik alma e onašuk.**/do ak.ra'kon θis e.ḡe'rik al'ma e o.na'fuk/**do= akrakon pis= eḡerik alma e onaš-uk*

AN.SG.ERG= writer IN.PC.ACC= pencil house in place-IND.PST.PFV

‘The writer placed the pencils in the house.’

b. *ṛv yḡṣ ṣv7ḡṣ 7ḡ yḡṣ ṣḡṣṣṣ ḡḡṛṡṣḡḡ:**Do šus botra ti šus akrapis uldetuk.**/do fus bot'ra ti fus ak.ra'pis ul.de'tuk/**do= šus botra ti= šus akrapis uldet-uk*

AN.SG.ERG= 3p.AN.GEN wife IN.SG.ACC= 3p.AN.GEN letter change-IND.PST.PFV

‘His wife changed his letter.’

Dative

The dative case marks a noun or noun phrase that serves as the indirect object of a ditransitive verb, a recipient of an action, or the entity for whose benefit or detriment the action is taken.

- (13)
- ᠷᠦ ᠵᠠᠪᠪ 7ᠴ ᠵᠠᠪᠪᠴᠢ ᠵᠠ ᠨᠠᠨ ᠷᠠᠵ:**

*Do eson ti ennis ke oko draš.**/do e'son ti e'n:is ke o'ko 'draʃ/**do= eson ti= ennis ke= oko dr-aš*

AN.SG.ERG= farmer IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= dog give-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘The farmer has given the dog a ball.’

Certain monotransitive verbs are used with the absolutive and dative cases instead of the ergative and accusative cases. These tend to be stative verbs in which the object of the verb is unaffected by the action or there is little volition on the part of the subject.

- (14) a.
- ᠮᠣᠷ ᠲᠡᠭ ᠲᠡᠭᠦ:**

*Mor tek tegu.**/mor tek te'gu/**mor tek teg-u*

IS.ABS 2S.DAT worry-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘I worry for you.’

- b.
- ᠷᠠᠨ ᠭᠠᠷᠠᠳᠠᠨ ᠬᠡᠨ ᠤᠪᠤᠬᠠᠨ ᠬᠡᠳᠠᠮ:**

*Ran urdaton ken ufukon keḏam.**/ran ur.da'ton ken u.fu'kon ke'ḏam/**ran= urdaton ken= ufukon keḏ-am*

AN.PL.ABS= guard AN.PL.DAT= singer admire-IND.PST.IPFV

‘The guards admired the singers.’

When a verb is done on behalf of or for someone or something, the beneficiary of that action will be declined to the dative and followed by the postposition **ᠮᠴ li** /li/ ‘for’.

- (15) a.
- ᠰᠤᠷ ᠬᠠᠰ ᠶᠠᠰ ᠵᠠᠪᠷᠠᠰᠤᠳ ᠤ ᠮᠴ ᠨᠠᠨ:**

*Sur kas šus botrašut li ove.**/sur kas fuʃ bot.ra'fut li o've/**sur kas= šus botrašut li ov-e*

3S.AN.ABS AN.PC.DAT= 3S.AN.GEN fiancée for cook-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘He cooks for his fiancée and her friends.’

- b. **բլմ Յմթթզ 79 ՎՅԵԵԵԵ ԵՅ ԿԳՅ ՅԳՎ ԵԵ ԳԵՐԵԵԵԵԵ:**

Do Blimva tu okotik ke šus avo li urdatep.

/do blim'va tu o.ko'tik ke fus a'vo li ur.da'teθ/

do= Blimva tu= okotik ke= šus avo li
 AN.SG.ERG= Blimva AN.SG.ACC= puppy AN.SG.DAT= 3S.AN.GEN father for
urdat-eþ
 protect-IND.NPST.PRG

‘Blimva is protecting the puppy for her father.’

The dative case can also be used in an allative sense to express movement to or toward.

- (16) **ԹՎԵ ԹՎ ՅԵԵԵԵ ԵԵ ԿԵԵԵ:**

Mor ko alma bi šeba.

/mor xo al'ma bi fe'ba/

mor ko= alma to šeb-a
 IS.AN IN.SG.DAT= house to run-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘I run to the house.’

This can result in subtle changes in meaning when used with ditransitive verbs.

- (17) a. **ԹՎ ԵԵԵ ԵԵԵԵ ԵԵԵ ԵԵԵԵ:**

Mod þis ennis tek ġira.

/mod θis e'n:is tek yi'ra/

mod þis= ennis tek ġir-a
 IS.ERG IN.PC.ACC= ball 2S.DAT throw-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘I throw the balls to you.’

- b. **ԹՎ ԵԵԵ ԵԵԵԵ ԵԵԵ ԵԵԵԵ:**

Mod þis ennis tek bi ġira.

/mod θis e'n:is tek bi yi'ra/

mod þis= ennis tek bi ġir-a
 IS.ERG IN.PC.ACC= ball 2S.DAT at throw-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘I throw the balls at you.’

Notice in example 17a that **ԵԵԵ** *tek* is the recipient of the action while in example 17b **ԵԵԵ** *tek* is the target of the action.

Genitive

The genitive case is used to mark the possessor of a noun or noun phrase.

(18) 39 5v779 3v77z 6v7 l7z:

*Su Goltu botra mok fra.**/su gol'tu bot'ra mok 'fra/*

Ø= su= Goltu botra mok fr-a

AN.SG.ABS= AN.SG.GEN= Goltu wife IS.DAT see-IND.NPST.IPFV

'Goltu's wife sees me.'

Just like other attributives, the genitive phrase will occur between the possessee and its declension clitic.

(19) a. 7v 39 5773z 77v 79 6v3 7379 77v7z7z7

*Do su Zarsa oko tu mos ablu okotađa!**/do su zar'sa o'ko tu mos ab'lu o.ko.ta'đa/*

do= su= Zarsa oko tu= mos ablu okot-ađa

AN.SG.ERG= AN.SG.GEN= Zarsa dog AN.SG.ACC= IS.GEN cat chase-IND.PST.PRG

'Zarsa's dog was chasing my cat!'

b. 6v7 7c 7bbc3 7c 39 cb7c 77v dc7z:

*Mod ti ennis ke su Inki oko ġira.**/mod ti e'n:is ke su in'ki o'ko ġi'ra/*

mod 7is= ennis ke= su= Inki oko ġir-a

IS.ERG IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= AN.SG.GEN= Inki dog throw-IND.NPST.IPFV

'I throw the ball to Inki's dog.'

