

1 Causal Transfer Trees

The goal is to create an estimator ($\hat{\tau}(x)$) of the true individual treatment effect (τ_i) that minimizes some loss function in new prediction context, given labelled data in a different prediction context. We will consider the squared loss function:

$$\ell(\hat{\tau}, x_i, \tau_i) = (\tau_i - \hat{\tau}(x_i))^2$$

Which will be equivalent to the formulation of causal trees. We will similarly create discrete partitions in the feature space such that:

$$\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{i=1}^P \Pi_i$$

And define the following estimator:

$$\hat{\tau}(x, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D})) = \bar{y}_i^1 - \bar{y}_i^0 \quad ; x \in \Pi_i \quad ; i : x \in \Pi_i$$

Where $S(\cdot)$ consists of a sampling function to sample matched data (y, x) from a domain \mathcal{D} . Π consists of some particular partitioning of the feature space. As the sample mean is an unbiased estimate of the expectation, this is an unbiased estimate of $\tau(x, \Pi, \mathcal{D}) := \mathbb{E}[\tau | X \in \Pi_i]$. We would like to minimize our expected loss:

$$\mathbb{E}\ell(\Pi) = \mathbb{E}_{X,S}[(\tau_i - \hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S)))^2]$$

Where our expectation is taken over all potential samples data in our source domain, $S(\mathcal{D}_S)$ and all the potential target data points, X . We can expand this loss function:

$$\mathbb{E}\ell(\Pi) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_X[\tau_i^2]}_{\text{doesn't depend on } \Pi} - 2\mathbb{E}_{X,S}[\tau_i \hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]]$$

We can expand the middle term with the law of iterated expectations and note that, conditional on the value being within a leaf, τ_i and $\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))$ become independent:

$$\begin{aligned} & - 2\mathbb{E}_{X,S}[\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_X[\tau_i | x_i \in \Pi_i]}_{=\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_T)} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S)) | x_i \in \Pi_i]}_{=\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)}] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]] \\ & - 2\mathbb{E}_X[\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_T)\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]] \\ & - 2\mathbb{E}_X[\underbrace{(\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_T) - \tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S))}_{=\delta_\tau} \tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]] \\ & - 2\mathbb{E}_X[\delta_\tau \tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S) + \tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)^2] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]] \\ & - 2\mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_{S_T}[\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_{S_T}) - \tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)]\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S) + \tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)^2] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]] \\ & - 2\mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_{S_T}[\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_{S_T})\tau(x_i, \Pi, \mathcal{D}_S)]] + \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbb{E}_S[\hat{\tau}(x_i, \Pi, S(\mathcal{D}_S))^2]] \end{aligned}$$