

About Javascript (JS)

- No relation to Java
 - Was a marketing effort gone bad
 - Vaguely C-style syntax is only similarity
 - True of LOTS of languages
- No reference version
 - Battled out by different vendors
 - Technically most aren't JavaScript(TM)
- ECMA is the source of standards
 - JS is also ES
 - Versions numbered or by year
 - ES6 or ES2015

This is not a JavaScript course

...but we will use a lot of it

- Server
 - our webservers written in it
 - outside course: you may use other languages
- Client
 - "vanilla" JS in browser
 - React framework in browser
 - some form of JS only option for browser!

Going more in-depth this semester

- CSS/HTML was fast and shallow

New to JavaScript? No problem!

No expectation of any experience with Javascript

- Or even much experience with coding

Those with experience in other languages

- Expect to learn different ways!
- Don't be tricked by false cognates!

Ask Questions!

Very important to get any confusion clarified

- We build a lot on this foundation
- You can get further behind if you struggle silently

Hello World!

```
console.log('hello world');
```

We'll look at the parts involved soon

Running the Hello World

```
console.log('hello world');
```

- Run in the Browser console
- Run at the command line with NodeJS
 - A version of the Chrome JS engine!

Node JS and the command line

Running Node enters a "REPL":

```
node
```

Each line is Read, Evaluated, and Printed

Then it asks for a new line (Loop = REPL)

Ctrl-C to exit

Browser console is also a REPL!

Running for real

A REPL is great to spot-check code or syntax

- Not how we run most code

Create a `.js` file and run it with node:

```
// hello.js  
console.log('hello world');
```

```
# at command line  
node hello.js
```


Experiment!

Lots of learning through experimentation

- You can copy and change versions of files
- Non-coders wish they had this power
- (insert evil villain laugh)

```
console.log('hello world');  
console.log('is this a new line?');
```

```
console.log('do spaces      collapse like HTML?');
```

```
console.log('what about  
spanning lines?');
```

Variables

```
let message = 'Hello world';  
console.log(message);
```

- `message` is a **variable**
- `'Hello world'` is a **value**
 - a "string" of characters
- `let` is the **declaration**
 - telling JS we want `message` to be a variable
 - and not a typo
 - allows JS do tweak performance

Strict Mode

What if we omit `let`?

```
message = 'Hello world';  
console.log(message);
```

JS allows a lot of "sloppy" code

- You don't want that
- "Strict mode" disables some sloppy options

```
'use strict';  
message = 'Hello world';  
console.log(message);
```

ALWAYS use strict mode (start of file or function)

- I often skip for space

What's with the semicolons?

A **statement** is a command to JS engine

- statements are either a block in curly braces (`{ }`)
 - (more on blocks shortly)
 - or end in a semicolon (`;`)
- So semicolons separate instructions

```
let message = 'hello world'; console.log(message);
```

VS

```
let message = 'hello world' console.log(message)
```

Are semicolons required?

Can't I just use new lines like Python?

- Yes and No
 - Currently in debate in the community
- JS will "guess" at EOL (end of line)
 - ALMOST ALWAYS correct (not always)

Python (and devs) KNOW statement ends at EOL

- JS (and devs) do NOT know this

```
console  
.log('hello world');
```

FOR THIS COURSE: semicolons are required!

Variables and Values

variables hold **values**

- You can change the value held

```
let name = 'Amit';  
let otherName = 'Bao';  
console.log(name, otherName);  
  
otherName = name;  
name = 'Charles';  
console.log(name, otherName);
```

Copying sets a variable to the value

- not to the variable
- `otherName` above didn't become `Charles`

Some more syntax snuck in there!

variables are named in **camelCase**

- no spaces
- first word lowercase
- later words have first letter capitalized

assignment (the `=`)

- doesn't REQUIRE spaces (`let name='Bao';` works)
- but I do **require the spaces!**
 - Code is for humans to read

Naming things

Naming things is:

- Really important
 - Programming is communication
- Really hard!
 - Seniors spend a LOT of time changing names

Most common mistake from a new dev is poor naming

- "I'll fix it later"
 - You benefit from fixing it immediately!
 - You suffer from poor names immediately!

Variable names

Variable names should

- convey what the value means
- make sense in context
- NOT be so long they are gibberish
 - Looking at you, Java!
- NOT be too brief
 - Don't use abbreviations that aren't obvious
 - Don't use abbreviations that aren't needed
 - Ex: `phone`, not `ph` or `phn`

Declaring Variables with const

`let` is not the only way to declare variables

- `const` declares a variable
 - unlike `let`, you CANNOT reassign a `const`
 - NOT a "constant" like other languages
 - We do this with ~90% of our variables
 - It passively provides information
 - But only if you use it where you can
 - Programming is communication

```
const message = 'Hello World';  
console.log(message);  
message = 'Suckers!'; // Throws error
```

Declaring variables with var

You can also declare variables with `var`

- But you shouldn't
- Only used for old JS engines (IE 10 and earlier)
- May see it on docs because not everyone cares

`var` is older and has more "cruft"

- "hoists" variables
 - more later
- Declares to function scope, not block scope
 - more later
- Pollutes global scope when not in another scope

What are Constants

- We said `const` is not a "constant"
- So what is a constant?

Constants are variables that do not normally change

- Even outside the run of program
- Pi is a constant, so is company name is a constant
- If you have two choice for a "location" variable?
 - those are constants
- Often stored to avoid need to search/replace
- Use `CONSTANT_CASE` (all caps, underscores)
- `const COMPANY_NAME = "Jorts Inc";`

Function calls

A **function** is a collection of statements

- that can be **passed** values
- and can **return** a value

Functions are called with `()`

- any values passed are passed inside the `()`
- this is what we do with `console.log()`

Function demo

```
function hello() {  
  console.log('hello world');  
  console.log('this was fun');  
}
```

But nothing happened!

