

Total points: 10

Word Limit: 750

Instructions:

- (i) Submit in Turnitin
 - (ii) Use the format below for identifying your submission. You need not include your name.
Write your details at top of the document as seen here.
HS8.102-Phil.IHS/Assignment-[#]/[Roll number]/Program
e.g. HS8.102-Phil.IHS/Assignment-2/20166737/CSE
 - (iii) Your submission must be a single PDF, named with your IITH id. E.g. 20166737.PDF
 - (iv) Viewing similarity report: **On due date**. Option to resubmit: **Yes**.
 - (v) Similarity index threshold to be considered for evaluation: **15%**
 - (vi) DO NOT include the question in your submission.
-

Consider the following thought experiment:

Anshu and Bipasha both have biochips implanted in their brain that impede their capacity for justifying the beliefs that they hold. Anshu puts a white substance that she believes to be arsenic in Charu's tea. But Anshu does not *know* that the white substance is arsenic because the chemist who sold the white substance to Anshu is a famous liar. Given the chemist's well-known track record of selling sugar as arsenic, Anshu had no business believing the chemist. The chemist picks samples of white substances from his store and gives it to his customers saying that it is arsenic. Even he does not know if the white substance he is handing out to someone is indeed arsenic. Anshu's pertinent beliefs are true, but they do not amount to knowledge because they are based on insufficient evidence. Bipasha also puts a white substance that she takes to be arsenic, in Charu's tea. She too obtained the white substance from the same lying chemist. It so happens that the white substance Anshu used was sugar, but Bipasha's sample was indeed arsenic. Charu dies of arsenic poisoning.

How would you go about attributing moral responsibility in the case of Charu's murder and explain the implications of your analysis on the epistemic condition of responsibility.