

Skyrmion-induced localized state in a two-dimensional superconductor

Sho Nakosai^{1,2}, Sergey S. Pershoguba¹, and Alexander V. Balatsky^{1,3}

¹*Nordita, Center for Quantum Materials, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, and Stockholm University, Roslagstullsbacken 23, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

²*Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan and*

³*Institute for Materials Science, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA*
(Dated: July 15, 2015)

Skyrmions are nice

PACS numbers:

Introduction. General context of skyrmions and topological excitations: memory, manipulation, local creation via SP STM. Extension of skyrmion discussion to the case of hybrid structures: SC and Skyrmion. What are the consequences of bringing topological exchange field into SC. Question we address is the possible local spectroscopic signatures of SC quasiparticles in SC due to skyrmion field. We know from the past discussion that there are impurity bound states in SC near magnetic impurities. We have now the framework to address formation of bound states. Talk about local single impurity limit (YSR) and show the cartoon of the local and extended skyrmion and spectra. There are two effects: local scattering and Zeeman field hence the DOS will be split etc. Draw similarities and differences with single imp. In parallel with skyrmion discovery the local imaging using magnetic probes like MFM and SP-STM allowed one to image the matter at atomic resolution while also resolving spin content of electron carriers in the substrate. Here we prove the existence of the new type of localized excitation on the skyrmion core we call Sc-YSR state (alternative is skyrmion bound state (sbs)). Show the main results upfront in the introduction. Both LDOS and SP-LDOS. Main section:

Skyrmions in Wiesendanger's group [1–7]. . Introduce T matrix and results for analytic solution. Introduce the numerical approach and present the results as a function of position and as a function of energy. Kind of same figs as in Shos talk. Discuss the results and what it means, how big the signal is etc. Unfortunately we do not see any topological state at zero energy and as such these result represent a new kind of magnetic texture induced states that exhibit intragap states.

Skyrmions in ferromagnetic films. [Comment: here we discuss skyrmions in ferromagnets. Define topological numbers and moments of skyrmions fields.] Let the three-dimensional vector $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}) = (S_x, S_y, S_z)$ describe the configuration of the ferromagnetic vector in a two-dimensional ferromagnetic film $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$. The configurations of the field $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$ shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b) are referred to as skyrmions. The skyrmion configuration of the field is characterized by the topological charge

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2r \hat{\mathbf{S}} \cdot (\nabla_x \hat{\mathbf{S}} \times \nabla_y \hat{\mathbf{S}}), \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}}{S}, \quad (1)$$




FIG. 1. (Color online.) Ferrromagnetic film deposited on top of a superconductor. The ferromagnetic vector has skyrmion configuration. (a) Monopole skyrmion. (b) Anapole skyrmion.

which cannot be altered by the continuous transformation of the field. We also characterize the skyrmion fields by the zeroth and first moments

$$S_i^{(0)} = \int d^2r [S_i(\mathbf{r}) - S_i(\infty)], \quad i \in \{x, y, z\}, \quad (2)$$

$$S_{ij}^{(1)} = \int d^2r [S_i(\mathbf{r}) - S_i(\infty)] r_j, \quad j \in \{x, y\}. \quad (3)$$

The zeroth moment $\mathbf{S}^{(0)} = S_e \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ characterizes the effective out-of-plane magnetic moment of the skyrmion and is equal for the two skyrmions shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b). Whereas, the first-order moment $S_{ij}^{(1)}$ characterizes the in-plane pattern of the ferromagnetic vector $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$. Note that for the cylindrically symmetric field $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$, the first order moment defined in Eq. (3) can be expanded in the symmetric and antisymmetric parts

$$S_{ij}^{(1)} = S_m \delta_{ij} + S_a \epsilon_{ijz} \quad (4)$$

The skyrmions shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b) have monopole S_m and anapole S_a moments correspondingly, hence the name of the skyrmions. However the two types of the skyrmions have the same topological charge (1) and thus can be continuously deformed into each other.

Model. [Comment: here we introduce the model of coupling between the FM film and BCS superconductor.]




FIG. 2. (Color online) Spin-polarized local density of states (SP-LDOS) away from the skyrmion (a) and at the core of the skyrmion (b,c). Panel (b) corresponds to the usual T-matrix that takes into account only out-of-plane. Panel (c) corresponds to the T-matrix that takes into account in-plane spin. Comparing (b) and (c) notice that a thin YSR state in (b) becomes a thick resonance in (c). The parameters of plots are $2S = \Delta = 0.25E_F$ and $R = 1/p_F = \xi/8$. Blue and red dashed lines indicate the positions of the shifted spin-up and spin-down bands, respectively. The black dashed line indicates the position of the YSR pole, given by Eq. .