- We didn't **call** the function

```
function hello() {  
  console.log('hello world');  
  console.log('this was fun');  
}  
hello();  
hello();
```

- Notice the function definition is a **block**


Function Names

Functions are usually named with **verbs**

- Often with **verbNoun** or similar
 - Ex: `setColor`, `getAge`, `calculateWeight`
- Says what the function does
 - and to what, or why, or when
- JS Functions are `camelCase` style
 - Same as JS variables
 - Squish words together
 - Capitalized except for first

Passing values to a function

```
function greet( message, target ) {  
  console.log(message + " " + target);  
}  
  
greet('hello', 'world');  
  
const greeting = 'heyas';  
greet(greeting, 'class');
```

- function definition lists **parameters**
 - during call they are considered **arguments**
- function gets the value (not the variable)
 - declares its own variables
 - no let/const/var required
-  used to append strings
 - more soon

Function has its own variables

```
const message = 'hello';
const target = 'world';

function greet(message, audience) {
  console.log(message + " " + audience);
  message = "heyas";
  console.log(message);
}

greet(message, target);
console.log(message);
```

- the outer `message` did NOT change value
- `audience` got the value of `target`

Scope

Scope refers to where a variable and value are visible

- JS has "lexical" scoping
- A block (incl function) is a scope (except for `var`)
- If variable not defined in this scope,
 - check enclosing scope

```
const cat1 = 'Nyancat';
const cat2 = 'Jean';

function butterTheCat() {
  const cat1 = 'Jorts';
  console.log(cat1);
  console.log(cat2);
}

butterTheCat();
```

Scope Best Practices

Sometimes you use outer scope variables in functions

- usually "shared" values
 - when functions only used in that context

Mostly you want to explicitly pass values to functions

- Explicit shows where they came from
- Makes it safer to change values
- Makes function easier to reuse

Functions are values!

JS functions are "first-class citizens"

- meaning they are a value like any other value
- can be stored in a variable
 - already are, just hidden in syntax!
- can be passed to functions
- can be returned by functions

Most of that we won't do YET, but we will

- For now understand a function is a value
- when used without `()`

Function as a value

```
function hello(target) {  
  console.log( 'hello ' + target );  
}  
  
const greet = hello; // Copying function value  
greet('world');
```

- the `//` is a comment until EOL
 - ignored by computer, only for humans
- `greet` and `hello` are variables
 - holding a function value
 - `greet()` (or `hello()`) **calls** the function

Functions can return a value

- using `return` keyword

```
function grantTitle( name ) {  
  return name + ' The Great';  
}  
  
const name = 'Jorts';  
  
console.log( grantTitle(name) ); // Jorts The Great
```

return stops function

As soon as the `return` happens, function stops running

```
function grantTitle( name ) {  
  return name + 'The Great';  
  console.log('we cannot all be great'); // never happens  
}  
  
const name = 'Jorts';  
  
console.log( grantTitle(name) ); // Jorts The Great
```

Types

- A function value is a value
- A string value is a value

What else can a variable hold?

- strings
- functions
- numbers
- booleans
- arrays
- objects
- null
- undefined

Numbers

JS treats all numbers as one type

- Integer OR floating-point (decimal numbers)

```
let score = 90;
const grade = 'A-';

score = score + 1;
console.log(score); // 91
score += 4;
console.log(score); // 95
score += 0.2;
console.log(score); // 95.2
```

Caution about numbers

Computers store numbers in binary

- binary struggles with certain numbers

```
console.log(0.1 + 0.2);
```

- Not a JS problem
 - a computer problem
- If precision is important (banking, rocketry)
 - use special libraries

JS Variables are Dynamically Typed

- Some languages "statically typed" (Java, C)
- Some languages "dynamically typed" (JS, Python)

dynamically-typed: the type comes from the VALUE

- Not the variable

```
let score = 90; // Number
console.log(score);
score = 'A-'; // string
console.log(score);
```

JS Variables are Weakly typed

- Some languages "strongly typed" (Java, Python)
- Some languages "weakly typed" (JS, C)

Weak typing means values will be **coerced** to match

```
const score = 90; // Number
console.log(score + 1); // 91, a Number
console.log(score + '-'); // '90-', a String
```

Most of the time coercion is bad/risky!

- You should explicitly convert types
- One exception, coming up soon

When is a number not a number?

NaN is a special value

- A Number that represents "not a number"

```
console.log( 'Jorts' / 9 ); // NaN
```

- Doesn't throw an error (yet)
- results in NaN

Boolean values

"Boolean" is another kind of value

- `true` or `false`
- Not `'true'` or `'false'`, not strings
- Note for Python users: NOT `True` or `False`

`!` is the "negation" operator, it gives the inverse:

```
console.log(true);  
console.log(!true);
```

Conditionals: What "If"

A **conditional** is an essential part of coding

- Make something happen IF some condition is true

```
const check = true;

if (check) {
  console.log('Check passed!');
} else {
  console.log('Check was not valid');
}
```

Or else what?

`else` is optional

```
const check = true;
if (check) {
  console.log('Check passed');
}
```

Can also chain with `else if`

```
const check = true;
const extra = false;

if (check) {
  console.log('Check passed!');
} else if (extra) {
  console.log('Check was not valid but extra was');
} else {
  console.log('Nothing was true');
}
```


if is a block

The `if` and `else` are each accept a **statement**

- can have a block or just one command
- but you should always have a block

```
// JS allows but you shouldn't do:  
const check = true;  
if (check)  
  console.log('wow!');
```

Why not? See this:

```
// Not working as intended  
const check = false;  
if (check)  
  console.log('wow!');  
  console.log('check was true!'); // Not in the `if`
```

The condition is a boolean expression

An **expression** returns a value

- The condition evaluates an expression as a boolean
- Many operators return a boolean value
 - `===` (strict comparison)
 - `>`, `<`, `<=`, `>=`, `!==`

```
const name = 'Jorts';  
if ( name === 'Jorts' ) {  
  console.log('Hi Jorts!');  
} else {  
  console.log('Who are you?');  
}
```

Loose comparison

- `=` is assignment
- `===` is strict comparison
- what is `==`?
 - loose comparison
 - allows coercion before comparing

```
if ( '1' === 1 ) {  
  console.log('this does not happen');  
} else if ( 1 == '1' ) {  
  console.log('this does happen');  
}
```

Coercion is bad! Mostly

Normally we want strict comparison (`==`)

- Predictable

One big exception

- When the coercion is to a boolean value
- This is known as **truthy/falsy**

Truthy/Falsy

These values are **falsy** (coerce to false when boolean)

- `false` (no surprise)
- `''` (empty string, no characters)
- `0` (the number 0)
- `NaN` (a number that is not a number)
- `null` and `undefined` (values that aren't values)
 - more to come on these

Anything else is **truthy** (coerce to true when boolean)

When is a value not a value?

