



# The current status of the Fermilab Muon g-2 Experiment



**Nandita Raha, INFN Pisa (on behalf the Muon g-2 Collaboration)**  
**7th International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics (ICNFP 2018)**

# Outline

- ★ Theoretical Background and Basic Technique
- ★ Experimental Performance and Comparison with the current best measurement (E821 at BNL)
- ★ Measurements and systematics
- ★ Conclusion




# THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND BASIC TECHNIQUE


# Muon g-2: The Basics

$$\vec{\mu} = g \frac{q}{2m} \vec{s} \quad \text{with } g = 2 \text{ for a tree level anomaly (Dirac)}$$

$$g = 2(\text{Dirac}) + \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})_{\text{QED}} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-9})_{\text{EW}} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})_{\text{QCD}} + \text{NP (?)}$$




arXiv:1802.02995 [hep-ph]



# Muon g-2: The Basics

$$\vec{\mu} = g \frac{q}{2m} \vec{s} \quad \text{with } g = 2 \text{ for a tree level anomaly (Dirac)}$$

$$g = 2(\text{Dirac}) + O(10^{-3})_{\text{QED}} + O(10^{-9})_{\text{EW}} + O(10^{-7})_{\text{QCD}}$$



$$\vec{\omega}_a = \vec{\omega}_S - \vec{\omega}_C \quad \text{Magic p is at } \gamma = 29.3$$


$$= -\frac{q}{m} \left[ a_\mu \vec{B} - \left( a_\mu - \cancel{\frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1}} \right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} \right]$$

$$\omega_a = \omega_S - \omega_C = \frac{eB}{m} a_\mu$$

# Muon g-2: The Basics

$$\vec{\mu} = g \frac{q}{2m} \vec{s} \quad \text{with } g = 2 \text{ for a tree level anomaly (Dirac)}$$

$$g = 2(\text{Dirac}) + \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})_{\text{QED}} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-9})_{\text{EW}} + \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})_{\text{QCD}}$$



$$= -\frac{q}{m} \left[ a_\mu \vec{B} - \left( a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \frac{\vec{p} \times \vec{E}}{c} \right]^\circ$$


$$\omega_a = \omega_S - \omega_c = \frac{eB}{m} a_\mu$$

# Muon anomalous moment measurement

$$a_\mu = \frac{\omega_a / \omega_p}{\mu_\mu / \mu_p - \omega_a / \omega_p}$$

Measure B → via NMR → recast  $a_\mu$  in terms of proton precession frequency,  $\omega_p$  (at B in its rest frame).


Muonic hyperfine experiment gives  $\mu_\mu / \mu_p$  at ~26 ppb precision (ref. [arXiv:1203.5425](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.5425))



$$N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau} (1 + A \cos(\omega_a t + \phi))$$

Positron spectrum gives distortions from


- Pileup, gain stability
- Beam Effects, Losses





# THE EXPERIMENT – MUON BEAM PREPARATION, FOCUSING

# Muon Beam Preparation for 21x BNL statistics




Statistical error improvement is:  
540 ppb → 140 ppb ( $\sim 21 \times$  BNL). This requires:

- Better p,  $\pi$  and  $\mu$  separation with improved collection
- Delivery ring – eliminates background




Run Time: 18-24 months.  
1 month engineering run 2017  
Production run 1 ended today  
(April to July 7<sup>th</sup> )

# Muon Beam – Focusing in Storage Ring







# Muon Beam – Focusing in Storage Ring

- ♦ Inflector – Superconducting coil to cancel 1.45 T field of ring
- ♦ Kicker - pulsed magnet ( $\sim 250$  G peak) with vertical field, kicks the muons to the ideal orbit. Deflects by  $\sim 0.8$  mrad
- ♦ Quadrupoles – Electrostatic quads for focusing of beam. Four Aluminium electrodes.




# Inflector Beam Monitoring System

Scintillating-fibre (16 wires) beam profile monitors – Sample run





# Further improvements and checks – Current run







## Fiber Harps – Checking the beam in Current run

Used to study behaviour of the muon beam flux and the horizontal and vertical oscillatory effects of the beam due to electric field. Taken occasionally in between data taking (not during the runs as it is an obstacle to the beam).





