

Test Name:

Summary Timeline

Tasks summary

Task	Time spent	Score
CountDistinctSlices Java 8	1 min	100%

Total score

100%

Tasks Details

Easy	1.	Task Score	Correctness	Performance
	CountDistinctSlices Count the number of distinct slices (containing only unique numbers).			
		100%	100%	100%

Task description

An integer M and a non-empty array A consisting of N non-negative integers are given. All integers in array A are less than or equal to M .

A pair of integers (P, Q) , such that $0 \leq P \leq Q < N$, is called a *slice* of array A . The slice consists of the elements $A[P], A[P + 1], \dots, A[Q]$. A *distinct slice* is a slice consisting of only unique numbers. That is, no individual number occurs more than once in the slice.

For example, consider integer $M = 6$ and array A such that:

A[0] = 3

A[1] = 4

A[2] = 5

A[3] = 5

A[4] = 2

There are exactly nine distinct slices: $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(0, 2)$, $(1, 1)$, $(1, 2)$, $(2, 2)$, $(3, 3)$, $(3, 4)$ and $(4, 4)$.

The goal is to calculate the number of distinct slices.

Write a function:

```
class Solution { public int solution(int M, int[] A); }
```

Solution

Programming language used:	Java 8
Total time used:	1 minutes
Effective time used:	1 minutes
Notes:	not defined yet

Task timeline

01:09:2701:09:52

Code: 01:09:52 UTC, java, final, score: 100

1

2

class Solution {

public static final int MAX = 1000000;

that, given an integer M and a non-empty array A consisting of N integers, returns the number of distinct slices.

If the number of distinct slices is greater than 1,000,000,000, the function should return 1,000,000,000.

For example, given integer M = 6 and array A such that:

A[0] = 3
A[1] = 4
A[2] = 5
A[3] = 5
A[4] = 2

the function should return 9, as explained above.

Write an **efficient** algorithm for the following assumptions:

- N is an integer within the range [1..100,000];
- M is an integer within the range [0..100,000];
- each element of array A is an integer within the range [0..M].

Copyright 2009–2023 by Codility Limited. All Rights Reserved. Unauthorized copying, publication or disclosure prohibited.

```
3
4     public int solution(int M, int[] A) {
5
6         int N = A.length;
7         int[] indices = new int[M + 1]
8
9         long count = 0L;
10
11        int left = 0;
12
13        for (int right = 0; right < N;
14
15            int value = A[right];
16
17            int previous = indices
18            if (previous != -1) {
19                // add all pairs
20                // reduce all
21                count += countPair
22                if (count >= 1000000000)
23                    return count;
24            }
25
26            // remove item from indices
27            for (; left <= previous; left++)
28                indices[left] = -1;
29
30        }
31
32        indices[value] = right;
33    }
34
35    count += countPair(N - left);
36
37    return count >= MAX ? MAX : count;
38 }
39
40 private static long countPair(long n)
41     return (n + 1) * n / 2;
42 }
43 }
```

Analysis summary

The solution obtained perfect score.

Analysis

Detected time complexity: **O(N)**

collapse all Example tests	
▼ example	✓ OK
example test	
1. 0.004 s OK	
collapse all Correctness tests	
▼ single	✓ OK
single element	
1. 0.004 s OK	
2. 0.004 s OK	
3. 0.008 s OK	
▼ double	✓ OK
double elements	

1.	0.008 s	OK
2.	0.008 s	OK
3.	0.008 s	OK
▼	simple1	✓ OK
	first simple test	
1.	0.008 s	OK
▼	simple2	✓ OK
	second simple test	
1.	0.004 s	OK
▼	small_random	✓ OK
	small random test, length = 100	
1.	0.008 s	OK
collapse all		Performance tests
▼	medium_random	✓ OK
	medium random test, length = 500	
1.	0.008 s	OK
▼	large	✓ OK
	large tests, length = ~100,000	
1.	0.192 s	OK
2.	0.184 s	OK
▼	large_range	✓ OK
	large range tests, length = ~100,000	
1.	0.292 s	OK
2.	0.116 s	OK
▼	large_random	✓ OK
	large random tests, length = ~100,000	
1.	0.288 s	OK
2.	0.240 s	OK
▼	extreme_the_same	✓ OK
	all the same elements, length = ~100,000	
1.	0.188 s	OK
2.	0.332 s	OK