

(https://profile.intra.42.fr)

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defences during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

SCALE FOR PROJECT WOLF3D (/PROJECTS/WOLF3D)

You should evaluate 2 students in this team



Git repository

`git@vogosphere.msk.21-school.ru:vogosphere/intra-uuid-d952c688-`



Introduction

Please respect the following rules:





- Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the correction process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the person (or the group) graded the eventual dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified.
- You must consider that there might be some difference in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

Guidelines

- Only grade the work that is in the student or group's GiT repository.
- Double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that the work is for the relevant project and also check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.

- Check carefully that no malicious aliases was used to fool you and make you evaluate something other than the content of the official repository.
- To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the correcting and the corrected students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading.
- If the correcting student has not completed that particular project yet, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence.
- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In these cases, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However, with the exception of cheating, you are encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not finished it) in order to identify any issues that may have caused this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future.
- The whole group has to be present.
- Please check carefully that the code has been well developed and reflected by the group. Any resemblance with code present on Internet will be considered as cheating and will result in a -42 as well as the immediate stop of the defense (Yes, we think of a particular site).

Attachments

-  Subject (<https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/4655/wolf3d.en.pdf>)
-  Subject (<https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/6247/wolf3d.fr.pdf>)
-  Play with me ! (/uploads/document/document/588/demo.tgz)
-  Play with me too ! (Sierra binary) (/uploads/document/document/589/paris_E3.tgz)

Preliminaries

Reminder : Remember that for the duration of the defence, no segfault, nor other unexpected, premature, uncontrolled or unexpected termination of the program, else the final grade is 0. Use the appropriate flag. This rule is active throughout the whole defence.

Author file

Check that the author file is at the root of the repository and formatted as explained in the subject. If not defence is over and the final grade will be 0.

✓ Yes

✗ No

Display's technical component

We're going to evaluate display's technical elements. Run the program and execute the 3 following tests. If at least one fails, this means that no points will be awarded for this section. Move to the next one.

- A windows must open at the launch of the program. It must stay open during the whole execution.
- An image representing the inside of a maze must be displayed inside the window.
- Hide all or part of the window either by using another window or by using the screen's borders, then minimize the windows and maximize it back. In all cases the content of the window must remain consistent.

✓ Yes

✗ No

User events

In this section we're going to evaluate the program's user generated events. Execute the 3 following tests. If at least one fails, this means that no points will be awarded for this section. Move to the next one.

- Click the red cross at the top left of the window. The window must close and the program must exit cleanly.
- Press the ESC key. The window must close and the program must exit cleanly. In the case of this test, we will accept that another key exits the program, for example Q.
- Press the four arrow keys (we'll accept WASD or ZQSD keys) in the order of your liking. Each keypress must render a visible result on the window, such as a player's movement. If the movement isn't showing, we'll accept a basic display of the keypress in the terminal showing that the keypress event is managed.

✓ Yes

✗ No

Movements

In this section we'll evaluate player's movement inside the maze implementation. Execute the 3 following tests. If at least one fails, this means that no points will be awarded for this section. Move to the next one.

- Press the left arrow (or A or Q) then the right arrow (or D). The player's view must rotate to the left then to the right as if the player's head was moving.
- Press the up arrow (or W or Z) then the down arrow (or S). The player's view must go forward and then backward in a straight line.
- During those four movements, was the display smooth? By smooth we mean is the game "playable" or is it slow.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Walls

- The walls texture vary depending on which compass point the wall is facing (north, south, east, west). Check that the textures on the walls and perspective are clearly visible and correct.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Error management

In this section, we'll evaluate the the program's error management and reliability. Execute the 3 following tests. If at least one fails, this means that no points will be awarded for this section. Move to the next one.

- Run the program using numerous arguments and random values. Even if the program doesn't require any arguments, it is critical that those arguments don't alternate or create unhandled errors.
- Check that there is no memory leaks. You can use the "top" command in another shell to monitor that the memory use is stable. The memory used must not increase each time an action is made.
- Roll either your arm or your face on the keyboard. The program must not show any strange behaviors and it must stay functional.

- Modify the map. The program must not show any strange behaviors and it must stay functional.

✓ Yes

✗ No

Bonus

Reminder : Remember that for the duration of the defence, no segfault, nor other unexpected, premature, uncontrolled or unexpected termination of the program, else the final grade is 0. Use the appropriate flag. This rule is active throughout the whole defence. We will look at your bonuses if and only if your mandatory part is EXCELLENT. This means that you must complete the mandatory part, beginning to end, and your error management must be flawless, even in cases of twisted or bad usage. So if the mandatory part didn't score all the point during this defence bonuses will be totally IGNORED.

When i'll be older i'll be John Carmack

To deserve being considered as such, a bonus must be:

- Useful (you remain the judge), no need to exaggerate.
- Well done.
- Operational, it cannot generate errors.

Possible examples (1 point per bonus):

- It's not possible to go through the walls (clipping).
- A texture for the ground.
- A texture for the ceiling.
- Objects.
- Doors and keys.
- Monsters.
- A maze made of un-squared walls.
- A skybox.
- etc...

Full marks will be awarded here when implementing either a graphic engine similar to Doom (Walls with random angles and levels on several floors) or an engine similar to Duke Nukem 3D (movements and 3 dimensions free sight). We also recommend you to apply at Id Software and contact Olivier Crouzet (ol@42.fr) to show him your work.

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

5

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

✓ Ok

★ Outstanding project

■ Empty work

■ Incomplete work

💬 No author file

💻 Invalid compilation

📄 Norme

📄 Cheat

💻 Crash

💧 Leaks

🚫 Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

great job guys^^ good
luck!

Finish evaluation

Privacy policy (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/5>)

Legal notices (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/3>)

Declaration on the use of cookies (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/2>)

Rules of procedure (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/4>)

Terms of use for video surveillance (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/1>)

General term of use of the site (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/6>)