Most programming languages have a value to represent "no actual value"

- `''` represents an "empty string"
 - a string with no characters
 - but it is still a string!
- What is a non-value?

JS is twice as good, because it has TWO such values

- this is sarcasm
- `null` means "set to no value"
- `undefined` means "never had a value"

Variables are undefined if not assigned a value

```
let message;  
console.log(message);
```

Functions with no explicit return will return `undefined`

```
function charlieOnTheMta() {  
  console.log('this function does not return a value');  
}  
  
let returned = charlieOnTheMta();  
console.log(returned);
```

In fact, that's what `console.log()` does:

```
console.log(console.log());
```

Other places to see undefined

- Functions may return undefined as a "no match" result
- Will show up in objects/arrays too
 - Foreshadowing!

Functions always return a value

If your function doesn't return an explicit value

- or if it just has `return;`
- it will return `undefined`
- that's what `console.log()` does
 - prints to screen
 - but always *returns* `undefined`

Function Parameters

Only one version of a function exists at a time

- regardless of how many values you pass to it
- fewer than expected and other values default
 - normal default is `undefined`
- more than expected and extras ignored

```
function demo( one, two, three ) {  
  console.log( one );  
  console.log( two );  
  console.log( three );  
}  
  
demo( 'one' ); // one undefined undefined  
demo( 'one', 'two', 'three', 'four' ); // one two three
```

Function defaults

You can provide different defaults for parameters

```
function demo( one, two='Jorts', three ) {  
  console.log( one );  
  console.log( two );  
  console.log( three );  
}  
  
demo( 'one' ); // one Jorts undefined  
demo( 'one', 'two', 'three', 'four' ); // one two three
```

Using undefined and null

- Never explicitly assign `undefined`
 - only check for it
 - use `null` if you need to assign
 - also rare
 - often we use a value already `undefined`
- "Nullish coalescing operator" when falsy wrong
 - More on that later

Why do we like truthy/falsy?

Compare (`||` is "or" and `&&` is "and")

```
if ( !name ) {  
  console.log('A name is required');  
}
```

```
if ( name === '' || name === undefined || name === null ) {  
  console.log('A name is required');  
}
```

Programming is communication

- which is easier to follow?

Why is this nonsensical?

```
if ( name == '' || name == undefined || name == null ) {  
  console.log('name is no good');  
}
```

Hint: Try this

```
const name = null;  
  
if ( name == '' || name == undefined ) {  
  console.log('That was unexpected');  
}
```

Be strict, or use truthy/falsy

- Basically never use `==`

More on Strings

We've seen strings, but there is a lot more to them

- and we use it a lot!

Three forms of quoting

- Single quote `'a string';`
- Double quote `"a string";`
- Backtick:

```
`a template literal`
```

Quoting a string

Which quotes you use mostly don't matter

- Some teams prefer double quote (")
- Some teams prefer single quote (')
- Some teams use backticks exclusively (`)
- Some teams use them for different purposes
 - HTML works well with ' to have " inside
 - 'upgrade'
 - English works well with " to have ' inside
 - "I'd hate this with single quotes"

Template Literals

What is a **template literal**?

- A string
- That can span lines
- And can **interpolate** variables inside `${}`
 - nicer than using `+`

```
const name = "Jorts";
const greeting = `Hello ${name}, got snacks?`;
const longForm = "Hello " + name + " got snacks?";
console.log(greeting);
console.log(longForm)
console.log(` This is
  a multiline string`);
```

Defaulting values

We saw functions can have "default" arguments

```
function greet( message='Hello', target='World' ) {  
  console.log(`${message} ${target}`);  
}  
greet(); // Hello World  
greet('Heya'); // Heya World  
greet('Heya', 'Class'); // Heya Class
```

But there are other ways to "default" values

- not just as function params

Short Circuiting

We saw `||` is "or" and `&&` is "and"

- These operators **short-circuit**
- When boolean result known left-hand argument
 - They don't evaluate the right
- They don't actually return a boolean value
 - They return a truthy/falsy value
 - They return the left or right hand value!

Demonstrating Short-Circuiting

```
function report() {  
  console.log('Did Stuff');  
  return 5; // a distinct truthy value for demo  
}  
  
const one = 0 && report(); // 0 is falsy  
console.log(one); // 0 - short-circuited  
  
const two = 7 && report(); // non-0 numbers are truthy  
console.log(two); // Did Stuff 5 - checked both  
  
const three = 8 || report();  
console.log(three); // 8 - short circuited  
  
const four = 0 || report();  
console.log(four); // Did Stuff 5 - checked both
```

Defaulting with short circuiting

```
let name = getName(); // some random function somewhere
name = name || 'Jorts';
console.log(name);
```

- If `name` was a truthy value, it is unchanged
- If `name` was a falsy value, it is now `Jorts`
- This "defaults" `name` to `Jorts`

```
let name = getName();
name ||= 'Jorts'; // same thing, newer operator (mid-2020)
console.log(name);
```

Nullish Coalescing

Falsy values include `0`, `NaN`, `false`, and `''`

- Those are still values though
- How to "default" only values that are non-values?
 - `null` and `undefined`

Answer: The **nullish-coalescing operator** (`??`)

- works like `||` EXCEPT
 - returns right side if left is `null` or `undefined`
- `??=` similarly like `||=`

Demonstrating Nullish Coalescing

```
const one = '';  
const two = null;  
  
console.log( one ?? 'Should not happen' );  
console.log( two ?? 'This should happen' );  
  
let three = false;  
let four;  
  
three ??= 'Three';  
four ??= 'Four';  
  
console.log( three ); // false  
console.log( four ); // Four
```

Conditional Operator

- `condition ? A : B`
- Also called "ternary operator"
 - Only JS operator with 3 parts

```
const test = true;  
  
const result = test ? 'Jorts' : 'Jean';  
console.log(result);
```

- Basically "If `condition`, then `A`, else `B`"
 - Expression, not a statement
 - Unlike `if`, this evaluates to a value (`A` or `B`)
- Most languages have this, JS uses a bit more often
 - Assign a value, not as flow-control

Defaulting with Conditional Operator

```
let name = getName(); // some random function somewhere  
name = name ? name : 'Jorts';  
console.log(name);
```

Not better than the `||=` version

- But it has additional flexibility

Setting value with Conditional Operator

Compare:

```
let coat;
if ( name === 'Jorts' ) {
  coat = 'battered';
} else {
  coat = 'unbattered';
}
```

To

```
const coat = name === 'Jorts' ? 'battered' : 'unbattered';
console.log(coat);
```

Compared to the `if` version

- Keeps focus on important code
- That's more vital/helpful than "shorter"!

