

# Math 245A - Real Analysis: Homework #1

Due on October 6, 2023

*Professor Mario Bonk*

**Nakul Khambhati**

## Problem 1

We proceed by verifying the 3 conditions for  $\mathcal{A}$  to be an algebra:

1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . This is sufficient to show  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  once we show it is closed under taking complement.
2. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  so we can write  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$  where  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then by condition (iii) for each  $i \in [m]$  there exists  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and pairwise disjoint  $A_{i1}, \dots, A_{in_i} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A_i^c = A_{i1} \cup \dots \cup A_{in_i}$ . So,  $A^c = A_1^c \cap \dots \cap A_m^c = \bigcup_{j_1 \in [n_1], \dots, j_m \in [n_m]} (A_{1j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{mj_m}) \in \mathcal{A}$  since we are taking a disjoint union of elements in  $\mathcal{F}$  and the family is closed under finite intersection.
3. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . It suffices to show that  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$  as then  $A \cup B = (A^c \cap B^c)^c$  and we have already checked that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under taking complement. By assumption, we can write  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$  where  $A_i, B_j \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $A \cap B = \bigcup_{i,j=1}^n (A_i \cap B_j) \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under intersections so we have expressed  $A \cap B$  as a finite disjoint union of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## Problem 2

(a) First we verify the conditions for  $\mathcal{A}$  to be an algebra on  $X$ .

1. By considering  $I = \emptyset$  and  $I = [n]$  we see that  $\emptyset = \bigcup_{i \in \emptyset} M_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $X = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} M_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .
2. Let  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  so we can write  $M = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$  for some  $I \subset [n]$ . Since the  $\{M_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  form a partition of  $X$ , we can write  $M^c = (\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i)^c = \bigcup_{i \in I^c} M_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .
3. Let  $M_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I_1} M_i, M_2 = \bigcup_{i \in I_2} M_i$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then,  $M_1 \cup M_2 = \bigcup_{i \in I_1 \cup I_2} M_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are in bijection with subsets of  $[n]$  so there are  $2^n$  elements in the algebra. Yes, every finite algebra is a  $\sigma$ -algebra since countable unions and finite unions are the same.

(b) *Need to explicitly construct this set somehow by partitioning based on disjoint parts. Just need to formalize this construction.*

## Problem 3

## Problem 4

## Problem 5

- (a) We are given that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra on  $X$ . First assume that it is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $A_n \nearrow$ . We know that a  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under countable unions so  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Conversely assume that the property holds and we need to show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under countable unions. Let  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary collection of elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define  $A_n = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} B_i$  so it is the union of the first  $n$  elements in the collection. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra, each  $B_i \in \mathcal{A}$  by closure under finite unions. Clearly  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  so  $A_n \nearrow$ . But also note that for all  $n$  we have  $\bigcup_{i \in [n]} A_i = A_n = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} B_i$  so in particular  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  by the property we assumed and so we are done.
- (b) We need to show that  $\mu$  has countable additivity assuming it has finite additivity and the property stated. Let  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of pairwise disjoint sets. We define  $A_n = \bigcup_{i \in [n]} B_i$  as above so that  $A_n \nearrow$ . Then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_N)$ . But from finite additivity, we can write  $\mu(A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i)$  so the term above simplifies to  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n)$ .

## Problem 6

- (a) Note that  $x \in A$  if and only if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $m \geq n$  such that  $x \in A_m$ . This is because if  $x \in A$  i.e. it is in infinitely many  $A_m$  then it is also in infinitely many  $A_m$  if we exclude a finite number of sets (say the first  $n$  sets). On the other hand, if it is not in infinitely many  $A_n$  there is an  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $x \notin A_n$  and so the right hand side becomes false. Finally, we can write  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists m \geq n : x \in A_m \iff x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$ .  $A$  is a countable intersection of a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  and is therefore in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (b) Let  $B_n$  denote  $\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$ . Then  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  and  $B_n \searrow$ . Also  $\mu(B_1) = \mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty$  so we can apply continuity from above to get  $\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n)$ . Then, by countable subadditivity,  $\mu(B_n) \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mu(A_m)$  which goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  because  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) < \infty$ .

## Problem 7

- (a) Pick  $A_1$  to be a set such that there are infinitely many sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  that intersect with  $A_1^c$ . Such an  $A_1$  always exists because  $\mathcal{A}$  has infinite elements. Next, choose  $A_2$  from  $\{A_1^c \cap B : B \in \mathcal{A}\}$  such that there are infinitely many sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  that intersect with both  $A_1^c$  and  $A_2^c$ . This way,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are disjoint. We can repeat this process indefinitely. Formally, assume we have picked  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  that are disjoint and there are infinite sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  that intersect with all  $A_i^c$ . Then, once again, we can pick  $A_n$  disjoint from the others such that infinitely many sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  intersect it. This gives us an infinite series of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (b) Call this disjoint family  $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Now consider the collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of all sets that can be obtained by taking (disjoint) unions of sets in this family. Each element in  $\mathcal{G}$  is also in  $\mathcal{A}$  since it is closed under countable unions. Since the  $A_i$  are disjoint, this collection  $\mathcal{G}$  is in bijection with subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  which we know is uncountable by Cantor's diagonal argument. Since  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , we also get that  $\mathcal{A}$  is uncountable.