## On Chapter 7 of Laws of Form

## naipmoro

July 2017 version 0.2.0

## 1 Introduction

In chapter 7 of Laws of Form Spencer-Brown extends the scope of his basic equations to expressions with any finite number of variables. Some of his arguments, when he provides them, are rigorous; others are mere sketches, and some possible generalizations are left unmentioned. This paper will present fully rigorous proofs of the propositions.

Below is a list of axioms and theorems referenced in subsequent proofs:

$$\overline{pr|qr|} = \overline{p|q|}r \tag{J2}$$

$$\overline{pr} |\overline{qr}| = \overline{\overline{p} |\overline{q}|} |r| \tag{J2.1}$$

$$|\overline{a}| = a$$
 (C1)

$$\overline{ab} | b = \overline{a} | b \tag{C2}$$

$$\overline{\overline{a|b|c}} = \overline{ac} \overline{b|c} \tag{C7}$$

$$\overline{a} |\overline{br} |\overline{cr}| = \overline{a} |\overline{b} |\overline{c}| |\overline{a} |\overline{r}|$$
 (C8)

$$\overline{\overline{a|r|}} \, \overline{b|r|} \, \overline{x|r|} \, \overline{y|r|} = \overline{r|ab|} \, \overline{rxy}$$
(C9)

$$\overline{\overline{a|r||x|r|}} = \overline{r|a|rx}$$
 (C9.1)

## 2 General theorems

Spencer-Brown begins the chapter by sketching an inductive generalization of J2. Here is the proof in full.

Theorem (J2\*).

$$\overline{a_1} \overline{a_2} \dots \overline{a_n} r = \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r}$$

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on n. The base case is J2, where n=2. Let the induction hypothesis (J2h) be:

$$\overline{a_1} \overline{a_2} \dots \overline{a_n} r = \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r}$$

The induction step:

$$\overline{a_1} \overline{a_2} \dots \overline{a_n} \overline{a_{n+1}} r$$

$$= \overline{a_1} \overline{a_2} \dots \overline{a_n} \overline{a_{n+1}} r$$
(C1)

$$= \overline{\overline{a_1} \overline{a_2} \dots \overline{a_n}} r \overline{a_{n+1}} r$$
 (J2)

$$= \overline{\overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r}} \overline{a_{n+1} r}$$
(J2h)

$$= \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r} \overline{a_{n+1} r}$$
 (C1)

Alternate proof. A very similar and equally short proof, using the same induction hypothesis as above. The induction step:

 $\overline{a_1} | \overline{a_2} | \dots \overline{a_n} | \overline{a_{n+1}} | r$   $= \overline{a_1} | \overline{a_2} | \dots \overline{a_n} | \overline{a_{n+1}} | r$ (C1)

$$= \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{\overline{a_n} \overline{a_{n+1}}} r$$
 (J2h)

$$= \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{\overline{a_n r} \overline{a_{n+1} r}}$$
 (J2)

$$= \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r} \overline{a_{n+1} r}$$
 (C1)

Before continuing, I prove a useful generalization of corollary J2.1.

Theorem (J2.1\*).

$$\overline{a_1r}$$
  $\overline{a_2r}$  ...  $\overline{a_nr}$  =  $\overline{a_1}$   $\overline{a_2}$  ...  $\overline{a_n}$   $r$ 

Proof.

 $\overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r} \\
= \overline{a_1 r} \overline{a_2 r} \dots \overline{a_n r} \qquad (C1)$ 

$$= \overline{\overline{a_1} \overline{a_2} \dots \overline{a_n}} r$$
 (J2\*)

Spencer-Brown states the generalizations of C8 and C9 but omits the proofs, merely noting that they are similar to  $J2^*$ .