When a verb is done because of or due to someone or something, the cause of that action will be declined to the genitive and followed by the postposition **7c** *li* /li/ 'because of'.

(20) a. 397 39 793 3v77z797 7c 793z7z 7z3 7q7c:

*Sur su šus botrašut li puzađa bas ovek.**/sur su ʃus bot.ra'ʃut li pu'za.đa bas o'vek/*

sur su= šus botrašut li ʃuz-ađa bas

3S.AN.ABS AN.SG.GEN= 3S.AN.GEN fiancée because.of cry-IND.PST.PRG REL.NRTRV

ov-ek

cook-IND.PST.PFV

'He cooked because his fiancée was crying.'

- b.
- $\text{r}\nu\text{ } \text{ʒ}\text{m}\text{c}\text{b}\text{q}\text{z}\text{ } \text{7}\text{g}\text{ } \text{v}\text{J}\text{v}\text{7}\text{c}\text{J}\text{ } \text{ʒ}\text{g}\text{ } \text{y}\text{g}\text{ʒ}\text{ } \text{z}\text{q}\text{v}\text{ } \text{m}\text{c}\text{ } \text{g}\text{h}\text{r}\text{z}\text{7}\text{c}\text{d}\text{:}$

Do Blimva tu okotik su šus avo li urdatep.

/do blim'va tu o.ko'tik su fus a'vo li ur.da'teθ/

do= *Blimva* tu= *okotik* su= *šus* *avo* *li*
 AN.SG.ERG= *Blimva* AN.SG.ACC= *puppy* AN.SG.GEN= ʒs.AN.GEN *father* *because.of*
 urdat-ep
 protect-IND.NPST.PRG

‘Blimva is protecting the puppy from her father.’

The genitive can also be used in an ablative sense to express movement from or away.

- (21)
- $\text{p}\nu\eta\text{ } \text{y}\nu\text{ } \text{z}\text{m}\text{p}\text{z}\text{ } \text{b}\text{g}\text{ } \text{y}\text{c}\text{z}\text{z}\text{:}$

Mor šo alma gu šeba.

/mor ʃo al'ma gu ʃe'ba/

mor *šo=* *alma* *to* *šeb-a*
 IS.AN IN.SG.GEN= *house* *from* *run-IND.NPST.IPFV*

‘I run from the house.’

4.1.5 Topicality

Several noun cases have variants that mark a noun as the topic of a discourse. The topic is the entity most closely associated with the higher-level theme of the paragraph.

The case preposition that encodes *only* topicality completely replaces the case marking for a noun that is in the absolutive or the ergative.

- (22) a.
- $\text{b}\nu\text{ } \text{p}\nu\text{m}\text{m}\text{g}\eta\text{ } \text{y}\text{c}\text{d}\text{:}$

No Mollur šep.

/no mo'l:ur 'ʃeθ/

no= *Mollur* *š-ep*
 AN.SG.TOP= *Mollur* *run-IND.NPST.PRG*

‘Mollur is running.’

- b.
- $\text{q}\text{z}\text{b}\text{ } \text{J}\text{c}\text{ } \text{z}\eta\text{z}\text{ } \text{L}\eta\text{z}\text{ } \text{q}\text{c}\text{?}$

ʃan ke arb fra vi?

/θan ke arb 'fra vi/

ʃan *ke=* *arb* *fr-a* *=vi*
 2s.TOP AN.SG.DAT= *bird* *see-IND.NPST.IPFV* *=Q*

‘Do you see a bird?’

c. **բՀՅ ՎԵՎ ԴԳ ՀՀՊԳ ՎԵՎՀԻ:***Nas oko tu ablu okotam.**/nas o'ko tu ab'lu o.ko'tam/**nas= oko tu= ablu okot-am*

AN.PC.TOP= dog AN.SG.ACC= cat chase-IND.PST.IPFV

‘The dogs chased the cat.’

This case preposition also completely replaces the accusative and dative cases, but only in certain situations when the intended case is inferable. In other words, it replaces the accusative case only when the ergative is present within the sentence, it replaces the dative in a monotransitive sentence only when the absolutive case is present, and it replaces the dative in a ditransitive sentence only when both the ergative and the accusative are present.

(23) a. **ԻՎ ՅԳՅ ՀՎԴԴՀ ԻՇ ՅԳՅ ՀԴՀԼԸՀ ԳՊԻՇԴԳԼ:***Do šus botra mi šus akrapis uldetuk.**/do fus bot'ra mi fus ak.ra'pis ul.de'tuk/**do= šus botra mi= šus akrapis uldet-uk*

AN.SG.ERG= 3p.AN.GEN wife IN.SG.TOP= 3p.AN.GEN letter change-IND.PST.PFV

‘His wife changed his letter.’

b. **ՂԶԻ ԳՂՀԴՎԵ ԵՀԻ ԳԼԳՎԵ ԵԺՀԻ:***Ran urdaton nan ufukon keđam.**/ran ur.da'ton nan u.fu'kon ke'đam/**ran= urdaton nan= ufukon keđ-am*

AN.PL.ABS= guard AN.PL.TOP= singer admire-IND.PST.IPFV

‘The guards admired the singers.’

c. **ԻՎ ԵՅՎԵ ԴԸ ԵԲԵԸՀ ԵՎՎԵ ԻՂԿ:***Do eson ti ennis n'oko draš.**/do e'son ti e'n:is no'ko 'draʃ/**do= eson ti= ennis no=oko dr-aš*

AN.SG.ERG= farmer IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.TOP=dog give-IND.NPST.RTSP

‘The farmer has given the dog a ball.’

For other situations, there exist combined forms to mark a noun as the topic when it is in the accusative, dative, or genitive case.

