

$$1 a) i) \quad \rho_\infty(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \max_{i=1, \dots, k} |x_i - y_i| = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for max } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$$

$$\therefore \forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} |x_i - y_i| = 0$$

$$\therefore \forall i \quad x_i = y_i$$

$$\therefore x = y$$

$$ii) \quad |x_i - y_i| = |y_i - x_i| \quad \forall i$$

$$\therefore \max_i |x_i - y_i| = \max_i |y_i - x_i|$$

$$\therefore \rho_\infty(x, y) = \rho_\infty(y, x)$$

$$iii) \quad \forall i \quad |x_i - y_i| \leq |x_i - z_i| + |z_i - y_i|$$

$$\therefore \max_i \{ |x_i - y_i| \leq |x_i - z_i| + |z_i - y_i| \}$$

$$\max_i \{ |x_i - y_i| \} \leq \max_i \{ |x_i - z_i| \} + \max_i \{ |z_i - y_i| \}$$

$$\therefore \rho_\infty(x, y) \leq \rho_\infty(x, z) + \rho_\infty(z, y) \quad \square$$

$$b) \Leftrightarrow \text{easy as each } x_i^{(n)} \text{ conv to } L_i$$

$$\therefore \text{let } \epsilon > 0$$

$$\exists x_{ni}^{(n)} \text{ s.t. } |L_i - x_{ni}^{(n)}| < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore \rho_\infty(\max(L_i), x^{(n)}) < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{assume that } \{x^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges to } L$$

$$\text{Then let } \epsilon > 0.$$

$$\exists x^{(m)} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\rho_\infty(x^{(m)}, L) < \epsilon$$

$$\text{i.e. } \max_i |x_i^{(m)} - L_i| < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore \text{each } \{x_i^{(n)}\} \text{ converges to } L_i \quad \square$$

2) a)  $B'(x, r) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) \leq r\}.$

$X \setminus B'(x, r)$  needs to be open

let  $z \in X \setminus B'(x, r)$

$\therefore p(x, z) > r$

$\therefore p(x, z) - r > 0$

set  $\epsilon = p(x, z) - r.$

consider  $B(z, \epsilon)$

then  $w \in B(z, \epsilon) \Rightarrow p(w, z) < \epsilon$

$\therefore p(w, z) < p(x, z) - r$

$\therefore p(x, z) > r + p(w, z)$

$p(w, x) = p(w, z) + p(z, x)$

$\therefore p(w, x) > r + 2p(w, z) \quad \square$

$\therefore w \notin B'(x, r) \quad \square$

$|x| > r.$

b) Ex: let  $X$  be a set with  $p$  discrete metric.

consider  $B(x, 1) = \{x\}$ . The closure of this set is  $\{x\}$  since singletons are closed. Now  $B'(x, 1) = X$ .

Q3]  $p(x, y) \leq \max\{p(x, y), p(y, z)\} \quad \forall x, y, z.$

consider the open ball  $B(x, r) := \{y \in X : p(x, y) < r\}$

we need to show its complement is open.

let  $z \in X \setminus B(x, r)$  s.t.  $p(x, z) \geq r$

claim: the ball  $B(z, r)$  is disjoint from  $B(x, r)$

let  $y \in B(z, r) \cap B(x, r)$

$d(x, z) \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\} < r.$

But this contradicts  $z \in X \setminus B(x, r) \therefore$  no such  $y$  exists.

$\therefore B(x, r)$  is closed.

Now consider the closed ball  $B'(x, r) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) \leq r\}.$

let  $y \in B'(x, r)$ . Claim:  $B(y, r) \subseteq B'(x, r)$ . Then we are done as

$B'(x, r)$  is open

let  $z \in B(y, r) \therefore d(y, z) < r$   
 also  $d(x, y) \leq r$   
 $\therefore d(x, z) \leq \max\{d(y, z), d(x, y)\} \leq r$   
 $\therefore z \in B(x, r) \blacksquare$

$\therefore \overline{B(x, r)} = B(x, r)$   
 so  $\partial B(x, r) = \emptyset$ .

eg) let  $X = \{\text{set of binary sequences}\}$   
 $p(\sigma, \sigma') := 2^{-(\text{first index where they differ})}$

also  $p(\sigma, \sigma) = 0$

$\therefore$  check that (M1) holds and (M2) holds directly by defn.

for (M3) assume  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_z$  differ for the first time at  $k$ .