Arrays (Lists)

An **array** is an ordered collection of values

- the **ordered** part is important
- accessed using numerical **index** (position)
- index starts at 0, not 1
 - Like Western-style ages

Declaring an Array

Arrays are created using `[]` (square brackets)

```
const names = [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ];
```

- array names are usually **plural**
 - Programming is communication
- values in array do not need to be same type
 - But usually are
- Array can span lines (like most JS)
- Trailing commas are okay (and even common)

```
const names = [  
  'Jorts',  
  'Jean',  
];
```

Accessing Array Element

- An array **element** can be gotten by **index** in `[]`
 - no relation to HTML element

```
const names = [  
  'Jorts',  
  'Jean',  
];  
console.log( names[1] ); // Jean
```

You can treat an array element like any other value

- Notice we just passed one to a function
 - `console.log()`

```
const name = names[1];  
const cats = [ names[1] ]; // [ 'Jorts' ]
```

Assigning to an element

An indexed element is both read AND write

```
const names = [  
  'Jorts',  
  'Jean',  
];  
names[1] = 'Nyan';  
  
console.log( names[1] ); // Nyan
```

Wait, `names` is a `const` variable!

Why does this work?

const is not a constant

```
const names = [ 'Jorts' ];  
names[0] = 'Jean';
```

Remember that `const` ONLY says the variable won't be reassigned

`names` is still referring to the SAME array

- an array is a container for ordered elements
 - changing what is in the container doesn't change the container itself
- this change in a collection is known as **mutation**

Mutation in passing

Consider this:

```
let name = 'Jorts';
let color = 'orange';
let names = [ name ];

function change( name, color, names ) {
  name = 'Jean';
  color = 'tabby';
  names[0] = 'Nyan';
  names = [ 'Jean' ];
}

change( name, color, names );
console.log( name, color, names );
```


Why did only the array mutate?

JS functions are passed VALUES

- but arrays are references to collections
 - Changing an element mutates the original collection
 - But replacing the local variable value doesn't alter original

This will come up a lot

- make sure it makes sense
 - or you will struggle with weird bugs later

Nested Arrays

Array elements can be any JS value

- including other arrays

```
const toys = [  
  [ 'mousie', 'bed' ],  
  [ 'mousie', 'laser pointer' ],  
];  
  
console.log( toys[0][1] ); // bed
```

Objects

JS Objects are a huge deal in JS

- But very different from many other languages!

Objects are NOT

- Instances of a class (usually
- Defined by a class

Objects ARE

- Collections of elements
- Indexed by a string "key"
- Often used as "dictionaries" or "hashmaps"

Declaring an Object

Objects are declared with **curly braces** (`{ }`)

- values of keys (**properties**) are any JS value
 - including arrays, objects, and *functions*
 - keys separated from values by a colon (`:`)
 - keys must be unique (they will overwrite)
- different key/value pairs are comma-separated
 - Trailing commas allowed and common

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
  toys: [ 'mousie', 'laser pointer'],  
};
```

More about Object Declaration

- `{ }` like a block, but it is not one
- keys are strings
 - do not require quotes unless invalid variables
 - special chars, start with numbers, etc
- keys should usually be **camelCase**
 - just like variable names
 - except when key is data (such as NEU ID)

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
  toys: [ 'mousie', 'laser pointer'],  
};
```

Object Shorthand

Objects often built from other variables

```
const name = 'Jorts';
const age = 3;
const toys = [ 'mousie', 'laser pointer' ];

const cat = {
  name: name,
  age: age,
  items: toys,
};
```

Shorthand notation highlights when name is different

```
const cat = { // Same result as above
  name,
  age,
  items: toys,
};
```

Accessing an Object Element

A value in an object is called a **property**

- Unless the value is a function, then it is a **method**

Values are accessed by using **dot notation**

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
  toys: [ 'mousie', 'laser pointer'],  
};  
  
console.log( cat.name );
```

Bracket notation

- When a property name isn't a valid variable name
 - special characters, etc
- Or is coming from a variable

You can use **bracket notation** to get the value

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
  'fav toys': [ 'mousie', 'laser pointer'],  
};  
  
console.log( cat['fav toys'] ); // cat.fav toys won't work  
  
const property = 'name';  
console.log( cat[property] ); // cat.property won't work
```


Computed Property Name

You can assign to a property name by variable using bracket notation:

```
const cat = {};  
const someVariable = 'name';  
cat[someVariable] = 'Jorts';  
  
console.log(cat.name); // Jorts
```

You can also create the object in similar way:

```
const someVariable = 'name';  
const cat = {  
  [someVariable]: 'Jorts',  
};  
  
console.log(cat.name); // Jorts
```

Object properties default to undefined

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
};  
  
console.log( cat.color ); // undefined
```

Does NOT throw an error

`undefined` is NOT an object though:

```
const cat = { age: 3 };  
console.log( cat.name.first );
```

Error: `Cannot read properties of undefined`

Methods

An object property that is a function is a **method**

- In JS a function is a value like any other value

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  play: function() { // function name is always optional!  
    console.log('Checks to see if gravity still works');  
  },  
};  
  
cat.play();
```

A JS function is a value that is callable

- Can be assigned to variables
- When declared, function name creates a variable
 - Function name is always optional!
 - You need some way to call the function
 - Can call a method via object key
 - A function declared as a value
 - only creates variable in own scope

```
const hello = function() {  
  console.log('hello world');  
};  
  
hello();
```

Objects vs Arrays

Many new JS devs overuse Arrays and underuse Objects

- Arrays only make sense if:
 - The specific order matters
 - AND you access elements by order most of the time

Quite often you have items not accessed by order

- Student records
- Recipe names
- Inventory selections

What to use as an object key?