- (24) a. **ḅḡ7 ʒ3ḡḡ ṽḵṽ7ʒḅ:**
Nut ablu okotam.
 /nut ab'lu o.ko'tam/
 nut= ablu okot-am
 AN.SG.ACC.TOP= cat chase-IND.PST.IPFV
 'The cats were chased.'
- b. **ḅʒḵḅ ḡḡḡḵḅ ḵḵḵḵ:**
Naken ufukon keḏam.
 /na'ken u.fu'kon ke'ḏam/
 naken= ufukon keḏ-am
 AN.PL.DAT.TOP= singer admire-IND.PST.IPFV
 'The singers were admired.'
- c. **ḅṽ7 7ḵ ʒḅḅḵ3 ḵ ḡʒḅ3ḡ ṽḵṽ ḵḵḡ:**
Mod ti ennis ke ḵansu oko ḡira.
 /mod ti e'n:is ke θan'su o'ko ɣi'ra/
 mod ḵis= ennis ke= ḵansu oko ḡir-a
 IS.ERG IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= 2S.GEN.TOP dog throw-IND.NPST.IPFV
 'I throw the ball to your dog.'

See section 7.1 for a greater explanation of how the topic is used within discourse.

4.2 Pronouns and Determiners

Tavonic has several types of pronouns and determiners that serve as anaphora, including personal pronouns, demonstrative pronouns, interrogative pronouns, relative pronouns, and other indefinite pronouns.

4.2.1 Personal Pronouns

As shown in Table 4.3, Tavonic contains several personal pronouns. These pronouns are symmetrical to other nouns and noun phrases,¹¹ declining to show gender, number, case, and topicality just like nouns while adding person.

Historically, all pronouns were regular formations with the case-marking preposition and a person-marking pronoun, but over time, these words combined and fused as grammaticalization progressed. The forms are now completely fused.

¹¹Oliver A. Iggesen, "Asymmetrical Case-Marking," in *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, ed. Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath (Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013), <https://wals.info/chapter/50>.

Table 4.3: Tavonic Personal Pronouns

Person	ABS	ERG	ACC	DAT	GEN	TOP	TOP.ACC	TOP.DAT	TOP.GEN
1S	<i>mor</i>	<i>mod</i>	<i>mot</i>	<i>mok</i>	<i>mos</i>	<i>mon</i>	<i>montu</i>	<i>monke</i>	<i>monsu</i>
1PC	<i>morsa</i>	<i>modas</i>	<i>motos</i>	<i>mokas</i>	<i>mosar</i>	<i>monsa</i>	<i>monsut</i>	<i>monsek</i>	<i>monsus</i>
1P	<i>morna</i>	<i>modin</i>	<i>moton</i>	<i>moken</i>	<i>mosan</i>	<i>mana</i>	<i>manut</i>	<i>manek</i>	<i>manus</i>
2S	<i>ter</i>	<i>ted</i>	<i>ɸet</i>	<i>tek</i>	<i>tes</i>	<i>ɸan</i>	<i>ɸantu</i>	<i>ɸanke</i>	<i>ɸansu</i>
2PC	<i>tersa</i>	<i>tedas</i>	<i>ɸetos</i>	<i>tekas</i>	<i>tesar</i>	<i>tensa</i>	<i>tensut</i>	<i>tensek</i>	<i>tensus</i>
2P	<i>terna</i>	<i>tedin</i>	<i>ɸeton</i>	<i>token</i>	<i>tesan</i>	<i>tana</i>	<i>tanut</i>	<i>tanek</i>	<i>tanus</i>
3S.AN	<i>sur</i>	<i>sud</i>	<i>sut</i>	<i>suk</i>	<i>šus</i>	<i>šun</i>	<i>šuntu</i>	<i>šunke</i>	<i>šunsu</i>
3PC.AN	<i>suša</i>	<i>sudas</i>	<i>sutos</i>	<i>sukas</i>	<i>šusar</i>	<i>šunas</i>	<i>šunsut</i>	<i>šunsek</i>	<i>šunsus</i>
3P.AN	<i>surna</i>	<i>sudin</i>	<i>suton</i>	<i>suken</i>	<i>šusan</i>	<i>šona</i>	<i>šonut</i>	<i>šonek</i>	<i>šonus</i>
3S.IN	<i>gir</i>	<i>gid</i>	<i>git</i>	<i>gake</i>	<i>gis</i>	<i>gin</i>	<i>gintu</i>	<i>ginke</i>	<i>ginsu</i>
3PC.IN	<i>girsa</i>	<i>gidas</i>	<i>gitos</i>	<i>gokas</i>	<i>gisar</i>	<i>ginsa</i>	<i>ginsut</i>	<i>ginsek</i>	<i>ginsus</i>
3P.IN	<i>girna</i>	<i>gidun</i>	<i>giton</i>	<i>goken</i>	<i>gisan</i>	<i>gana</i>	<i>ganut</i>	<i>ganek</i>	<i>ganus</i>

- (25) a.
- $\text{ɾv } \text{7mgbɾz } \text{7c } \text{ɔbbc3 } \text{ɔ } \text{3g } \text{mɔɔɔ} \text{ vɔv } \text{dcɔz}$
- :

Do Tlunda ti ennis ke su Lerk oko ġirak.

/do tlun'da ti e'n:is ke su 'lerk o'ko ɣi'rak/

do= Tlunda ti= ennis ke= su= Lerk oko
 AN.SG.ERG= Tlunda IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= AN.SG.GEN= Lerk dog
 ġir-ak
 throw-IND.PST.PFV

‘Tlunda threw the ball to Lerk’s dog.’

- b.
- $\text{3gr } \text{7c } \text{ɔbbc3 } \text{ɔ } \text{3g } \text{mɔɔɔ} \text{ vɔv } \text{dcɔz}$
- :

Sud ti ennis ke su Lerk oko ġirak.

/'sud ti e'n:is ke su 'lerk o'ko ɣi'rak/

Sud ti= ennis ke= su= Lerk oko ġir-ak
 3S.AN.ERG IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= AN.SG.GEN= Lerk dog throw-IND.PST.PFV

‘She threw the ball to Lerk’s dog.’

- c.
- $\text{ɾv } \text{7mgbɾz } \text{ɬc7 } \text{ɔ } \text{3g } \text{mɔɔɔ} \text{ vɔv } \text{dcɔz}$
- :

Do Tlunda git ke su Lerk oko ġirak.

