Assignmrnt 3

Nourah

filter, lag

```
3/1/2021
```

##

##

##

library(class) library(caret)

library(FNN)

library(e1071)

set.seed(123)

pivot df

##

1

2

3

4

dropped

cast1[,c(1:2,14)]

##

1 ## 2

3

4

line = 1)1.

P2

##

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

##

##

##

##

##

##

F2

F2

F3

##

F4

F5

##

24)]

(E).

NB1

##

##

##

##

##

##

##

##

##

Y

Y

Y

Call:

0.9093324

1, Online = 1).

NBP= 0.081

rate estimate?

NB < -tdf[,c(10,13,14)]

A-priori probabilities:

0.90933245 0.09066755

Online

CreditCard

Conditional probabilities:

0 0.3991965 0.6008035

1 0.3846154 0.6153846

0 0.7030679 0.2969321

1 0.6996337 0.3003663

In q E, NBP= 0.081 and we got 0.915

NB1 <- naiveBayes(Personal.Loan~.,data=NB)</pre>

Naive Bayes Classifier for Discrete Predictors

naiveBayes.default(x = X, y = Y, laplace = laplace)

1

0.096

tdf <- df[df1==1,] vdf <- df[df1==2,]

thods melt() and pivot().

pivot df <- as.data.frame(Pivot)</pre>

0

1

0

1

thods melt() and pivot().

library(reshape2)

##

##

##

###reading the file df <-read.csv(file="~/Desktop/MSBA-spring 2021/ML/ML3/xid-170057171_1.csv")</pre>

```
###loading packages
library(dplyr)
```

Attaching package: 'dplyr'

- ## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats': ##

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

The following objects are masked from 'package:class':

df1 <- sample(2,nrow(df), replace=TRUE, prob=c(0.6,0.4))</pre>

colnames(pivot df) <- c("PersonalLoan", "CreditCard", "Online")</pre>

3193

143

melt1<- melt(tdf,id=c("CreditCard","Personal.Loan"),variable="Online")</pre>

Warning: attributes are not identical across measure variables; they will be

0 337

1 1327

1

Creating pivot table by the function table()

cast1<-dcast(melt1,CreditCard+Personal.Loan~Online)</pre>

Aggregation function missing: defaulting to length

1

0

###creating pivot table using melt() and cast() functions

191

813

82

776

122

317

36

46

ction of Online (columns) and the other will have Loan (rows) as a function of CC.

###D. Compute the following quantities $[P(A \mid B)]$ means "the probability of A given B"]:=

###i. $P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 1)$ (the proportion of credit card holders among the loan acceptors)

###E. Use the quantities computed above to compute the naive Bayes probability P(Loan = 1 | CC =

NBP=(0.3003663*0.6153846*0.096)/[(0.3003663*0.3003663*0.6153846)+(0.2969321*0.6008035*0.90933

###F. Compare this value with the one obtained from the pivot table in (B). Which is a more accu

The value from the pivot table = 0.3003663 and the value from the NBP = 0.081. In my opinion

###G. Which of the entries in this table are needed for computing $P(Loan = 1 \mid CC = 1, Online = 1)$ 1)? Run naive Bayes on the data. Examine the model output on training data, and find the entry t hat corresponds to $P(\text{Loan} = 1 \mid CC = 1, Online = 1)$. Compare this to the number you obtained in

the value from the pivot table is more accurate because naive Bayes is based on assumptions.

###C. Create two separate pivot tables for the training data. One will have Loan (rows) as a fun

1 496

0 1149

69

CreditCard Personal.Loan Online

1

P1 = table(tdf[,c(10,13,14)])

Personal.Loan Online CreditCard Freq

1

1

1

1

1

0

Online

0 1093 1645

1 105 168

CreditCard

0 1925 813

F2<-Prop_1[2,2]/(Prop_1[2,2]+Prop_1[1,2])

F2<-Prop_2[2,2]/(Prop_2[2,2]+Prop_2[1,2])

###iii. P(Loan = 1) (the proportion of loan acceptors)

82

1 191

Prop 1 < -table(tdf[,c(14,10)])

###ii. P(Online = 1 | Loan = 1) Prop 2 < -table(tdf[,c(13,10)])

F3<-Prop_3[2]/(Prop_3[2]+Prop_3[1])

P2<- as.data.frame(P1)

table(tdf[,c(10,13)])

Personal.Loan 0

table(tdf[,c(10,14)])

Personal.Loan

[1] 0.3003663

[1] 0.6153846

1

[1] 0.2969321

[1] 0.6008035

###vi. P(Loan = 0)

Prop_6<-table(tdf[,10])</pre>

0

F6<-Prop_6[1]/(Prop_6[1]+Prop_6[2])

NBP = (S1 * S2 * S3) / [(S1 * S2 * S3)) + (S4 * S5 * S6)]

Prop_3<-table(df[,10])</pre>

###iv. P(CC=1 | Loan=0)

 $Prop_4 < -table(tdf[,c(14,10)])$

###v. P(Online = 1 | Loan = 0)Prop 5<-table(tdf[,c(13,10)])</pre>

F4<-Prop 4[2,1]/(Prop 4[2,1]+Prop 4[1,1])

F5<-Prop_5[2,1]/(Prop_5[2,1]+Prop_5[1,1])

First I would like to change numerical data to categorical data

Second I will partition the data into training (60%) and validation (40%) sets.

1-Create a pivot table for the training data with Online as a column variable, CC as a row v ariable, and Loan as a secondary row variable. The values inside the table should convey the cou nt. In R use functions melt() and cast(), or function table(). In Python, use panda dataframe me

1-Create a pivot table for the training data with Online as a column variable, CC as a row v ariable, and Loan as a secondary row variable. The values inside the table should convey the cou nt. In R use functions melt() and cast(), or function table(). In Python, use panda dataframe me

###B. Consider the task of classifying a customer who owns a bank credit card and is actively us ing online banking services. Looking at the pivot table, what is the probability that this custo mer will accept the loan offer? [This is the probability of loan acceptance (Loan = 1) condition al on having a bank credit card (CC = 1) and being an active user of online banking services (On

intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

Loading required package: lattice

Loading required package: ggplot2

df\$Personal.Loan<-factor(df\$Personal.Loan)</pre>

Pivot <- table(df\$Personal,df\$CreditCard)</pre>

PersonalLoan CreditCard Online

df\$CreditCard<-factor(df\$CreditCard)</pre>

Attaching package: 'FNN'

knn, knn.cv

df\$Online<-factor(df\$Online)</pre>