Use some identifying value

- common to repeat value in object

```
const students = {  
  '1234': {  
    neuId: '1234',  
    name: 'Amit',  
    grade: 89,  
  },  
  '2345': {  
    neuId: '2345',  
    name: 'Bao',  
    grade: 94,  
  }  
};
```

Changing an element

Like arrays, an element is both read AND write

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
};  
  
cat.age = 4;  
console.log( cat.age );
```

Notice we mutated the `const` cat!

- An object is a reference to a collection of values
 - Like arrays

Mutation in passing (object version)

This works just like with arrays:

```
let name = 'Jorts';
let color = 'orange';
let names = [ name ];
let cat = { name, color };
// above same as { name: name, color: color }

function change( name, color, names, cat ) {
  name = 'Jean';
  color = 'tabby';
  names[0] = 'Nyan';
  names = [ 'Jean' ];
  cat.name = 'Maru';
  cat = { name, color };
}

change( name, color, names, cat );
console.log( name, color, names, cat );
```


Adding a property/method

Define a new property by assigning

- even if the property never existed before

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
};  
  
cat.age = 3;  
  
console.log( cat ); // { name: 'Jorts', age: 3 }
```

Notice: Mutating a `const` collection

Deleting a property

Setting a property to `null` (or `undefined`) does NOT delete it

- it is still an existing, enumerable property

Instead, use `delete` keyword

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jean',  
  age: 5,  
};  
  
delete cat.age;  
  
console.log( cat ); // { name: 'Jean' }
```

Notice: Mutating a `const` collection

Weird Secret of JS objects

- Every value in JS is a **primitive** or an **object**
 - Primitives: string, number, boolean, undefined, null
 - Primitives can't mutate (**immutable**)
 - Can only be replaced by new values
 - BUT all primitives have object versions
 - "autoboxing"
 - allows you to call methods on that type

```
const num = 1/3; // 0.3333333
console.log( num.toFixed(2) ); // 0.33
console.log( (1/3).toFixed(2) ); // Parens so dot is clear
```

All primitives have autoboxed properties/methods

```
const name = 'Jorts';  
console.log( name.toUpperCase() ); // JORTS  
console.log( name.length ); // 5
```

No primitive method mutates the value

- has to return a new value
- example: `.toUpperCase()` above

Non-primitives are all objects

- arrays and functions(!) are technically objects
 - "an object" usually means "plain" objects
 - But they are actually objects
 - have properties
 - and methods
 - and can mutated

Chaining

The **dot operator** lets you access properties on the value to the left

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  toy: {  
    name: 'mousie',  
    texture: 'fuzzy',  
    hasCatnip: true,  
  },  
};  
  
console.log( cat.name );  
console.log( cat.toy.texture );
```

chaining is when the value to the left came from another evaluation

Chaining can break

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  toy: {  
    name: 'mousie',  
    texture: 'fuzzy',  
    hasCatnip: true,  
  },  
};  
  
console.log( cat.age ); // undefined, but no error  
console.log( cat.color.markings ); // Throws error
```

Optional Chaining can help

The **optional chaining** operator can help

- If the value chained from is **nullish**

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  toy: {  
    name: 'mousie',  
    texture: 'fuzzy',  
    hasCatnip: true,  
  },  
};  
  
console.log( cat?.age ); // undefined  
console.log( cat.color?.markings ); // also undefined  
console.log( cat?.color?.markings ); // also undefined  
console.log( cat.meow?.() ); // works with functions too
```


Don't overuse optional chaining!

Optional chaining is great to avoid a lot of

```
if( cat && cat.color && cat.color.markings ) {  
  //...  
}
```

- But don't use it to silence errors
 - The error is still there!
 - Now just harder find the source
- Use optional chaining only when a nullish value
 - an expected and valid option

Common Array methods

- Arrays methods can mutate array
- Adding an element:
 - `.unshift(item);` adds `item` to start of array
 - `.push(item);` adds `item` to end of array
- Removing an element:
 - `.shift();` returns item removed from start
 - `.pop();` returns items removed from end
 - `splice(...);` returns items removed
 - `...` here means "there's stuff in there"
- See MDN for more on these and other methods

Loops

Loops are a thing in coding

- Same instruction
- Done multiple times
- Often done a number of times based on data
- Or done for each piece of data in a collection

for loops

`for` loops are a very common loop style

- but we have a few ways to use them

C-Style for loops

C-style `for` loops - not that common!

```
for ( let index = 0; index < 10; index++ ) { // ++ is += 1
  console.log(`running with index ${index}`);
}
```

- `for` is a statement, **should be a block**
 - just like `if`
- LOOKS like a function, but isn't
 - 3 statements inside `()`, between `;`
 - initializer, runs at start
 - condition, checks before an iteration
 - accumulator, runs after an iteration

Using C-style for loop

New JS devs may mimic other languages

- use c-style for loops to iterate over array

```
const names = ['Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan'];  
  
for( let index = 0; index < names.length; index++ ) {  
  console.log( names[index] );  
}
```

But this isn't the best way

- We rarely care about `index` here
- We just use it to get the element

for...of loop

The `for...of` loop iterates over the ELEMENTS

- not the index

```
const names = ['Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan'];

for( let name of names ) {
  console.log( name );
}
```

- less cognitive overhead
- easier to follow
- easier to focus

for...in loop

The name is confusingly similar to `for...of`

- iterates over object property keys
- not array elements

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
  color: 'orange',  
};  
  
for ( let key in cat ){  
  console.log( key );  
  console.log( cat[key] );  
}
```


About for...in

Object properties used to be in unpredictable order

- still weird if you change properties while in loop
- in recent years order became stable

You can also get an array of properties using `Object.keys()`

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
  color: 'orange',  
};  
  
console.log( Object.keys(cat) );// [ name, age, color ]  
console.log( Object.values(cat) );// [ 'Jorts', 3, 'orange' ]
```

Common interview question!

```
for( var i = 0; i < 10; i++ ) {  
  console.log(i);  
}  
console.log(i);
```

What is the output?

- Also seen with a time-delayed output

```
for( var i = 0; i < 10; i++ ) {  
  setTimeout( function() { // Called ~1 second later  
    console.log(i);  
  }, 1000);  
}
```

Answer

```
for( var i = 0; i < 10; i++ ) {  
  console.log(i);  
}  
console.log(i);
```

What is the output?