/do tlun'da 'git ke su 'lerk o'ko ɣi'rak/

do= Tlunda git ke= su= Lerk oko ġir-ak
 AN.SG.ERG= Tlunda 3S.IN.ACC AN.SG.DAT= AN.SG.GEN= Lerk dog throw-IND.PST.PFV

‘Tlunda threw it to Lerk’s dog.’

d. $\text{rv } 7\text{m}9\text{b}\text{r}\text{z } 7\text{c } 3\text{b}\text{b}\text{c}\text{3 } \text{J}\text{c } \text{y}\text{g}\text{3 } \text{v}\text{J}\text{v } \text{d}\text{c}\text{h}\text{z}\text{J}$:*Do Tlunda ti ennis ke šus oko ġirak.*

/do tlun'da ti e'n:is ke 'jus o'ko ʔi'rak/

do= Tlunda ti= ennis ke= šus oko ġir-ak
 AN.SG.ERG= Tlunda IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= 3S.AN.GEN dog throw-IND.PST.PFV

‘Tlunda threw the ball to his dog.’

e. $\text{rv } 7\text{m}9\text{b}\text{r}\text{z } 7\text{c } 3\text{b}\text{b}\text{c}\text{3 } 3\text{g}\text{J } \text{d}\text{c}\text{h}\text{z}\text{J}$:*Do Tlunda ti ennis suk ġirak.*

/do tlun'da ti e'n:is 'suk ʔi'rak/

do= Tlunda ti= ennis suk ġir-ak
 AN.SG.ERG= Tlunda IN.SG.ACC= ball AN.SG.DAT= AN.SG.GEN=

‘Tlunda threw the ball to him.’

Personal pronouns are used the same way their full noun phrase counterparts are, in both core and non-core cases, and replace the full noun phrase for which they are serving as anaphor. Example 25a shows a full sentence without any pronouns; examples 25b–e then show variations on this sentence with different noun phrases replaced with pronouns. Notice that the pronoun replaces the full noun phrase, for example in 25d where $\text{y}\text{g}\text{3 } \text{šus}$ replaces only $3\text{g } \text{m}\text{c}\text{h}\text{J} \text{ su Lerk}$, the noun in the genitive, whereas in 25e, $3\text{g}\text{J } \text{suk}$ replaces $\text{Jc } 3\text{g } \text{m}\text{c}\text{h}\text{J} \text{ vJv ke su Lerk oko}$, the full dative noun phrase. Similarly, when a noun phrase contains an adjective, the whole noun phrase is replaced, including the adjective, as in example 26.

(26) a. $3\text{v}\text{m} \text{ bv } \text{l}\text{h}\text{z}\text{d}\text{h}\text{g } 3\text{v}\text{7}\text{h}\text{z } \text{J}\text{z}\text{b}\text{7}\text{J}$:*Bol no fraþru botra kanteġ.*

/ˈbol no fraθ'ru bot'ra kan'tek/

Ø= Bol no= fraþru botra kant-ek
 AN.SG.ABS= Bol AN.SG.TOP= observant woman thank-IND.PST.IPFV

‘Bol thanked the observant woman.’

b. * $3\text{v}\text{m} \text{ l}\text{h}\text{z}\text{d}\text{h}\text{g } \text{y}\text{g}\text{b } \text{J}\text{z}\text{b}\text{7}\text{J}$:**Bol fraþru šun kanteġ.*

/ˈbol fraθ'ru 'ʃun kan'tek/

Ø= Bol fraþru šun kant-ek
 AN.SG.ABS= Bol observant 3S.AN.TOP thank-IND.PST.IPFV

*‘Bol thanked the observant her.’

- c. **зун yqb ʒb7ɔʃ:**
Bol šun kanteḱ.
 /'bol 'ʃun kan'tek/
 Ø= *Bol šun kanteḱ*
 AN.SG.ABS= Bol 3S.AN.TOP thank-IND.PST.IPFV
 'Bol thanked her.'

4.2.2 Demonstrative Pronouns and Determiners

There exist three demonstratives in Tavonic, including **ḁḁḁ ḁle** /ðle/ 'this' (proximal), **ḁḁḁ *pro*** /θro/ 'that' (medial), and **ḁḁḁ *lerko*** /ler'xo/ 'that' (distal). Just like the personal pronouns, these demonstratives replace the whole noun phrase for which they serve as anaphor. However, unlike pronouns, they do not have fused declensional forms; instead, they decline the same way nouns do.

- (27) a. **ḁḁḁ ḁḁ ḁḁḁ ᠑3᠑:**
Mor ḁo ḁle usu.
 /'mor xo ðle u'su/
 mor ḁo= ḁle us-u
 IS.AN.ABS IN.SG.DAT= DEM.PROX have-IND.NPST.IPFV
 'I have this.'
- b. **ḁḁḁ ḁḁ ḁḁḁ ᠑3᠑:**
*Mor ḁo *pro* usu.*
 /'mor xo θro u'su/
 mor ḁo= *pro* us-u
 IS.AN.ABS IN.SG.DAT= DEM.MED have-IND.NPST.IPFV
 'I have that.'
- c. **ḁḁḁ ḁḁ ḁḁḁḁḁ ᠑3᠑:**
*Mor ḁo *lerko* usu.*
 /'mor xo ler'xo u'su/
 mor ḁo= *lerko* us-u
 IS.AN.ABS IN.SG.DAT= DEM.DIST have-IND.NPST.IPFV
 'I have that.'

The proximal demonstrative **ḁḁḁ ḁle** refers to an object close to the speaker. The medial demonstrative **ḁḁḁ *pro*** refers to an object close to the addressee. The distal demonstrative **ḁḁḁ *lerko*** refers to an object far from both the speaker and the addressee.

The demonstrative pronouns also inflect to show number, just like nouns. Example 28a shows the proximal demonstrative **ḁḁḁ ḁle** used in the paucal number, while 28b shows the same in the plural.

(28) a. **ᐆᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐃᐅᐅ ᑭᐅᐅ:***Mor kos ḡle usu.*

/ˈmor kos ḡle uˈsu/

mor ḡo= ḡle us-u

IS.AN.ABS IN.PC.DAT= DEM.PROX have-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘I have these.’

b. **ᐆᐅᐅ ᐅᑭᐅ ᐃᐅᐅ ᑭᐅᐅ:***Mor ḡun ḡle usu.*

/ˈmor xun ḡle uˈsu/

mor ḡo= ḡle us-u

IS.AN.ABS IN.PL.DAT= DEM.PROX have-IND.NPST.IPFV

‘I have these.’

