## Peer Assessments (https://class.coursera.org/statinference-010/human\_grading/)

/ Statistical Inference Course Project

Help (https://accounts.coursera.org/i/zendesk/courserahelp?return\_to=https://courserahelp.zendesk.com/hc)

due in 2day 23h
Submission Phase
1. Do assignment ☐ (/statinference-010/human_grading/view/courses/973518/assessments/4/submissions)
Evaluation Phase
2. Evaluate peers
Results Phase
3. See results
☐ In accordance with the Honor Code, I certify that my answers here are my own work, and that I have appropriately acknowledged all external sources (if any) that were used in this work.
Save draft Submit for grading

This is the project for the statistical inference class. In it, you will use simulation to explore inference and do some simple inferential data analysis. The project consists of two parts:

- 1. A simulation exercise.
- 2. Basic inferential data analysis.

You will create a report to answer the questions. Given the nature of the series, ideally you'll use knitr to create the reports and convert to a pdf. (I will post a very simple introduction to knitr). However, feel free to use whatever software that you would like to create your pdf.

Each pdf report should be no more than 3 pages with 3 pages of supporting appendix material if needed (code, figures, etcetera).

Theorem. The exponential distribution can be simulated in R with rexp(n, lambda) where lambda is the rate parameter. The mean of exponential distribution is 1/lambda and the standard deviation is also 1/lambda. **Set lambda = 0.2 for all of the simulations.** You will investigate the distribution of averages of 40 exponentials. Note that you will need to do a thousand simulations.

Illustrate via simulation and associated explanatory text the properties of the distribution of the mean of 40 exponentials. You should

- 1. Show the sample mean and compare it to the theoretical mean of the distribution.
- 2. Show how variable the sample is (via variance) and compare it to the theoretical variance of the distribution.
- 3. Show that the distribution is approximately normal.

In point 3, focus on the difference between the distribution of a large collection of random exponentials and the distribution of a large collection of averages of 40 exponentials.

As a motivating example, compare the distribution of 1000 random uniforms
hist(runif(1000))
and the distribution of 1000 averages of 40 random uniforms
<pre>mns = NULL for (i in 1 : 1000) mns = c(mns, mean(runif(40)))</pre>

ADD SENTENCE HERE INDICATING WHAT ONE SHOULD NOTICE. Example: Notice how the the distribution of the 1000 generated random uniforms is XXXX compared to the distribution of the 100 \*\*averages\*\* of random uniforms?

This exercise is asking you to use your knowledge of the theory given in class to relate the two distributions.

Confused? Try re-watching video lecture 07 for a starter on how to complete this project.

## **Sample Project Report Structure**

hist(mns)

Of course, there are multiple ways one could structure a report to address the requirements above. However, the more clearly you pose and answer each question, the easier it will be for reviewers to clearly identify and evaluate your work.

A sample set of headings that could be used to guide the creation of your report might be:

- Title (give an appropriate title) and Author Name
- Overview: In a few (2-3) sentences explain what is going to be reported on.
- Simulations: Include English explanations of the simulations you ran, with the accompanying R code. Your explanations should make clear what the R code accomplishes.
- Sample Mean versus Theoretical Mean: Include figures with titles. In the figures, highlight the means you are comparing. Include text that explains the figures and what is shown on them, and provides appropriate numbers.
- Sample Variance versus Theoretical Variance: Include figures (output from R) with titles. Highlight the variances you are comparing. Include text that explains your understanding of the differences of the variances.

	Ι	<b>≔</b>	1 2 3	& Link	<code></code>	Math		Edit: Rich ▼	
tta		a file	<b>-</b>			og, gif, pdf)	ork		
	No	i <b>te</b> : th	nis se	ection ca	n only be	filled out durinç	g the evaluatio	n phase.	
			distril	oution is				s. Did the student show when pretical center of the	е
						<b>\$</b>			
		_		_			•	s. Did the student show how f the distribution?	
						<b>\$</b>			
1		Was	the i	report a <sub>l</sub>	pdf report	of the required	d length?		
						<b>\$</b>			
				our oppo		give this projec	t +1 for effort.	Did the student basically try	to
1									

### package.

- 1. Load the ToothGrowth data and perform some basic exploratory data analyses
- 2. Provide a basic summary of the data.
- 3. Use confidence intervals and/or hypothesis tests to compare tooth growth by supp and dose. (Only use the techniques from class, even if there's other approaches worth considering)
- 4. State your conclusions and the assumptions needed for your conclusions.

Some criteria that you will be evaluated on

- Did you perform an exploratory data analysis of at least a single plot or table highlighting basic features of the data?
- Did the student perform some relevant confidence intervals and/or tests?
- Were the results of the tests and/or intervals interpreted in the context of the problem correctly?
- Did the student describe the assumptions needed for their conclusions?

B I I	Edit: Rich ▼ Preview
Attach a file (supports: txt, png, jpg, gif, pdf)	

### Evaluation/feedback on the above work

Note: this section can only be filled out during the evaluation phase.

Did the student perform an exploratory data analysis of at least a single plot or table highlighting basic features of the data?
<b>\$</b>
Did the student perform some relevant confidence intervals and/or tests?
•
Did the student perform some relevant confidence intervals and/or tests?
<b>*</b>

Did the student describe the assumptions needed for their conclusions?



# Overall evaluation/feedback

Note: this section can only be filled out during the evaluation phase.

Please use the space below to give constructive feedback. Point out both the strengths in the work and the areas for improvement. You may also use this space to explain any grading decisions that require clarification.

You need at least 50 more words

☐ In accordance with the Honor Code, I certify that my answers here are my own work, and that I have appropriately acknowledged all external sources (if any) that were used in this work.

Save draft

Submit for grading