Theorem (C8\*).

$$\overline{a} \, \overline{b_1 r} \, \overline{b_2 r} \, \dots \, \overline{b_n r} \big| \, = \overline{a} \, \overline{b_1} \, \overline{b_2} \, \dots \, \overline{b_n} \big| \, \overline{a} \, \overline{r} \big|$$

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on n. The base case is C8, where n=2. Let the induction hypothesis (C8h) be:

$$\overline{a} \overline{b_1 r} \overline{b_2 r} \dots \overline{b_n r} = \overline{a} \overline{b_1} \overline{b_2} \dots \overline{b_n} \overline{a} \overline{r}$$

The induction step:

$$\overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{b_2 r} | \dots \overline{b_n r} | \overline{b_{n+1} r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{b_2 r} | \dots \overline{b_n r} | \overline{b_{n+1} r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{b_2 r} | \dots \overline{b_n r} | \overline{b_{n+1} r} | \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | r | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{r} | \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | r | \\
= \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{r} | \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{a} | \\
= \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{r} | \overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{a} | \\
= \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{r} | \overline{a} | \\
= \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{r} | \overline{a} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \overline{a} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{b_{n+1}} | \overline{a} | \overline{a}$$

J2.1\* allows for a quicker direct proof.

Alternate proof.

$$\overline{a} | \overline{b_1 r} | \overline{b_2 r} | \dots \overline{b_n r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | r | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \\
= \overline{a} | \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{a} | \overline{r} | \qquad (C1)$$

3

Theorem (C9\*).

$$\overline{a_1|r|} \overline{a_2|r|} \dots \overline{a_n|r|} \overline{x_1|r|} \overline{x_2|r|} \dots \overline{x_m|r|}$$

$$= \overline{r|a_1a_2 \dots a_n|} \overline{rx_1x_2 \dots x_m|}$$

Proof.

$$\overline{a_1} | \overline{r} | \overline{a_2} | \overline{r} | \dots \overline{a_n} | \overline{r} | \overline{x_1} | \overline{x_2} | \dots \overline{x_m} | r |$$

$$= \overline{a_1} | \overline{a_2} | \dots \overline{a_n} | \overline{r} | \overline{x_1} | \overline{x_2} | \dots \overline{x_m} | r |$$

$$= \overline{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} | \overline{r} | \overline{x_1 x_2 \dots x_m} | r |$$

$$= \overline{r} | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n | \overline{r x_1 x_2 \dots x_m} |$$
(C1  $n+m$  times)
$$= \overline{r} | a_1 a_2 \dots a_n | \overline{r x_1 x_2 \dots x_m} |$$
(C9.1)

Next we prove a generalizion of C2.

Theorem (C2\*).

$$\overline{\overline{a_n b} | \dots | a_2|} a_1 b = \overline{\overline{a_n} | \dots | a_2|} a_1 b$$

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on n. The base case is C2, where n = 1. Let the induction hypothesis be:

$$\overline{\overline{a_n b} | \dots | a_2|} a_1 b = \overline{\overline{a_n} | \dots | a_2|} a_1 b$$

Substitute  $\overline{a_{n+1}b}$   $a_n$  for  $a_n$  . The induction step then follows immediately:

$$\boxed{\boxed{\boxed{a_{n+1}b} \mid a_nb \mid \dots \mid a_2 \mid a_1 \mid b} = \boxed{\boxed{\boxed{a_{n+1}b} \mid a_n \mid \dots \mid a_2 \mid a_1 \mid b}}$$

Spencer-Brown does not mention a generalized C7. Here is one possible version.

**Theorem** (C7\*). Let n be a positive even number. Then for all such n the following pair of equations holds:

$$(i) \ \overline{\overline{a_n} \ \dots \ a_2} \ a_1 \ = \ \overline{a_n} \ a_{n-1} \dots \ a_3 a_1 \ \dots \ \overline{a_4} \ a_3 a_1 \ \overline{a_2} \ a_1 \$$

$$(ii) \ \overline{\overline{a_{n+1} \mid a_n \mid \dots \mid a_2 \mid a_1}} \ = \ \overline{a_{n+1} a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1} \ \overline{\overline{a_n} \mid a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1} \ \dots \ \overline{\overline{a_4} \mid a_3 a_1} \ \overline{\overline{a_2} \mid a_1}$$