The demonstratives can also be used as determiners by pairing them with a noun. These determiners lack flexivity and do not inflect to match the gender of the referent noun like adjectives do. Determiners are placed *after* the noun they modify.

(29) a. **ᑭ'ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐃᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ:***D'oko nas ablu ḡle okotak.*

/doˈko nas abˈlu ḡle o.koˈtak/

do=oko nas= ablu ḡle okot-ak

AN.SG.ERG=dog AN.PC.TOP= cat DEM.DET.PROX chase-IND.PST.PFV

‘The dog chased these cats.’

b. **ᑭ'ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ:***D'oko no ablu ḡro okotak.*

/doˈko no abˈlu θro o.koˈtak/

do=oko no= ablu ḡro okot-ak

AN.SG.ERG=dog AN.SG.TOP= cat DEM.DET.MED chase-IND.PST.PFV

‘The dog chased that cat.’

c. **ᑭ'ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ ᐅᐅᐅᐅᐅ:***D'oko nan ablu lerḡo okotak.*

/doˈko nan abˈlu lerˈxo o.koˈtak/

do=oko nan= ablu lerḡo okot-ak

AN.SG.ERG=dog AN.PL.TOP= cat DEM.DET.DIST chase-IND.PST.PFV

‘The dog chased those cats.’

4.2.3 Interrogative Pronouns and Determiners

Tavonic contains only one interrogative, **շիյո** *arke* /ar'ke/. By default, **շիյո** *arke* means ‘who’ or ‘what’, depending on how it is declined.

- (30) a. **Դո՞ղ քո շիյո Լիշ?**
Ter ko arke frak?
 /'ter xo ar'ke 'frak/
- ter ko= arke fr-ak*
 2S.ABS IN.SG.DAT= INT see-IND.PST.PFV
- ‘What did you see?’
- b. **Շիյո ճե՞ծ Լիշ?**
Arke gin frak?
 /ar'ke gin 'frak/
- Ø= arke gin fr-ak*
 SG.ABS= INT 3S.IN.TOP see-IND.PST.PFV
- ‘Who saw it?’

As shown in example 30a, the interrogative pronoun is placed **ծ** **3C79** *in situ*. In other words, the question word stays in place rather than being fronted to the beginning of the sentence like in English.

Notice also in example 30 that the particle **զ** *vi* is not used. Any sentence that contains the interrogative **շիյո** *arke* can be seen to be a question, obviating the need for **զ** *vi*. However, **զ** *vi* can be added back in to emphasize or, conceivably in rare instances, clarify the question.

շիյո *Arke* can be paired with certain nouns or postpositions to form other interrogatives such as ‘where’, ‘when’, and ‘how’.

- (31) a. **Դո՞ղ ճե՞ծ լի՞նք շիյո Կ Լիշ?**
Ter gin inam arke e frak?
 /'ter gin i'nam ar'ke e 'frak/
- ter gin inam arke e fr-ak*
 2S.ABS 3S.IN.TOP place INT at see-IND.PST.PFV
- ‘Where did you see it?’
- b. **Դո՞ղ ճե՞ծ երբեք շիյո Կ Լիշ?**
Ter gin etri arke e frak?
 /'ter gin et'ri ar'ke e 'frak/
- ter gin etri arke e fr-ak*
 2S.ABS 3S.IN.TOP time INT at see-IND.PST.PFV
- ‘When did you see it?’

b. 39᠒ ᠵᠠ ᠵᠡᠭᠢ ᠡᠭᠡᠯᠡ 333 ᠷᠤ ᠫᠵᠢᠨ ᠠ ᠵᠠᠪᠪᠴ3 ᠳᠠᠨᠵᠡᠯᠡ:

Sur ke arb frak bas do kalo ti ennis ġirak.

/sur ke 'arb 'frak bas do xa'lo ti en'nis yi'arak/

sur ke= arb fr-ak bas do kalo ti= ennis
 3S.AN.ABS AN.SG.DAT= bird see-IND.PST.PFV REL.NRTRV AN.SG.ERG= man IN.SG.ACC= ball
 ġir-ak
 throw-IND.PST.PFV

‘The man, who saw the bird, threw the ball.’

The restrictive relative clause defines or restricts the referent with further information. Example 33a restricts the referent to specifically the man *who saw the bird* instead of a different man. Meanwhile, the non-restrictive relative clause provides supplemental information about the referent without further defining or restricting. Example 33b provides further information that the man saw the bird, but does not use that as an identifying quality.

The relative pronouns do not decline in any way. Instead, a personal pronoun is used, declined to show the role of the referent within the embedded clause. In examples 33a–b, the referent *ᠫᠵᠢᠨ* *kalo* ‘man’ is referred to in the embedded clause using the pronoun *39᠒* *sur* to show the absolutive case because the man is the actor within the embedded clause, the one seeing the bird. A more literal translation of example 33a would be something like ‘The man [who he saw the bird] threw the ball.’ Similarly, a more literal translation of example 34a would be something like ‘The man wrote the letters [that I saw them] to his wife.’

(34) a. ᠷᠤ ᠫᠵᠢᠨ ᠠᠵᠢ ᠪᠣᠨᠵᠢᠨ ᠡᠭᠡᠯᠡ ᠯᠠᠭ 3ᠡᠭᠡᠯᠠᠳ3 ᠵᠠ ᠶᠠᠭ3 3ᠤᠨᠠᠵᠢ ᠵᠠᠳᠠᠨᠵᠡᠯᠡ:

Do kalo ten mor goken frak put akrapis ke šus botra eđerak.