- prints 0 through 10
- `var` is **function-scoped** not **block-scoped**
 - only one `i` variable
- `var` is **hoisted**
 - Exists before and after for loop
 - Not just inside

Delayed answer

```
for( var i = 0; i < 10; i++ ) {  
  setTimeout( function() { // Called ~1 second later  
    console.log(i);  
  }, 1000);  
}
```

- Prints 10 10 times
- Only one i variable
- i has 10 after loop
 - That is what gets output

Block-scoped loop

```
for( let i = 0; i < 10; i++ ) {  
  console.log(i);  
}  
console.log(i);
```

- prints 0-9
- Errors at end (*i is not defined*)
- `const` would fail (can't reassign (*i++;*))
 - `const` would work with `for..of` loop

Callbacks

A pattern used a lot in JS is the **callback**

A callback is a function passed to another function

- Not passing the result of calling
- Passing the uncalled function itself

Example callback

```
const students = {  
  maru: 87,  
  'grumpy cat': 65  
};
```

```
const checkGrades = function( students, onStruggle ) {  
  for( let name of Object.keys(students) ) {  
    if( students[name] < 80 ) {  
      onStruggle(name, students[name]);  
    }  
  }  
};  
  
const tellTeacher = function( student, grade ) {  
  console.log(`${student} is getting a ${grade}`);  
};  
  
checkGrades(students, tellTeacher);
```

Why is that cool

`checkGrades` doesn't "know" much

- knows WHEN to call callback
- doesn't know what callback does
- **decoupled**

`tellTeacher` doesn't know why it is being called

- knows what to do
- doesn't know when it is called
- doesn't know where info came from

Callbacks are very flexible

Callbacks allow for logic to be used for different things

In another setup, the exact same `tellTeacher()` could be used to report star students

In another setup, the exact same `checkGrade()` could be used to email the student a warning

Arrays have even more methods

Many of them use callbacks

forEach array method

Callback will be called for each element

```
const names = [ 'Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan' ];  
  
function sayName( name ) {  
  console.log(name);  
}  
  
names.forEach( sayName );
```

Callback functions are often defined "inline"

If a function is only used as a callback

- often defined where it is passed:

```
names.forEach( function( name ) {  
  console.log(name);  
});
```

This is cumbersome, so a shorter format is often used

- "fat arrow functions"

Fat arrow functions

`->` is commonly called "arrow" in coding

- But isn't used (yet) in JS
- This format uses `=>`
 - thus "fat arrow"
 - coding has lots of fun names
 - Shuttle (`<=>`), Elvis (`? :`), etc

JS uses the fat arrow to succinctly define a function

- NEVER defines a variable
- HAS to be used as a value

Fat Arrow syntax

- to the left of the `=>` are the parameters
 - in parens `()`
 - But if EXACTLY one parameter, parens optional
- to the right of the `=>` is the statement
 - If not a block, will return the expression value

```
names.forEach( name => console.log(name) );
names.forEach( ( name ) => console.log(name) );
names.forEach( name => {
  console.log(name);
});
names.forEach( (name) => {
  console.log(name);
});
```

Dealing with Fat Arrow

Fat Arrow syntax can be a lot to process when you start

- You can go ahead and use function keyword functions
- But fat arrows are very common in web dev
 - So it is good to get familiar with the syntax

Array .sort() method

`.sort()` on an array sorts it

- IN PLACE (mutation)
- default: "asciibetically" ascending
 - NOT numeric

You can pass a callback that compares two params

- to sort in the order you like

```
const nums = [ 100, 5, 2 ];  
nums.sort(); // default sorting  
console.log( nums ); // [ 100, 2, 5 ]  
nums.sort( (a, b) => a - b ); // neg to sort "earlier"  
console.log( nums ); // [ 2, 5, 100 ];
```


Array .join() method

Returns a string of the elements joined together

- uses a passed string in between elements

```
const names = [ 'Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan' ];  
console.log( names.join() ); // 'JortsJeanNyan'  
console.log( names.join('-') ); // 'Jorts-Jean-Nyan'
```

We will make use of this method, don't ignore it

Array .filter() method

Returns NEW array with elements that pass test

- test is a callback passed to filter
- callback is called with each element
 - if callback returns truthy value, element passes

```
const names = [ 'Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan' ];  
const shortNames = names.filter( name => name.length < 5 );  
console.log( names ); // [ 'Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan' ]  
console.log( shortNames ); // [ 'Jean', 'Nyan' ]
```

Array .map() method

- Returns a NEW array
- with results of calling callback on each element

We will use this a lot to translate data into HTML strings

```
const names = [ 'Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan' ];  
const listHtml = names  
  .map( name => `<li>${name}</li>` ) // returns new array  
  .join(''); // joins new array into one string
```

Spread operator

The **spread operator** works on arrays and attributes

- We will use this a lot later

The operator is `...` before the variable name

- like the "stuff here" in `for...in` and `for...of`

The spread operator "spreads out" the contents

- used to fill up another container

Spreading arrays

```
const names = [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ];  
  
console.log( [ 'Nyan', names ] ); // array nested in array  
console.log( [ 'Nyan', ...names ] ); // only one array
```

Fills array-like value with values from inside an array

- Rather than nesting array

Spreading objects

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  age: 3,  
};  
  
console.log({ color: 'orange', ...cat });  
console.log({ ...cat, age: 5 }); // overwrites age from cat
```

Provides the key:value pairs from object

- if duplicate property key last value wins

Destructuring

- **destructure** - removing structure
- creates variables with values from array/objects

Not something you immediately need

- but used often in web dev

Destructuring Arrays

Creates new variables with values from array

- You don't need all values
- Destructure with `[]` around variable names

```
const names = ['Jorts', 'Jean', 'Nyan'];  
  
const [ first, second ] = names;  
  
console.log(second); // Jean
```

Why would we do such a thing?

Why Destructure Arrays

Usually, we don't

But sometimes we have an array of different parts

- Such as a function that returns multiple values
 - functions can only return 1 value
 - 1 array is 1 value (containing many)
- You will see this in React

```
const [ studentInfo, semesterInfo ] = lookupStudent('Amit');  
  