Then if either  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y$  or  $\sigma_z, \sigma_y$  must differ for the first time before or at  $k$ .

In other words:  $p(\sigma_x, \sigma_z) \leq p(\sigma_x, \sigma_y) + p(\sigma_y, \sigma_z)$  so (M3) holds.

Next, we prove it is an ultrametric.

assume  $x, y$  differ at  $k$  for the first time.

$\therefore$  at  $k$ , either  $x$  differs from  $z$

or  $y$  differs from  $z$

$\therefore \inf\{l: \sigma_x(l) \neq \sigma_z(l)\} \leq k$

or  $\inf\{l: \sigma_y(l) \neq \sigma_z(l)\} \leq k$

$\therefore p(\sigma_x, \sigma_y) \leq p(\sigma_x, \sigma_z)$

or  $p(\sigma_y, \sigma_z) \leq p(\sigma_x, \sigma_z)$

$\therefore p(\sigma_x, \sigma_y) \leq \max\{p(\sigma_x, \sigma_z), p(\sigma_y, \sigma_z)\} \blacksquare$

Q4] let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  be Cauchy s.t. they get arbitrarily close after a point.

consider the sequence  $\{p(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall m, n > N$

(exists since Cauchy)

$p(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon/2$

$p(y_m, y_n) < \epsilon/2$

then  $|p(x_m, y_m) - p(x_n, y_n)| \leq |p(x_m, x_n)| + |p(y_m, y_n)| < \epsilon$

Q5) a)  $E^\circ = \bigcup$  open sets  $\therefore$  it is open

b) if  $E^\circ$  is open,  $E \subset E^\circ$

and each  $E^\circ \subset E$  always

$$\therefore E = E^\circ$$

if  $E = E^\circ$  then  $E$  is open from a)

c) If  $G \subset E$ ,  $G$  open

then  $G \in \{ \text{open sets in } E \}$

$$\therefore G \subset \bigcup \text{open sets in } E = E^\circ$$

d) We need to prove that  $X \setminus E^\circ = \bigcap_{\substack{E^\circ \subset C, \\ C \text{ closed}}} C$

$$x \in X \setminus E^\circ$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{D \subset E} D$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall_{D \subset E} x \notin D$$

$$\therefore \forall x \in D^c$$

$$\therefore \forall x \in C$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{E^\circ \subset C} C$$

$x \in$  open set in  $E$   
 $\Leftrightarrow x \in$  closed set containing  $E^\circ$

e) No. Consider  $E = (0,1) \cup (1,2) \subset \mathbb{R}$  with metric topology.

$$\text{int}(E) = E$$

$$\bar{E} = [0,1] \cup [1,2] = [0,2]$$

$$\text{and } \text{int}(\bar{E}) = (0,2)$$

$\therefore$  they are not equal

f) No. Consider

$$E = \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R} \quad \text{int}(\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset, \quad \bar{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N}$$

$$\therefore \text{int}(\bar{\mathbb{N}}) = \emptyset$$

Q6) the set of rationals is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$

ie let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$

then  $\exists q \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.  $|x - q| < \epsilon$

consider the set of points with rational co-ords  $(q_1, \dots, q_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$

which are countable. this set is also dense since

let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , for each  $x_i$ , can find  $q_i$  s.t.  $|q_i - x_i| < \epsilon/n$

$\therefore p(q, x) < \epsilon$ .

$\therefore \mathbb{R}^k$  is separable.

Q7) let  $O$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , define relation  $x \sim y$  on  $O$  s.t.

$x \sim y$  iff  $x \leq y \wedge [x, y] \subseteq O$

OR  $y \leq x \wedge [y, x] \subseteq O$

which can be checked is an eq. relation

Claim: each  $[x]$  is open. Suppose  $y \in [x]$  and  $x < y$ .<sup>(wlog)</sup>

then  $y \in (u, v) \subseteq O$  for some  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$

pick  $w \in (y, v)$

then  $[x, w] = [x, y] \cup [y, w] \subseteq O$  so  $y \in (x, w) \subseteq [x]$ .

$\therefore \{[x] : x \in O\}$  partitions  $O$  into disjoint open sets. There are countably many such as each must contain a rational number (by density) and rational numbers are countable. ■