# In-Vacuum Straw Trackers

2 Straw trackers installed in current run to reconstruct muon beam profile from  $e^+$  tracks (8 modules each with 128 straws containing Ar:CO<sub>2</sub>)





# In-Vacuum Straw Trackers

2 Straw trackers installed in current run to reconstruct muon beam profile from  $e^+$  tracks (8 modules each with 128 straws containing Ar:CO<sub>2</sub>)



Trackers in combination with calos, show good particle discrimination (left) and imaging of calos (right)





# THE EXPERIMENT – SYSTEMATIC IMPROVEMENTS

# Systematic Improvements on $\omega_a$

Systematics on  $\omega_a$  180 → 70 ppb compared to E821. Achieved by:




- ◆ Improved Calorimeters
- ◆ Much improved Laser control system
- ◆ New Straw Tracker (two installed in the current run)

Category	E821 [ppb]	E989 Improvement Plans	Goal [ppb]	<u>Key element:</u>
Gain changes	120	Better laser calibration low-energy threshold	20	Laser
Pileup	80	Low-energy samples recorded calorimeter segmentation	40	Calo + Laser
Lost muons	90	Better collimation in ring	20	Calo + Laser
CBO	70	Higher $n$ value (frequency) Better match of beamline to ring	< 30	Inflector + Kicker
$E$ and pitch	50	Improved tracker Precise storage ring simulations	30	Tracker
Total	180	Quadrature sum	70	

# Calorimeters

- ❖ Employ 24 calorimeters to produce positron spectrum
- ❖ Each calorimeter is made up of 54(9x6)  $\text{PbF}_2$  crystals with SiPMs (better in enduring the magnetic field) and read out by custom 800 MSPS waveform digitizers.



- ❖ Custom pulse shapes (templates) used for each crystal



# Calorimeters

- Employ 24 calorimeters to produce positron spectrum
- Each calorimeter is made up of 54(9x6)  $\text{PbF}_2$  crystals with SiPMs (better in enduring the magnetic field) and read out by custom 800 MSPS waveform digitizers.


- Custom pulse shapes (templates) used for each crystal




$\text{PbF}_2$  crystals provide excellent time resolution  $\sim 5$  ps. Segmented (9x6) helps in better pileup protection.

# Monitoring / Calibration of Calorimeter - Laser

- Laser calibration system – Laser light on calorimeters to calibrate them (use 6 laser heads).
- 6 SM (source monitor - 2 pin diodes + 1 PMT) to check and correct for laser light fluctuations.
- 24 LM (local monitors) that are used to check stability of the light distribution chain.





For calibration - Optical fibers carry laser shots to each of the 1296 calorimeter crystals. One laser head sends light to 4 calos as shown on the top fig.




# Laser Monitoring System - Stability

Timing and gain calibrations at the subpermil level requires the system to be very stable:

- Stability of SM after temperature correction  $\sim 10^{-4}$




- Stability of laser light distribution chain after temperature correction  $\sim 10^{-4}$  (measured by LM)




# Monitoring / Calibration of Calorimeter - Laser

- ❖ Muon data taken in 700  $\mu\text{s}$  fills.
- ❖ Gain of each SiPM crystal varies as shown on the right plot - calibrated using the laser system (simulation)
- ❖ An initial drop observed due to higher muon flux (flash in the beam) which stabilizes after 140  $\mu\text{s}$

Sample gain function from experimental data.



Experiment (with Laser)



# Systematics in $\omega_p$ – Improvements

Systematics on  $\omega_p$  170 → 70 ppb compared to E821. Achieved by:


Error Source	Improvement Plan for E989
Absolute Field calibration	1.45 T calibration magnet with thermal enclosure (0.1°C); additional probes; better electronics
Trolley probe calibrations	Plunging probes that can cross calibrate off-central probes; better position accuracy by physical stops;
Trolley measurements of $B_0$	Reduced position uncertainty by factor of 2; improved rail irregularities; stabilized magnet field during measurements
Muon distribution	Additional probes at larger radii; improved field uniformity; improved muon tracking
Time – dependent external fields	Direct measurement of external fields; simulations of impact; active feedback
Others	Improved trolley power supply; trolley probes extended to larger radii; reduced temperature effects on trolley; measure kicker field transients

Improved shimming has a great effect on most systematics. Ex next slide. Using **iron lamination** in current run has made the magnetic field more uniform (about  $\pm 21$  ppb)

# Magnetic Field Homogeneity / Upgrade – Current Run 1

- ♦ Iron shims removes quadrupole asymmetries.
- ♦ Top hats adjustments change the effective dipole moment.