/do xa'lo ten 'mor go'ken 'frak put ak.ra'pis ke 'šus bot'ra e.đe'arak/

do= kalo ten= mor goken fr-ak put akrapis
 AN.SG.ERG= man IN.PL.ACC= IS.ABS IN.PL.DAT see-IND.PST.PFV REL.RTRV letter
 ke= šus botra eđer-ak
 AN.SG.DAT= 3S.AN.GEN wife write-IND.PST.PFV

‘The man wrote the letters that I saw to his wife.’

b. ᠷᠤ ᠫᠵᠢᠨ ᠪᠣᠨᠵᠢᠨ ᠡᠭᠡᠯᠡ 333 ᠠᠵᠢ ᠵᠡᠭᠡᠯᠠᠳ3 ᠵᠠ ᠶᠠᠭ3 3ᠤᠨᠠᠵᠢ ᠵᠠᠳᠠᠨᠵᠡᠯᠡ:

Do kalo mor goken frak bas ten akrapis ke šus botra eđerak.

/do xa'lo 'mor go'ken 'frak bas ten ak.ra'pis ke 'šus bot'ra e.đe'arak/

do= kalo mor goken fr-ak bas ten= akrapis
 AN.SG.ERG= man IS.ABS IN.PL.DAT see-IND.PST.PFV REL.NRTRV IN.PL.ACC= letter
 ke= šus botra eđer-ak
 AN.SG.DAT= 3S.AN.GEN wife write-IND.PST.PFV

‘The man wrote the letters, which I saw, to his wife.’

The location of the declining clitic for the head noun of the relative clause depends on whether the relative pronoun is restrictive or non-restrictive. When the pronoun is restrictive, as in examples 33a

and 34a, the declining clitic is placed before the entire relative clause, since the embedded clause is serving as a descriptor and thus a part of the noun phrase as a whole. On the other hand, when the pronoun is non-restrictive, as in examples 33b and 34b, the embedded clause is merely supplemental information separate from the noun phrase itself, and so the clitic is placed after the relative pronoun immediately before the head noun.

4.2.5 Indefinite Pronouns and Determiners

Indefinite pronouns and determiners

4.3 Verbs

Verbs

4.4 Modifiers

Modifiers

4.4.1 Adjectives

Adjectives

4.4.2 Numerals

Numerals

4.4.3 Quantifiers and Intensifiers

Quantifiers and Intensifiers

4.5 Adverbs

Adverbs

4.6 Adpositions

Adpositions

4.7 Conjunctions

Conjunctions

Syntax

How do words go together?

Lexical Operations

6.1 Compounding

How does compounding work?

6.2 Derivation

How do you make new words?

Discourse

How does conversation work?

7.1 Topic

Sociolinguistic Context

8.1 Conceptual Metaphors

What metaphors do the vocabulary convey?

For example: language is a tool. I speak *with* or *using* Tavonic, rather than just speaking Tavonic.

8.2 Kinship Terms


The Tavonic kinship system is similar to Lewis Henry Morgan's Sudanese kinship pattern, being largely descriptive with only a few classificatory terms. Siblings are distinguished from cousins, and parallel cousins are distinguished from cross cousins. Siblings and parallel cousins are identified by gender, while cross cousins are not. Parallel aunts and uncles are distinguished from cross aunts and uncles. Grandparents are identified by gender, but are otherwise undistinguished. Children and grandchildren are similarly identified by gender but otherwise undistinguished. See Figure 8.1 for a full kinship tree.

All of the kinship terms within a nuclear family have distinct names distinguishing gender and generation.

mother	cpʒ <i>ima</i> /i'ma/
father	ʒqv <i>avo</i> /a'vo/
parent	qvʒʒ <i>vota</i> /vo'ta/
sister	lɔŋʒʒ <i>persa</i> /per'sa/
brother	vmʒv <i>olno</i> /ol'no/
sibling	ʒŋpɕ <i>armi</i> /ar'mi/
wife	ʒvʒŋʒ(pɕp) <i>botra(mim)</i> /bot'ra/ or /bot.ra'mim/
husband	pʒmʒv(pɕp) <i>ʒalo(mim)</i> /xa'lo/ or /xa.lo'mim/
spouse	ʒʒbɕp <i>sanim</i> /sa'nim/
daughter	ʒvʒɕʒɕb <i>botiken</i> /bo.ti'ken/
son	pʒʒɕʒɕb <i>ʒatiken</i> /xa.ti'ken/
child	ʒʒʒɕʒɕb <i>tatiken</i> /ta.ti'ken/

Relation by marriage is expressed with a suffix *-(m)im*. This suffix can be added to several terms, such as 'sister', 'brother', 'daughter', and 'son'.

Figure 8.1: Tavonic Kinship Tree



in-law	7zqvɪɸɸ <i>tavomim</i> /ta.vo'mim/
mother-in-law	ɸɪzɪɸɸ <i>imamim</i> /i.ma'mim/
father-in-law	zqvɪɸɸ <i>avomim</i> /a.vo'mim/
sister-in-law	ɬɔŋzɪɸɸ <i>persamim</i> /per.sa'mim/
brother-in-law	vŋbɪɸɸ <i>olnomim</i> /ol.no'mim/
daughter-in-law	zɪvɪɪɪɪɪɪɪ <i>botikemmim</i> /bo.ti.kem'mim/
son-in-law	ɪzɪvɪɪɪɪɪɪ <i>katikemmim</i> /xa.ti.kem'mim/

Terms for one's nieces and nephews are derived from a combination of the terms for 'sister' or 'brother' and the terms for 'daughter' or 'son'.

niece (sister's daughter)	ɬɔŋzɪ <i>perbo</i> /per'bo/
niece (brother's daughter)	vŋzɪ <i>olbo</i> /ol'bo/
niece-in-law (sister's daughter-in-law)	ɬɔŋzɪɸɸ <i>perbomim</i> /per.bo'mim/
niece-in-law (brother's daughter-in-law)	vŋzɪɸɸ <i>olbomim</i> /ol.bo'mim/
nephew (sister's son)	ɬɔŋɪ <i>perka</i> /per'xa/
nephew (brother's son)	vŋɪ <i>olka</i> /ol'xa/
nephew-in-law (sister's son-in-law)	ɬɔŋɪɸɸ <i>perkamim</i> /per.xa'mim/
nephew-in-law (brother's son-in-law)	vŋɪɸɸ <i>olkamim</i> /ol.xa'mim/
niefling (gender-neutral)	ɪŋɪɪ <i>turag</i> /tu'rag/

The child of one's niece or nephew is called ɪŋɪɪ *turag*, regardless of gender. Over time, this term became generalized to be used as a classificatory gender-neutral term for all of one's nieces and nephews along with their descendants.