*Proof.* Let equation (i) be the induction hypothesis. The base case is the identity  $\overline{a_2} \ a_1 = \overline{a_2} \ a_1$ , where n = 2. Now substitute  $\overline{a_{n+1}} \ a_n$  for  $a_n$ . Then,

$$\overline{a_{n+1}} a_n | \dots | a_2 | a_1 | \\
= \overline{a_{n+1}} a_n | a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1 | \dots \overline{a_4} a_3 a_1 | \overline{a_2} a_1 | \\
= \overline{a_{n+1}} a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1 | \overline{a_n} a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1 | \dots \overline{a_4} a_3 a_1 | \overline{a_2} a_1 |$$
(i)
$$\overline{a_{n+1}} a_n | a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1 | \overline{a_n} a_{n-1} \dots a_3 a_1 | \dots \overline{a_4} a_3 a_1 | \overline{a_2} a_1 |$$
(C7)

proving the implication from (i) to (ii). In equation (ii) substitute  $\overline{a_{n+2}}$   $a_{n+1}$  for  $a_{n+1}$ . Then,

$$\overline{\overline{a_{n+2}} \mid a_{n+1} \mid \dots \mid a_2 \mid a_1} = \overline{a_{n+2}} \mid a_{n+1} \dots \mid a_3 \mid a_1 \mid \dots \mid \overline{a_4} \mid a_3 \mid a_1 \mid \overline{a_2} \mid a_1 \mid a_1 \mid a_2 \mid a_1 \mid a_1 \mid a_2 \mid a_1 \mid a_1 \mid a_2 \mid$$

proving (i) for the succeeding even number. This proves the proposition for all  $n \geq 2$ , and hence for all echelons of depth greater than or equal to 2.

**Theorem** (**T14**). Any expression can be reduced to an equivalent expression not more than two crosses deep. Specifically, any expression E is equivalent to  $\overline{a_1} \ \overline{b_1} \ \overline{a_2} \ b_2 \ \ldots \ \overline{a_n} \ b_n \ \overline{c_1} \ \overline{c_2} \ \ldots \ \overline{c_m} \ d$  where  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d$  are composed (at most) of juxtapositions of variables and the two constants,  $\neg$  and  $\neg$ .

*Proof.* Repeated applications of  $\mathbb{C}7^*$  to any expression demonstrates the theorem. Spencer-Brown uses  $\mathbb{C}7$  (not having proven a generalization), but it comes to the same thing.

The final theorem follows Spencer-Brown closely.

**Theorem** (T15). Given any expression E and any variable v, E can be reduced to an equivalent expression containing not more than two appearances of v.

*Proof.* In the case where v is not in E, the theorem is trivially true, since  $E = \overline{v} v E$  by **J1**. So let us suppose that v appears in E. Using **C7\*** as many times as necessary, we rewrite E:

$$E = \overline{va_1} \ b_1 \ \overline{va_2} \ b_2 \ \dots \ \overline{va_n} \ b_n \ \overline{vc_1} \ \overline{vc_2} \ \dots \ \overline{vc_m} \ d$$

where  $a_i, b_i, c_i$ , and d are expressions free of v. Then, by n applications of C8.1,

$$\begin{split} E &= \overline{v} | b_1 | \overline{a_1} | b_1 | \overline{v} | b_2 | \overline{a_2} | b_2 | \dots \overline{v} | b_n | \overline{a_n} | b_n | \overline{vc_1} | \overline{vc_2} | \dots \overline{vc_m} | d \\ &= \overline{v} | b_1 | \overline{v} | b_2 | \dots \overline{v} | b_n | \overline{vc_1} | \overline{vc_2} | \dots \overline{vc_m} | f \\ &\qquad \qquad \text{(where } f &= \overline{a_1} | b_1 | \overline{a_2} | b_2 | \dots \overline{a_n} | b_n | d \text{ is free of } v.) \\ &= \overline{b_1} | \overline{b_2} | \dots \overline{b_n} | \overline{v} | \overline{c_1} | \overline{c_2} | \dots \overline{c_m} | v | f \end{split} \tag{J2.1* twice)}$$