The model is given by the following Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) [\xi(\mathbf{p})\tau_z + \Delta\tau_x - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}] \Psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5)$$

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu, \quad \mathbf{p} = -i(\nabla_x, \nabla_y), \quad (6)$$

which describes the proximity coupling of the ferromagnetic vector $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$ to the itinerant electrons of a two-dimensional (2D) superconductor with the superconducting gap Δ . The Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ act, respectively, in the particle-hole and spin subspaces of the four-component spinor $\Psi = (\psi_\uparrow, \psi_\downarrow, \psi_\uparrow^\dagger, -\psi_\downarrow^\dagger)^T$.

T-matrix analysis Superconduct

Yu-Shiba-Rusinov (YSR) states [8–10], induced by magnetic atoms on the surface of a superconductor [11].

$$T = \frac{V}{1 - vg_{00}} \quad (7)$$

Numerical analysis.

Discussion [Comment: here we discuss importance. Long-range interactions between skyrmions mediated by superconductivity.]

Conclusion

Appendix A: T-matrix analysis

In this section, we give an analytic treatment of the skyrmion-induced bound states using the T-matrix approximation. Starting from the Hamiltonian (5) in the second-quantized form, we write the Bogolyubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{BdG}} &= H(\mathbf{p}) + V(\mathbf{r}), \quad \text{where} \\ H(\mathbf{p}) &= \xi(\mathbf{p})\tau_z + \Delta\tau_x - \mathbf{S}(\infty) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad \mathbf{S}(\infty) = -S\hat{z}, \\ V(\mathbf{r}) &= -[\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{S}(\infty)] \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$




FIG. 3. (Color online) Numerical wavefunction.

The momentum-dependent part $H(\mathbf{p})$ describes a superconductor coupled to a spatially uniform ferromagnetic vector $\mathbf{S}(\infty)$, whereas the position-dependent piece $V(\mathbf{r})$ describes the local perturbation due to the skyrmion. Physically, the superconducting coherence length $\xi \sim 100$ nm is much greater than the radius of the skyrmion $R \sim 10$ nm (plug the real numbers from Wiesendanger papers), i.e. $\xi \gg R$. Therefore, the superconductivity does not “resolve” the fine details of the skyrmionic configuration of the field $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$, but rather “sees” its long-wavelength characteristics such as the moments described by Eqs. (2) and (3). Motivated by this logic, we substitute the original skyrmionic field $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$ by its local version

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{S}(\infty) = [S_e \hat{z} - S_m \nabla] \delta^2(\mathbf{r}). \quad (A2)$$

By plugging Eq. (A2) in the equations for the moments (2) and (3), one can verify the definitions of the effective S_e and monopole S_m moments. The latter simplified field $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$ is especially convenient for the T-matrix calculation, which we now proceed to. We take




FIG. 4. (Color online) Spin-polarized local density of states (SP-LDOS) at the core of the skyrmion. The consequent panels correspond to increasing skyrmion size (a) $R = 1.1p_F^{-1}$, (b) $R = 1.2p_F^{-1}$, (c) $R = 1.3p_F^{-1}$. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

into account (A2) and calculate the Fourier transform of Eq. (A1)

$$V(\mathbf{p}) = -S_e \sigma_z + i S_m \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

using which we write an integral equation for the T-matrix

$$T(\mathbf{p}^1, \mathbf{p}^2) = V(\mathbf{p}^1 - \mathbf{p}^2) + \int d^2 p' V(\mathbf{p}^1 - \mathbf{p}') g(\omega, \mathbf{p}') T(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}^2). \quad (\text{A4})$$

Here, the bare Green's function of the superconductor is defined as

$$g(\omega, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\omega - H(\mathbf{p})} = \frac{1}{\omega - \xi(\mathbf{p})\tau_z - \Delta\tau_x - S\sigma_z}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Since in the case of the superconductivity we are interested in the scatterings close to the Fermi surface, we use $\mathbf{p}^1 = p_F \mathbf{n}^1$ and $\mathbf{p}^2 = p_F \mathbf{n}^2$, where the in-plane unit vectors \mathbf{n}^1 and \mathbf{n}^2 determine the direction of scattering on the Fermi surface. Then, we seek the T-matrix in the following form

$$T(\mathbf{n}^1, \mathbf{n}^2) = A + B_i n_i^1 + C_i n_j^2 + D_{ij} n_i^1 n_j^2, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where A, B_i, C_i and D_{ij} are the matrices in the four-components space $\sigma \otimes \tau$. We substitute ansatz (A6) in the integral Eq. (A4) and find the T-matrix

