

---

1.

**Solution:**

My Algorithm:

We first sort A in decreasing order, and then re-label the numbers from the biggest to the smallest as  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$  where  $a_1$  is the largest number.

After A is sorted we will build S by picking a subset of A (starting from the beginning/largest numbers), such that the sum of S is as large as it can be but less than B.

*Algorithm:*

merger-sort(A) in decreasing order

relabel A's elements

$S = \emptyset$  AND  $T = 0$

FOR  $i = 1$  to  $i = n$  DO

    IF  $T + a_i \leq B$  THEN

$S = S \cup a_i$

$T = T + a_i$

return S

*Time Complexity:*

If we use merge-sort we can do the sorting of A in  $O(n \log n)$ .

And in the loop we are looping through  $n$  elements which makes the complexity  $O(n)$  with primitive operations inside the loop.

The overall complexity is  $O(n \log n)$ .

*Proof:*

I'll use proof by contradiction here.

Lets assume we get a set S from the algorithm such that the sum of its elements is less than half of the maximal sum of the a feasible solution S'.

This means that we at least have one  $a_i$  in S' that we don't have in S.

From the algorithm we have, this means that the addition of  $a_i$  to S would mean that the sum of its elements with  $a_i$  would become larger than B. Since the elements in A are ordered in decreasing order,  $a_i$  can only be as large as the last element added to S ( $a_{i-1}$ ). This means that:

1. The sum of the elements in S is already larger than  $B/2$  and adding  $a_i$  will push it to be larger than B.

2. That  $a_i > B/2$ .

If we are in case 1, since B is the largest that the sum of the elements of S' can be, then if sum of S is  $B/2$  then we have proven the algorithm will return the set S we want.

If we are in case 2, then since the elements that we take from A and add to S are in decreasing order. Then the elements taken before  $a_i$  are  $\geq$  that it, or  $\geq$  than  $B/2$  which means that the sum of elements in S is  $\geq B/2$ . Which also proves that the algorithm give the desired S.

In both cases we will get an S such that the sum of its elements are greater than or equal to  $B/2$  or half the maximal sum of any feasible solution.

Resource: <http://homepage.cs.uiowa.edu/~sriram/3330/fall15/>