

CS 140  
Project 1: Threads  
Design Document

Nakul Joshi	Sam Zhai
<i>nakul.joshi@usc.edu</i>	<i>yujia.zhai@usc.edu</i>

Zune Nguyen  
*tridungn@usc.edu*

October 11, 2013

# Contents

<b>A Alarm Clock</b>	<b>2</b>
A.1 Data Structures . . . . .	2
A1 . . . . .	2
A.2 Algorithms . . . . .	2
A2 . . . . .	2
A3 . . . . .	2
A.3 Synchronization . . . . .	3
A4 . . . . .	3
A5 . . . . .	3
A.4 Rationale . . . . .	3
A6 . . . . .	3
<b>B Priority Scheduling</b>	<b>4</b>
B.1 Data Structures . . . . .	4
B1 . . . . .	4
B2 . . . . .	4
B.2 Algorithms . . . . .	4
B3 . . . . .	4
B4 . . . . .	4
B5 . . . . .	5
B.3 Synchronization . . . . .	5
B6 . . . . .	5
B.4 Rationale . . . . .	5
B7 . . . . .	5
<b>C Advanced Scheduler</b>	<b>6</b>
C.1 Data Structures . . . . .	6
C1 . . . . .	6
C.2 Algorithms . . . . .	6
C2 . . . . .	6
C3 . . . . .	6
C4 . . . . .	6
C.3 Rationale . . . . .	6
C5 . . . . .	6
C6 . . . . .	6
<b>D Survey Questions</b>	<b>7</b>

## A Alarm Clock

### A.1 Data Structures

#### A1

- Abstraction of sleeping threads:

```
struct sleeping_thread {  
    struct thread *t;  
    int64_t ticks;  
    struct list_elem elem;  
};
```

Where:

- t is a pointer to the referred thread
- ticks is the number of ticks the thread should sleep for, and
- elem is an element tracker that allows sleeping\_thread objects to be grouped into lists

- List of sleeping threads:

```
static struct list sleeping_threads_list;
```

### A.2 Algorithms

#### A2

After the timer\_sleep() method is called, the current thread is added to sleeping\_threads\_list. The thread is then blocked, which makes it unrunnable. Whenever interrupts occur, we iterate over sleeping\_threads\_list to find threads that are either due or overdue to run. For each of these threads:

1. Interrupts are disabled.
2. The thread is removed from sleeping\_threads\_list.
3. The thread is unblocked (making it ready).
4. Interrupts are reenabled.

#### A3

The duration of the interrupt handler is minimized by disabling interrupts only after a thread to awaken has been found. Thus, the critical section is limited to the two steps of removing the thread from sleeping\_threads\_list and unblocking it.

### **A.3 Synchronization**

#### **A4**

Because `sleeping_threads_list` is protected by disabling interrupts, multiple threads may safely simultaneously call `timer_sleep()`.

#### **A5**

Within the critical sections of `timer_sleep()`, interrupts are disabled. Thus, they cannot interfere with the safe operation of `timer_sleep()`.

### **A.4 Rationale**

#### **A6**

We considered using condition variables to have threads wait on them until they were due to wake up. However, this design was untenable for the following reasons:

- Because different threads sleep for different times, we would have needed multiple condition variables for each duration.
- When condition variables either `signal()` or `broadcast()`, they wake threads from an internal queue. However, we specifically needed only due threads to wake.

## B Priority Scheduling

### B.1 Data Structures

#### B1

- **struct** thread
  - private **int** base\_priority  
The base priority of each thread.
  - private **int** donated\_priority  
The highest priority any thread is donating to each thread.
  - private **int** effective\_priority  
The higher of the two above priorities, recalculated every time one of those is changed.
- **struct** semaphore
  - **struct** list waiters  
List of threads waiting on the semaphore.

#### B2

Priority donations are tracked using the `donated_priority` field of the **struct** `thread`. Because the field is calculated to be up-to-date every time locks are released, it is ensured that `donated_priority` represents the highest donated priority to the field.

### B.2 Algorithms

#### B3

In the `lock_sema_up()` function (which is called as a part of the `lock_release()` function), we recalculate the `effective_priority` of all threads waiting on that lock. Then, the current thread is forced to yield, calling the scheduler, whose `next_thread_to_run()` method ensures that only the highest priority thread on the `ready_list` is selected to run.

#### B4

Every thread is initialised with a certain `base_priority` and a `donated_priority` of zero. Any time either of these values is changed, the `effective_priority` is recalculated to be the higher of the `base_priority` and `donated_priority` values. When a thread `a` attempts to acquire a lock held by thread `b`, `a` donates its effective priority to `b` (`b->donated_priority = a->effective_priority`), which then recursively donates the priority to any threads that might be holding locks that `b` is waiting on. Because threads donate their `effective_priority` rather than their `base_priority`, nested donation is automatically tracked.

## **B5**

Whenever locks are released, the `donated_priority` and `effective_priority` of all affected threads are recalculated. This allows for arbitrary levels of nesting.

## **B.3 Synchronization**

### **B6**

A potential race hazard arises if, after determining to assign `base_priority` to `effective_priority`, another thread donates a higher value to `donated_priority`. This would cause a miscalculation of the `effective_priority`. This is avoided by disabling interrupts during the `set_priority()` operation.

We cannot use a lock to solve this issue as it would involve donating priorities, which could possibly cause deadlocks.

## **B.4 Rationale**

### **B7**

We chose this design due to the simplicity of implementing it. We had also considered using a stack to track nested priority donations; however, this would have placed arbitrary limits on levels of donation nesting. Further, it would complicate handling the case in which a donor thread's base priority was changed while it was waiting for a lock.

## C Advanced Scheduler

### C.1 Data Structures

C1

### C.2 Algorithms

C2

C3

C4

### C.3 Rationale

C5

C6

We chose to implement fixed point arithmetic using an abstraction layer in the `src/lib/fixedpoint.h` file. The resultant `real` type was a **typedef** of an **unsigned int**, which allowed us to easily perform arithmetic operations on the sign, whole, and fractional bits of the number without having to deal with the intricacies of 2's complement arithmetic.

This encapsulation of functionality allowed us to write the implementation code in one place, where we could thoroughly test it easily. Afterwards, the abstraction allowed us to use the `real` type without worrying about its implementation.

## D Survey Questions

TODO