$$T(\mathbf{n}^1, \mathbf{n}^2) = \frac{-S_e \sigma_z + S_m^2 p_F^2 \bar{g}_0(\omega) + i S_m p_F \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{n}^2 - \mathbf{n}^1) + S_m^2 p_F^2 \bar{g}_0(\omega) (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}^2) (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n}^1)}{1 + S_e \sigma_z g_{00} - S_m^2 p_F^2 \bar{g}_0(\omega) g_0(\omega)}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where the Green's function on-site matrix element in the real space is denoted as

$$g_0(\omega) = \int d^2 p g(\omega, \mathbf{p}) \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$= -\pi \rho \sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \frac{1 + \lambda \sigma_z}{2} \frac{\omega - \lambda S + \Delta \tau_x}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 - (\omega - \lambda S)^2}}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\bar{g}_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=x,y} \sigma_j g_0(\omega) \sigma_j.$$

For brevity, \bar{g}_0 denotes the Green's function obtained from g_{00} by replacing $\sigma_z \rightarrow -\sigma_z$ according to Eq. (A9). The density of states per spin is denoted as $\rho = m/2\pi$.

1. LDOS

So, in the presence of the skyrmion, the Green's function becomes

$$G(\omega, \mathbf{p}^1, \mathbf{p}^2) = g(\omega, \mathbf{p}^1) (2\pi)^2 \delta(\mathbf{p}^1 - \mathbf{p}^2) + g(\omega, \mathbf{p}^1) T(\mathbf{p}^1, \mathbf{p}^2) g(\omega, \mathbf{p}^2), \quad (\text{A10})$$

using which the spin-polarized local density of states (LDOS) can be expressed

$$\rho_s(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\delta} \text{Tr} \left[\frac{1 + \tau_z}{2} \frac{1 + \sigma_s}{2} \int \frac{d^2 p^1 d^2 p^2}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i(\mathbf{p}^1 - \mathbf{p}^2) \cdot \mathbf{r}} G(\omega, \mathbf{p}^1, \mathbf{p}^2) \right] \quad (\text{A11})$$

where $s = x, y, z$ denotes the spin quantization axis. It can be easily evaluated for instance at the skyrmion core,

i.e. at $\mathbf{r} = 0$,

$$\rho_s(\omega, 0) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\delta} \text{Tr} \left\{ \frac{1 + \tau_z}{2} \frac{1 + \sigma_s}{2} \right. \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\left. \left[g_0(\omega) + g_0(\omega) \frac{-S_e \sigma_z + S_m^2 p_F^2 \bar{g}_0(\omega)}{1 + S_e \sigma_z g_{00} - S_m^2 p_F^2 \bar{g}_0(\omega) g_0(\omega)} g_0(\omega) \right] \right\}$$

2. Spatial wave function

-
- [1] S. Heinze, K. von Bergmann, M. Menzel, J. Brede, A. Kubetzka, R. Wiesendanger, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, “Spontaneous atomic-scale magnetic skyrmion lattice in two dimensions,” *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 713 (2011).
 - [2] N. Romming, C. Hanneken, M. Menzel, J. E. Bickel, B. Wolter, K. von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger, “Writing and deleting single magnetic skyrmions,” *Science* **341**, 636 (2013).
 - [3] K. von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, O. Pietzsch, and R. Wiesendanger, “Interface-induced chiral domain walls, spin spirals and skyrmions revealed by spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy,” *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **26**, 394002 (2014).
 - [4] J. Brede, N. Atodiresi, V. Caciuc, M. Bazarnik, A. Al-Zubi, S. Blügel, and R. Wiesendanger, “Long-range magnetic coupling between nanoscale organicmetal hybrids mediated by a nanoskyrmion lattice,” *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 1018 (2014).
 - [5] A. Sonntag, J. Hermenau, S. Krause, and R. Wiesendanger, “Thermal stability of an interface-stabilized skyrmion lattice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 077202 (2014).
 - [6] K. von Bergmann, M. Menzel, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger, “Influence of the local atom configuration on a hexagonal skyrmion lattice,” *Nano Lett.* **15**, 3280 (2015).
 - [7] N. Romming, A. Kubetzka, C. Hanneken, K. von Bergmann, and R. Wiesendanger, “Field-dependent size and shape of single magnetic skyrmions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 177203 (2015).
 - [8] L. Yu, “Bound state in superconductors with paramagnetic impurities,” *Acta Phys. Sin.* **21**, 75 (1965).
 - [9] H. Shiba, “Classical Spins in Superconductors,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **40**, 435 (1968).
 - [10] A. I. Rusinov, *JETP Lett.* **9**, 85 (1969).
 - [11] S. Nakosai, Y. Tanaka, and N. Nagaosa, “Two-dimensional p-wave superconducting states with magnetic moments on a conventional s-wave superconductor,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 180503 (2013).