One's grandchildren are distinguished by gender, but not by their parents. In other words, one's daughter's daughter is called the same term as one's son's daughter. The terms for grandchildren are formed as a compound with the word ɪɪɪɪɪ *keðali* 'to watch'.

granddaughter	ɪɪɪvɪɪɪɪ <i>keðotiken</i> /ke.ðo.ti'ken/
grandson	ɪɪɪɪɪɪɪɪ <i>keðakiken</i> /ke.θa.xi'ken/
grandchild	ɪɪɪɪɪvɪɪɪɪ <i>keðantiken</i> /ke.θan.ti'ken/

Tavonic distinguishes between parallel and cross aunts and uncles. In other words, one's mother's sister is called differently than one's father's sister. These terms are further distinguished for the in-law variants with the *-(m)im* suffix.

aunt (mother's sister)	ɸɪɬɔŋzɪ <i>impersa</i> /im.per'sa/
aunt (father's sister)	zɪɪɪɪɪ <i>aversa</i> /a.ver'sa/
uncle (mother's brother)	ɸɪvŋ <i>imol</i> /i.mo/
uncle (father's brother)	zɪvŋvb <i>avolon</i> /a.vo'lon/
aunt (mother's sister-in-law)	ɸɪɬɔŋzɪɸɸ <i>impersamim</i> /im.per.sa'mim/
aunt (father's sister-in-law)	zɪɪɪɪɪɪɪɪ <i>aversamim</i> /a.ver.sa'mim/
uncle (mother's brother-in-law)	ɸɪvŋɪɪ <i>imolim</i> /i.mo'lim/
uncle (father's brother-in-law)	zɪvŋvbɪɪ <i>avolonim</i> /a.vo.lo'nim/

Tavonic distinguishes between parallel and cross cousins, but does not distinguish them by gender. Within parallel cousins, different terms are used to distinguish maternal vs. paternal cousins, while all cross cousins are labeled the same. Cousins' spouses are treated the same as in-laws by adding the *-(m)im* suffix.

cousin (mother's sister's child)	vηλɔ <i>orže</i> /or'ʒe/
cousin (father's brother's child)	ʎvβɔy <i>komuš</i> /ko'muʃ/
cousin (cross cousin)	ʎvbbcɜ <i>konnis</i> /kon'nis/
cousin (mother's sister's child-in-law)	vηλɔβɔβ <i>oržemim</i> /or.ʒe'mim/
cousin (father's brother's child-in-law)	ʎvβɔyɔβ <i>komušim</i> /ko.mu'ʃim/
cousin (cross cousin-in-law)	ʎvbbcɜβɔβ <i>konnisim</i> /kon.ni'sim/

The descendants of one's cousins are not distinguished in any way, even between parallel and cross cousins. Further, they are all called by the same term as one's cross cousins: *konnis*.

Grandparents are distinguished by gender, but there is no distinction made between maternal and paternal grandparents. Similar to the terms for grandchildren, the terms for grandparents are formed as a compound with the word ʎɔḍʒɪɲɔ *keḍali* 'to watch'.

grandmother	ʎɔḍɔβɜ <i>keḍima</i> /ke.ḍi'ma/
grandfather	ʎɔḍʒɔv <i>keḍavo</i> /ke.ḍa'vo/
grandparent	ʎɔḍvʒɜɔ <i>keḍotav</i> /ke.ḍo'tav/

One's grandparents' siblings are called by the same terms as for one's aunts and uncles. In other words, one would call one's maternal grandmother's brother the same term as one's mother would call that person, or as one would call one's own mother's brother.

8.3 Names

8.3.1 Masculine Names

- ʒvm Bol /'bol/
- ɲɔɲʎ Lerk /'lerk/
- βvmɲɔɲ Mollur /mo'l:ur/
- vʒɔ Ote /o'te/

8.3.2 Feminine Names

- ʒɲɔβɔɜ Blimva /blim'va/
- βvmʒɔ Goltu /gol'tu/
- ɲɲɔβɔɜ Tlunda /tlun'da/
- ʒʒɲɜ Zarsa /zar'sa/

8.3.3 Gender-Neutral Names

- ערמֶ Erme /er'me/
- עִינִי Inki /in'ki/
- רֶנֶה Ronne /ron'ne/

Tavonic Reference Grammar

Here is a reference grammar for Tavonic.

Part II

Tavonic Family: Alnuric

History and Ethnography

This chapter will present a brief history of the Alnuric language, followed by a short description of its ethnolinguistic context.

10.1 Brief History

Here will be a brief historical description of the Alnureth.

10.2 Ethnography

10.2.1 Demonyms and Language Names

For hundreds of years, the empire ruled in the southern region of Ardusa. The Tavonic word *unner* /un'ner/ 'empire' evolved into the Alnuric word *alnur* /al'nur/. *Alnurek* /al.nu'rek/ 'Alnuric' takes its name from this word. Meanwhile, the Redodhic name for the empire is *nonar* /no'nar/, and its name for the Alnuric language is *Nonrik* /non'rik/. Similarly, the Alnuric and Redodhic names for the Alnuric people are *Alnureþ* /al.nu'reθ/ and *Nonriþ* /non'riθ/ respectively.

10.2.2 Ethnology

Here will be a brief ethnological description of the Alnureth.

10.2.3 Demography

Here will be a brief demographical description of the Alnureth.

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Alnuric Reference Grammar

Here is a reference grammar for Alnuric.

Part III

Tavonic Family: Redodhic

History and Ethnography

This chapter will present a brief history of the Redodhic language, followed by a short description of its ethnolinguistic context.

19.1 Brief History

Here will be a brief historical description of the Redodhith.

19.2 Ethnography

19.2.1 Demonyms and Language Names

In the north, the alliance resisted the empire's expansion. The Tavonic word *aroltutaþ* /a.rol.tu'taθ/ signifies 'alliance', however the alliance instead used the simpler form *arutaþ* /a.ru'taθ/ 'standers' to signify the alliance of those kingdoms standing against the empire. *Arutaþ* evolved into the Redodhic word *rejip* /re'dʒiθ/, and *Redoðik* /re.do'ðik/ 'Redodhic' takes its name from this word. The Alnuric name for the alliance is *eradeþ* /e.ra'deθ/, and its name for the Redodhic language is *Eratþek* /e.rat'θek/. Similarly, the Redodhic and Alnuric names for the Redodhic people are *Redoðip* /re.do'ðiθ/ and *Eratþep* /e.rat'θeθ/ respectively.