// Then do stuff with studentInfo and/or semesterInfo
```

Mentioning now so it won't be a total surprise later

Destructuring Objects

Creates new variables named after keys

- with matching values
- destructure with `{ }` around variable names

```
const cat = {  
  name: 'Jorts',  
  color: 'orange',  
  age: 3,  
};  
  
const { name, age } = cat;  
  
console.log( name, age ); // there is no variable "color"
```

Why Destructure Objects

Much more common than destructuring arrays

- Allows you to work with values without object
- Reverse of Object shorthand

Also useful for faking "named function parameters"

- Pass a function an object of parameters
- function destructures object into parameters

Order of params (key/value pairs) doesn't matter!

- Each param is labeled with the name (the key)
- Particularly nice for boolean parameters

Named Function Parameters

```
function demo ({ name, useUpperCase, age }) {  
  const userName = useUpperCase ? name.toUpperCase() : name;  
  console.log( `${userName} is ${age} years old` );  
}  
  
const age = 3;  
  
demo({ name: 'Jorts', age, useUpperCase: true });
```

Compare to:

```
function demo ( name, useUpperCase, age ) {  
  const userName = useUpperCase ? name.toUpperCase() : name;  
  console.log( `${userName} is ${age} years old` );  
}  
  
const age = 3;  
  
demo('Jorts', true, age );
```

Fancier function param defaults

Normal function arguments can have defaults

- But omitting "middle" parameters is ugly

```
function greet( message='Hello', target='World' ) {  
  console.log(`${message} ${target}`);  
}  
greet(); // Hello World  
greet('Heya'); // Heya World  
greet('Heya', 'Class'); // Heya Class  
greet(undefined, 'Class'); // Hello Class (ugly)
```

Function defaults with param object

With named function params this gets nicer

- can omit any params
- usage is more clear

```
function greet({ message='Hello', target='World' }) {  
  console.log(`${message} ${target}`);  
}  
greet({});  
greet({ message: 'Heya' });  
greet({ message: 'Heya', target: 'Class' });  
greet({ target: 'Class' });
```

But `greet()` fails with an error!

- `TypeError: Cannot read properties of undefined (reading 'message')`

Read the Error messages!

The stacktrace may not be helpful after a while

- The first few lines are essential
- The message says what is wrong!

It is trying to destructure the passed object

- We passed no object
 - It is trying to destructure `undefined`

Don't guess randomly with errors! (not at first)

- You'll make a mess and not learn
- Understanding errors is a skill to learn

Defaulting the destructured object

We can default the object parameter too

```
function greet({ message='Hello', target='World' }={}) {  
  console.log(`${message} ${target}`);  
}  
greet();  
greet({target: 'Class'});
```

No more error, defaults even work

Using Named Function Parameters

I personally recommend using named function parameters like this

- Whenever you have 3+ params
- and/or function name has unclear param order
- and/or if you have boolean parameters
- and/or you have assorted defaults

Immediately Invoked Function Expression (IIFE)

IIFEs are used in browser JS code

- all code in an anonymous function
- this function is immediately run (invoked)

Because everything is in a function

- not in global scope
- no accidental global variables

```
(function() {  
  // ... code here  
})();
```

Some tools removing the need for this

Remember for now!

- Always 'use strict'
- Always use an IIFE in browser JS
 - Not NodeJS server code
- Do these even when my examples don't
- Later tools will do this for you

Javascript is probably not your first language

So you have some habits that may not apply to JS

- A common issue
- I'll highlight some common stumbling blocks

Javascript CAN be Object-Oriented

- But usually isn't
- JS Objects are rarely instances of classes
 - when they are the rules are different
 - inheritance works differently
- Native structures and syntax used a lot
 - Almost never instantiate typed Objects
 - e.g. `new Array()`, `new Object()`, etc
 - just use the native literal syntax `[]`
 - Some concepts `Map()`, `Set()` are barely used
 - usually plain objects work fine!

Javascript uses duck-typing

If it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck...

- `instanceof` and `typeof` very rare
- usually only used to identify passed params
 - and there are traps there!
 - Example: `typeof []` is 'object'
 - instead `Array.isArray([])`

Typescript has different type-safety options

- but it too is unlike, say, Java
- not run-time enforced

null is fairly rare

Even though we never explicitly assign `undefined`

- We end up rarely assign `null`
- If you aren't initializing to a value, leave it as `undefined`
- Explicitly assigning `null` is visually noisy
 - only do it if you're unsetting a value

const is common

~80-90% of variables end up being `const`

- Reassignment is just not that common
- Preferring `const` means using `let` stands out!
 - `let` isn't BAD
 - More informative when `const` preferred
- We also use a lot of objects
 - `const` doesn't complain if contents change

this works differently

The `this` keyword has subtle but important differences from other languages

- Can trip up new devs because it FEELS the same
 - Until it isn't
- Less of an issue as OOP becomes restricted in JS
 - Fat arrow functions also help
 - Can write entire web apps and never use `this`
- More soon!

Regular Expressions

- Regular Expressions (RegExp/RegExp)
 - Allow for powerful text parsing
 - Easy, once you know it
 - Easy to mess up
- Many Regex jokes: indecipherable and arcane
- Included in all major languages for a reason
 - Have native syntax support in JS!
 - This matters!
 - WebDev involves a lot of text

Try/Catch and handling errors

JS does not have compile-time checking

- no compile time!

Errors are found at run-time

- Often not much to do to "fix" it

Program errors only formally handled

- When you have a useful response

Bad input handled before an error is thrown

- No error trapping involved

Extra Material

What follows are lessons NOT needed for this course

- MAY be useful in this course
 - Depends on your approach
- DEFINITELY good to know for JS work
- LIKELY topics that come up in interviews

Prototypes

JS is *NOT* a "classical object oriented" language

But it IS "object oriented"

Objects yes, Classes no.

Classes are a blueprint to describe what an object can do.

In JS, Objects are not nearly so restricted.

Inheritance

Objects can have "inheritance" - where an object can use the properties/methods of another object.

If the code tries to access a value on the object, and the object doesn't have it defined for itself, it will check to see if its **prototype** has it.

Because the prototype is an object, when asked for this value, if it doesn't have it, it will check to see if **its** prototype has it.

This continues until an object doesn't have a prototype.

Prototype is a concept

Note that we are discussing a concept.

A prototype is an object.

A prototype can be accessed.

A prototype is NOT a property named `prototype`

Just like how an Object has properties, but not a property named `properties`

Using a prototype

Inheritance from a prototype is automatic when you try to use the property.

Many built-in functions are accessed this way.

