Nakul Joshi

Schroeder

WRIT 340

25 September 2013

Title Goes Here

Humanity's demand for energy has grown at a dramatic rate since the industrial revolution. A majority of this energy is obtained from hydrocarbons, which have become very difficult and expensive to obtain as oil companies look further and deeper for sources to replace the ones that have been depleted. In recent years, the controversial process of induced hydraulic fracturing (commonly called "fracking") has emerged as a means of exploiting the previously inaccessible supplies of natural gas embedded in subterranian shale reservoirs. Proponents of the technique have pointed to the possibilities of largely reducing the reliance on imports of natural gas, while also stimulating local economies. However, these transient benefits are not worth the accompanying ecological risks, and continued use of the technology runs counter to the long-term goal of reducing dependance on non-polluting and sustainable energy sources.

Fracking technology developed in the 1940s as a response to the former economic infeasibility of exploiting the abundant domestic reserves of shale gas. The process begins by creating a solution of water, sand, and various chemicals; the resultant fracking fluid is then injected down wellbores at high pressure. This creates millimetre-wide fissures along shale beds deep underground, allowing the embedded natural gas to migrate into the well, highly enhancing gas production. According to the National Petroleum Council, fracking

has the potential to provide for 70% ("Realizing the Potential of North America's Abundant Natural Gas and Oil Resources") of the natural gas consumption in the North American continent, and banning it would cut production by half in around five years.

However, the petrochemical engineers who develop fracking techniques have a responsibility to look beyond the profit margins of their employers, and ensure that their designs pose minimal harm in the big picture, and in the long run. As the National Society of Professional Engineers (NSPE) Code of Ethics for Engineers states, "Engineering has a direct and vital impact on the quality of life for all people. Accordingly, the services provided by engineers [...] must be dedicated to the protection of the public health, safety, and welfare" ("NSPE Code of Ethics for Engineers"). Ethically, then, engineers are obligated to stop the trend of pursuing ever riskier means of depleting the Earth's limited resources, but they have failed in this regard.

The primary concern is contamination of freshwater supplies—besides being a heavily water-intensive process, fracking also places local supplies of water at risk. Oil lobbies have won exemptions from the Clean Water act and the Safe Drinking Water Act (Howarth, Ingraffea, and Engelder 272), buying themselves opacity about the safety of the process. While the precise composition of the fracking fluids used is kept proprietary and thus hidden from public scrutiny, it is known that they contain heavy metals, organometallic compounds, and even trace amounts of radium, all of which are highly toxic in nature. Oil companies maintain that this fluid can be safely contained within the wells: a British Petroleum issue brief proudly claims that

[Underground water sources cannot be contaminated] if the well has been prop-

erly engineered and constructed. BP wells and facilities are designed, constructed, operated and decommissioned to mitigate the risk that natural gas and hydraulic fracturing fluids enter underground aquifers, including drinking water sources. ("Unconventional Gas and Hydraulic Fracturing" 4)

However, several cases have been reported (Howarth, Ingraffea, and Engelder 272) of the fluid leaching into local water bodies. This contamination is a direct threat to residents, with potential to cause respiratory illnesses, birth defects and neurological damage. The stability of local ecosystems is also undermined by such contamination.

Even out of the proximity of drinking water, fracking carries the risk of causing dangerous seismological activity. Because the fluid is pumped in at such a high rate and at such high pressure, it has the potential to compromise the structural integrity of the rock bed. Combined with any existing fault lines in the area, this could potentially lead to earthquakes and landslides (Ellsworth). In Ohio, fracking has been correlated with increased seismic activity, causing over a hundred earthquakes. Even minor quakes could compromise the fluid containment systems, excaberating the risk to local water supplies. Worst of all, these quakes may be triggered long after the industrial activity itself has ceased, making it extremely difficult to determine a fracking site's future impact on an area.

Obscuring these concerns is a veil of legislative loopholes and industry-funded research. In 2005, the so-called "Halliburton Loophole" was passed, denying the Environmental Protection Agency the power to regulate the fracking process. The loophole was part of the Energy Bill passed by then-Vice President Dick Cheney, who happened to have been a former executive at Halliburton, the oil company that invented the fracking pro-

cess. Such conflicts of interest are abundant—soon after a UT Austin study led by geologist Charles Groat widely publicised its finding that fracking had not contaminated groundwater, it surfaced that Groat had failed to disclose his position on the board of a company that ran fracking operations (Stokstad). By rooting themselves into the legislature as well as academia, two spheres responsible for protecting the public interest, oil companies have shown a ruthlessness in pursuing their own.

Works Cited

- Ellsworth, William L. "Injection-induced Earthquakes." Science 341.6142 (2013). Print.
- Howarth, Robert W, Anthony Ingraffea, and Terry Engelder. "Natural gas: Should fracking stop?" Nature 477.7364 (2011): 271–275. Print.
- "NSPE Code of Ethics for Engineers." (July 2007). Web.
- "Realizing the Potential of North America's Abundant Natural Gas and Oil Resources." (Sept. 15, 2011). Web.
- Stokstad, Erik. "Fracking Report Criticized for Apparent Conflict of Interest." Science (July 24, 2013). Print.
- "Unconventional Gas and Hydraulic Fracturing." (2012). Web.