BITS Pilani
Pilani Campus

Network Fundamentals for Cloud

Nishit Narang
WILPD-CSIS

BITS Pilani
Pilani Campus

CC ZG503: Network Fundamentals for Cloud
Lecture No. 4

RECAP: Services, Layering and Encapsulation



[RECAP] Encapsulation: an end-end view



ISO/OSI reference model

Two layers not found in Internet protocol stack!

- *presentation:* allow applications to interpret meaning of data, e.g., encryption, compression, machine-specific conventions
- *session:* synchronization, checkpointing, recovery of data exchange
- Internet stack "missing" these layers!
  - these services, *if needed,* must be implemented in application
  - needed?



The seven layer OSI/ISO reference model

Wireshark



WIRESHARK
packet analyzer
packet capture (pcap)
copy of all Ethernet frames sent/received
application (www browser, email client)
application
OS
Transport (TCP/UDP)
Network (IP)
Link (Ethernet)
Physical

innovate achieve lead

BITS Pilani
Pilani Campus

Fundamentals of Networking: Network Layer Routing

Slides Source: Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach, 8th edition, Jim Kurose, Keith Ross, Pearson, 2020

Network layer: Topics to be covered

- Introduction to control and data plane
- routing protocols
  - link state
  - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP

Network-layer functions

- forwarding: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output

data plane

- routing: determine route taken by packets from source to destination

control plane

Two approaches to structuring network control plane:

- per-router control (traditional)
- logically centralized control (software defined networking)

Per-router control plane

Individual routing algorithm components *in each and every router* interact in the control plane



Network layer : Topics to be covered

- introduction
- routing protocols
  - link state
  - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP

Routing protocols

Routing protocol goal: determine "good" paths (equivalently, routes), from sending hosts to receiving host, through network of routers

- path: sequence of routers packets traverse from given initial source host to final destination host
- "good": least "cost", "fastest", "least congested"
- routing: a "top-10" networking challenge!



Graph abstraction: link costs



$c_{a,b}$: cost of *direct* link connecting a and b

e.g., $c_{w,z} = 5$, $c_{u,z} = \infty$

cost defined by network operator: could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

graph: $G = (N,E)$

N: set of routers = { u, v, w, x, y, z }

E: set of links ={ $(u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z)$ }

Routing algorithm classification

global: all routers have *complete* topology, link cost info

- "link state" algorithms

How fast do routes change?

static: routes change slowly over time

dynamic*:* routes change more quickly

- periodic updates or in response to link cost changes

decentralized: iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors

- routers initially only know link costs to attached neighbors
- "distance vector" algorithms

global or decentralized information?

Network layer : Topics to be covered

- introduction
- routing protocols
  - link state
  - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP

Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

- centralized: network topology, link costs known to *all* nodes
  - accomplished via "link state broadcast"
  - all nodes have same info
- computes least cost paths from one node ("source") to all other nodes
  - gives *forwarding table* for that node
- iterative: after k iterations, know least cost path to k destinations

notation

- $c_{x,y}$: direct link cost from node x to y; $= \infty$ if not direct neighbors
- $D(v)$: *current* estimate of cost of least-cost-path from source to destination v
- $p(v)$: predecessor node along path from source to v
- N': set of nodes whose least-cost-path *definitively* known

Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

Initialization:

$N' = \{u\}$ /* compute least cost path from u to all other nodes */

for all nodes v

  if v adjacent to u /* u initially knows direct-path-cost only to direct neighbors */

    then $D(v) = c_{u,v}$ /* but may not be *minimum* cost! */

  else $D(v) = \infty$

Loop

  find w not in N' such that $D(w)$ is a minimum

  add w to N'

  update $D(v)$ for all v adjacent to w and not in N' :

    $D(v) = \min(D(v), D(w) + c_{w,v})$

  /* new least-path-cost to v is either old least-cost-path to v or known

  least-cost-path to w plus direct-cost from w to v */

until all nodes in N'

Dijkstra’s algorithm: an example

Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	1,u	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		2,x	∞
2	uxy	2,u	3,y			4,y
3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
4	uxyvw					4,y
5	uxyvwz					



Initialization (step 0): For all a: if *a* adjacent to then $D(a) = c_{u,a}$

find *a* not in *N'* such that *D(a)* is a minimum
add *a* to *N'*
update *D(b)* for all *b* adjacent to *a* and not in *N'* :
$D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$

Dijkstra's algorithm: an example



resulting least-cost-path tree from u:



resulting forwarding table in u:

destination	outgoing link
v	(u,v)
x	(u,x)
y	(u,x)
w	(u,x)
x	(u,x)

route from *u* to *v* directly

route from u to all other destinations via *x*

Dijkstra's algorithm: discussion

algorithm complexity: *n* nodes

- each of *n* iteration: need to check all nodes, *w*, not in *N*
- $n(n+1)/2$ comparisons: $O(n^2)$ complexity
- more efficient implementations possible: $O(n \log n)$

message complexity:

- each router must *broadcast* its link state information to other *n* routers
- efficient (and interesting!) broadcast algorithms: $O(n)$ link crossings to disseminate a broadcast message from one source
- each router's message crosses $O(n)$ links: overall message complexity: $O(n^2)$