- ♦ Surface correction coils and **iron foils** – field more uniform.
- ♦ 378 Fixed probes at 72 locations around the ring
- ♦ Trolley calibrations
- ♦ 25+ trolley run for better field mapping
- ♦ Field stabilized to  $\pm 21$  ppb




**g-2 Magnet in Cross Section**

# Magnetic Field Homogeneity / Upgrade – Current Run 1

Result of **iron foils** laminations, top hats, shimming etc. from the current run 1 data.



Preliminary






# MEASUREMENTS AND SYSTEMATICS


# Current run brief analysis status

- ◆ Several analysis using various method and at various places.
- ◆ Blind the analysis with an offset (in clock frequencies and data)
- ◆ Fitted the wiggle plot with T-method (distribution of decay  $e^+$  with time [T-mehtod] above a threshold frequency).
- ◆ Residues due to CBO (or other effects)

Wiggle plot





Plot of residuals



# Summary and Outlook

- ◆ Systematic and performance improvements compared to BNL E821.
- ◆ Accumulated statistics 2.3 times BNL experiment and after quality cuts / reconstruction of data approx. = BNL.
- ◆ Improve by 2020 to 140 ppb in the measurement of  $a_\mu$ .
- ◆ If the previous measure value of  $a_\mu$  is correct, then we can have  $7\sigma$  discrepancy from SM







**Thank You!**

# Backup

# Feynman diagrams for two loop calculations



# Feynman diagrams for three loop calculations





# The Fundamental Experimental Principle


- A more complete calculation which includes the effects of the focusing electrostatic field as well as a possible muon Electric Dipole Moment (EDM) :

$$\vec{\omega}_{net} = -\frac{q}{m} \left[ a_\mu \vec{B} - \left( a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} + \frac{\eta}{2} \left( \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} + \frac{\vec{E}}{c} \right) \right]$$

**Get  $a_\mu$**  

**Measure these** 

**Magic  $\gamma \sim 29.3$**  

**EDM** 

$$\vec{\omega}_{net} = \vec{\omega}_a + \vec{\omega}_{EDM}$$

- The magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  is measured in terms of the *Larmor frequency of a free proton*
- A possible EDM would result in a *out of plane* precession term

## More about cyclotron – electric field for focusing – why?

B and  $\beta$  should be perpendicular for 2<sup>nd</sup> term to vanish.

Thus, use quads to vertically focus beam and keep muon p parallel to cyclotron momentum.

$$\vec{\omega}_{diff} = \vec{\omega}_S - \vec{\omega}_C = -\frac{Qe}{m} \left[ a_\mu \vec{B} - a_\mu \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right) (\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B}) \vec{\beta} - \left( a_\mu - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \frac{\vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}}{c} \right]$$

But the beam itself oscillates – CBO (coherent betatron oscillation) due to the slightly anomalies in focusing and cyclotron frequency itself. Horizontal component – is CBO and vertical is VM. These effects detected using fiber harps and reconstructing tracks away from the central orbit using straw trackers.

## Systematics in $\omega_a$ – Accomplish these improvements

Error Source	Improvement Plan for E989
Gain Changes	Better <b>laser calibration</b> ; low-energy threshold; temperature stability; eliminate hadronic flash
Pile up	Low-energy samples recorded; calorimeter segmentation; straw tracker to cross check
Lost Muons	Better collimation in ring; better tracking simulation/ measurements using straw tracker
CBO	Higher n value (frequency); Better matching of beamline to ring
E and pitch	Improved tracker; Precise storage ring simulations, better kick

For the engineering run many of these have been accomplished with a scope of much more improvement in the next data runs.

For example – improved laser calibration system, using 3 straw trackers instead of 1..

## Backup - Field measurements

- 378 Fixed probes at 72 locations around the ring in alternate sets of 2 (at 7112 mm & 7142 mm ) or 3 (at 7082, 7112 & 7142 mm)
- Special B calib runs to measure B using moving 17 probes on a trolley
- The above probes made of teflon and Al + Cu
- Absolute calibration of all these probes (as their materials can slightly perturb B) done using  $H_2O$  in pyrex (called abs calib probe)
- In specially well shimmed parts with high uniformity trolley probes need absolute calibration using plunging probes; help in cross calibrations too

## Backup - Field measurements

- $B_0$  is the field at the center of the storage ring
- Value of  $w_p$  is found by the weighted average of field weighted with muon distribution.
- In BNL, proton beam was extracted and muons were stored during the at-top of the cycle, with no effect on the g-2 measurement. But in E989, time-dependent magnetic fields from sources such as the Booster accelerator, and power lines could perturb the field in the storage ring at the ppb level. Error budget 5 ppb.