19.2.2 Ethnology

Here will be a brief ethnological description of the Redodhith.

19.2.3 Demography

Here will be a brief demographical description of the Redodhith.

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Redodhic Reference Grammar

Here is a reference grammar for Redodhic.

Part IV

Kalaakan Family: Kalaakan

History and Ethnography

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Kalaakan Reference Grammar

Part V

Kalaakan Family: Elvish

History and Ethnography

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Elvish Reference Grammar

Part VI

Kalaakan Family: Dwarvish

History and Ethnography

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Dwarvish Reference Grammar

Part VII

Kalaakan Family: Orcish

History and Ethnography

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Orcish Reference Grammar

Part VIII

Kunmian Family: Kunmian

History and Ethnography

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Kunmian Reference Grammar

Part IX

Kunmian Family: Gnomish

History and Ethnography

Phonology

Morphological Typology

Grammatical Categories

Syntax

Lexical Operations

Discourse

Sociolinguistic Context

Gnomish Reference Grammar

Part X

Appendices

Example Texts

Here are some longer example translations.

Bibliography

- Annis, William S. "A Conlanger's Thesaurus." *Fiat Lingua*, September 1, 2014. Accessed September 8, 2018. <http://fiatlingua.org/2014/09/>.
- Baerman, Matthew, and Dunstan Brown. "Case Syncretism." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/28>.
- Becker, Carsten. "A Grammar of Ayeri: Documenting a Fictional Language." Accessed November 25, 2018. <https://github.com/carbeck/ayerigrammar>.
- Bickel, Balthasar, and Johanna Nichols. "Exponence of Selected Inflectional Formatives." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/21>.
- . "Fusion of Selected Inflectional Formatives." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/20>.
- . "Inflectional Morphology." In *Language Typology and Syntactic Description*, edited by Timothy Shopen. 2nd edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- . "Inflectional Synthesis of the Verb." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/22>.
- Corbett, Greville G. "Number of Genders." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/30>.
- . "Sex-based and Non-sex-based Gender Systems." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/31>.
- . "Systems of Gender Assignment." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/32>.

- Daniel, Michael, and Edith Moravcsik. "The Associative Plural." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/36>.
- Dryer, Matthew S. "Coding of Nominal Plurality." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/33>.
- . "Position of Case Affixes." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/51>.
- . "Prefixing vs. Suffixing in Inflectional Morphology." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/26>.
- Haspelmath, Martin. "Occurrence of Nominal Plurality." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/34>.
- Iggesen, Oliver A. "Asymmetrical Case-Marking." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/50>.
- . "Number of Cases." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <https://wals.info/chapter/49>.
- Maddieson, Ian. "Consonant Inventories." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/1>.
- . "Consonant-Vowel Ratio." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/3>.
- . "Syllable Structure." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/12>.
- . "Vowel Quality Inventories." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/2>.
- Nichols, Johanna, and Balthasar Bickel. "Locus of Marking: Whole-language Typology." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/25>.
- . "Possessive Classification." In *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*, edited by Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 2013. <http://wals.info/chapter/59>.

- Payne, Thomas E. *Describing Morphosyntax: A Guide for Field Linguists*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2006. ISBN: 0-521-58805-7. <http://www.cambridge.org/vi/academic/subjects/languages-linguistics/grammar-and-syntax/describing-morphosyntax-guide-field-linguists>.
- Pearson, Matt. "The Okuna Reference Grammar." Accessed September 8, 2018. <http://pearson.conlang.org/>.
- Peterson, David J. *The Art of Language Invention: From Horse-Lords to Dark Elves, the Words Behind World-Building*. New York, New York, United States of America: Penguin Books, 2015. ISBN: 978-0-14-312646-1. <http://www.artoflanguageinvention.com/books/>.
- Plank, Frans. "Split Morphology: how Agglutination and Flexion Mix." *Linguistic Typology* 3 (1999): 279–340.
- Rosenfelder, Mark. *Advanced Language Construction*. Chicago, Illinois, United States of America: Yonagu Books, 2012. ISBN: 978-1478267539. <https://www.zompist.com/lck2.html>.
- . *The Conlanger's Lexipedia*. Chicago, Illinois, United States of America: Yonagu Books, 2013. ISBN: 1493733001. <https://www.zompist.com/lexipedia.html>.
- . *The Language Construction Kit*. Chicago, Illinois, United States of America: Yonagu Books, 2010. ISBN: 978-0-9844700-0-6. <https://www.zompist.com/lckbook.html>.
- Spencer, Andrew, and Ana Luís. "The Canonical Clitic." Chap. 6 in *Canonical Morphology and Syntax*, by Dunstan Brown, Marina Chumakina, and Greville G. Corbett, 123–150. 2012. ISBN: 9780199604326, accessed November 25, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199604326.001.0001>. https://www.academia.edu/4379177/The_canonical_clitic_With_Ana_Lu%C3%ADs_.
- Zwicky, Arnold M. "Clitics and Particles." *Language* 61, no. 2 (1985): 286–290. Accessed November 25, 2018. <http://babel.ucsc.edu/~hank/mrg.readings/zwicky1985.pdf>.
- Zwicky, Arnold M., and Geoffrey K. Pullum. "Cliticization vs. Inflection: English N'T." *Language* 59, no. 3 (1983): 503–505. Accessed November 25, 2018. <https://web.stanford.edu/~zwicky/ZPcliticsInfl.pdf>.

Index

- allophony, 4, 5
- consonants, 4
 - allophones, *see* allophony
 - gemination, 4
 - inventory, 5
 - romanization, 6
 - velarization, 4
- kinship, 51–54
- morphological typology, 11–17
 - exponence, 13–14
 - flexivity, 14–16
 - fusion, 12–13
 - locus of marking, 21–24
 - processes, 17–21
 - cliticization, 19–21
 - suffixation, 17–19
 - synthesis, 16–17
- names, 54–55
- vowels
 - inventory, 7