$\equiv$  Q (https://profile.intra.42.fr/searches)

aeclipso

(https://profile.intra.42.fr)

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defences during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

# SCALE FOR PROJECT SNOW-CRASH (/PROJECTS/42CURSUS-SNOW-CRASH)

You should evaluate 2 students in this team



Git repository

git@vogsphere.msk.21-school.ru:vogsphere/intra-uuid-ca42489



## **Introduction**

For the smooth running of this evaluation, please respect the following rules:

- Remain polite, kind, respectful and constructive whatever happens during this conversation. It's a matter of confidence between you and the 42 community.
- Highlight the potential problems you 've had with the work you're presented to the person or the group you're grading, and take the time to talk about and discuss those issues.
- Accept the fact that the exam subject or required functions might lead to different interpretations. Listen to your discussion partner's perspective with an open mind (are they right or wrong ?) and grade them as fairly as possible.
- 42's teaching methods can make sense only if peer-evaluation is taken seriously.

## **Guidelines**

 You must only evaluate what you will find in the student's or group's GiT repository.

- Take the time to check that the GiT repository matches the student or group and the project.
- Double check that no malicious alias was used to mislead you and make you grade something different from the official repository content.
- If a script supposed to help evaluate the exam is supplied by either side, the other side will have to strictly check it to avoid nasty surprises.
- If the evaluating student has not yet taken this project, they will have to read the exam subject in its entirety before starting the evaluation.
- Use the flags available on this grading system to signal an empty or non-funcional project, a norm flaw, cheating, etc. In that case, evaluation stops and final grade is 0 (or -42 if it's a cheating problem). However, if it's not a cheating problem, you are invited to keep talking about the work that has been done (or not done, as a matter of fact) in order to identify the issues that lead to this stalemate and avoid it next time.

### **Attachments**

subject.pdf (https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/19578/en.subject.pdf)
SnowCrash.iso (/uploads/document/document/2944/SnowCrash.iso

## Snow\_crash basics

#### **IMPORTANT**

/!\ IMPORTANT /!\ You must not clone the repo right away. Evaluated students must be able to redo every exercise under your supervision, without script or their solutions. We recommand you make them do one out of two exercises. If you understood, tick "yes". Otherwise, I'd say you're doomed.

#### level00

Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test.

 ${igorimsise{ iny Yes}}$  Yes

imesNo	
imesNo	
$\times$ No	
imesNo	
imesNo	
	× No

Detailed explanation of the test's script.

Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test.

Intra Projects snow-crash Edit ✓ Yes  $\times$ No level07 Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. ✓ Yes  $\times$ No level08 Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. ✓ Yes  $\times$ No level09 Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. Demonstrate the method used to understand the algorithm without reverse. ✓ Yes  $\times$ No

## Snow\_crash bonus

Bonus will be taken into account only if the mandatory part is PERFECT. PERFECT meaning it is completed, that its behavior cannot be faulted, even because of the slightest mistake, improper use, etc... Practically, it means that if the mandatory part is not validated, none of the bonus will be taken in consideration.

#### level10

Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. Detailed explanation of the current weakness.

orall Yes imes No

#### level11

Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. Detailed explanation of the test's script.

⊗ Yes × No

## level 12 Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. Detailed explanation of the test's script. Explanation of the issue linked to the metacharacters. ✓ Yes $\times$ No level13 Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. Used method must be thouroughly explained and demonstrated. ✓ Yes $\times$ No level14 Clear and detailed explanation of the technique used to solve the test. ✓ Yes $\times$ No **Ratings** Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense **✓** Ok ★ Outstanding project Empty work Incomplete work No author file P Invalid compilation **₽** Norme T Crash Cheat Incomplete group O Forbidden function **Conclusion** Leave a comment on this evaluation Finish evaluation

Terms of use for video surveillance (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/1)

Legal notices (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/3)

General term of use of the site (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/6)

Privacy policy (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/5)

Rules of procedure (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/4)

Declaration on the use of cookies (https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/2)