```
const name = "amit";  
name.newProperty = "someVal";  
console.log(name.newProperty); // not inherited  
console.log(name.toUpperCase()); // inherited  
console.log(name.length); // inherited
```


Accessing

If you need to access the prototype object itself, there are three main ways:

- use `yourObject.__proto__` (DON'T DO THIS) - Legacy code
- use `Object.getPrototypeOf(yourObject)` - returns the prototype object
- Modify the source of the prototype - more on this later, such as with polyfills

Prototype Summary

- Prototypes are *objects*, not plans
 - This means the prototype can be modified after the fact, like any object
- The prototype of an object is not the `.prototype` property of that object

This is the most confusing topic

`this` is the hardest part of JS

- Similar, but different than other languages
- Makes English hard to use to talk about "this"

Essential Truth

`this` is a special variable name

- **refers to a new value each time you enter a function**

The object the `this` variable refers to is the **context**

- usually a relevant object, but it can get confused.

DO NOT ASSUME `this` will be what you want

- you have to make it happen

Implicit Binding

By default, `this` is **bound** to a value "implicitly" when you enter a function

Uses the value **BEFORE THE DOT** in the function call

```
const cat = {  
  sound: 'meow',  
  speak: function() {  
    console.log( cat.sound );  
  },  
  implicit: function() {  
    console.log( this.sound );  
  }  
};  
  
cat.speak(); // 'meow'  
cat.implicit(); // 'meow'
```

When it works

Implicit binding works through copies and inheritance just fine:

```
const cat = {  
  sound: 'meow',  
  speak: function() {  
    console.log( this.sound );  
  }  
};  
const feline = { sound: 'purr' };  
feline.speak = cat.speak; // copy assignment, not calling  
  
cat.speak(); // meow  
feline.speak(); // What do you expect? Why?
```

When it doesn't work

BUT implicit binding has problems.

99% of the time this is when the function with `this` is used as a callback.

```
function usesCallback( callback ) {  
  callback();  
}  
  
usesCallback( cat.speak ); // passing, not calling
```

When the function is called, what is before the dot?

Result is different with/without `'use strict';`

And Callbacks happen all the time!

```
const internet = {
  cats: [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ],
  coolSite: 'tiktok',
  report: function() {
    const html = this.cats.map( function(name) {
      return `<li>${name} uses ${this.coolSite}</li>`;
    }).join('');
    return html;
  },
};

console.log( internet.report() );
```


Explicit Binding

When your function is used as a callback

- you can **explicitly bind** that function
- to the value of `this` that you want

If your function doesn't use `this`, you don't care

- this is becoming increasingly common

Explicit Binding via .bind()

`.bind()` is a method on the prototype of all functions

- it returns a new function
- returns the function it is called on, but bound

```
usesCallback( cat.speak.bind(cat) );
```

inside `usesCallback()`

- `callback` will be the explicitly bound function
- so `this` will be `cat`
- even though no dot when `callback()` called

Explicit Bind via Fat Arrow

Unlike other functions, Fat Arrow functions do not redefine `this`

Technically this is not explicit binding, so much as not re-binding at all

```
const internet = {
  cats: [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ],
  coolSite: 'tiktok',
  report: function() { // Need to keep as function keyword!
    const html = this.cats.map( name => { // fat arrow here
      return `<li>${name} uses ${this.coolSite}</li>`;
    }).join('');
    return html;
  },
};

console.log( internet.report() );
```

Avoiding `this` old-school

In ancient times devs would bypass `this` problem

- by copying the value of `this` into another variable

Usually called `self` or `that`

- Before defining an inline function as a callback.

Entering the new function

- `this` would be redefined
- `self` would keep the previous value of `this`

DON'T DO THIS. It's unnecessary and visually noisy.

Demonstration of old school way

```
const internet = {
  cats: [ 'Jorts', 'Jean' ],
  coolSite: 'tiktok',
  report: function() {
    const self = this; // self unchanged below
    const html = this.cats.map( function(name) {
      return `<li>${name} uses ${self.coolSite}</li>`;
    }).join('');
    return html;
  },
};

console.log( internet.report() );
```

Additional notes

Other methods of setting the context (`this`) for a function exist

- such as `.call()` or `.apply()`
- these come up fairly rarely

this Summary

- `this` is a variable name that gets redefined when entering a function call
- Implicit binding is to "what is before the dot"
 - `this` can be a problem if the function is used as a callback
- Explicit binding is possible via `.bind()`
- A fat-arrow function can avoid the redefinition
- If you use a non-OOP programming style you can avoid `this` entirely
- Don't use work-arounds like `that` or `self`

Why Inheritance

Don't overuse Inheritance

- Modern best practices, even for OOP, favor **Composition** over **Inheritance**

Inheritance can provide common functionality

Inheritance can be a problem if you have many instances but then need to change half of them

- We change code more than we write new

How to create Inheritance

JS has 4 ways to create inheritance

Really 4 ways to create a prototype

- Constructor Function
- Object.create
- ES6 classes
- Brute Force Prototype Assignment

Constructor Function - Older style, still works

Using `new` keyword on a function call:

- creates a new object
- calls the function with `this` set to the new object
- runs the function
- sets the prototype of the returned object to be the `prototype` property of the function
 - `.prototype` object, not prototype of the function itself

Such functions are MixedCase, not camelCase

- by convention, not code-enforced

Constructor Function Demo

```
const Cat = function(name) { // MixedCase function name
  this.name = name;          // `this` is the new object
};

Cat.prototype.beNice = function() {
  console.log(`${this.name} silently maintains eye contact`);
};

const jorts = new Cat('Jorts');
jorts.beNice();
```

- `jorts.beNice` IS inherited
- `jorts.name` is NOT inherited
 - is property of the object itself

Object.create - for the Functional Programmers

`Object.create()` gives you a new object

- New object's prototype set to passed object
- No initialization code runs (no constructor)
- Popular among functional programmers (FP)

```
const cat = {  
  beNice: function() {  
    console.log(`${this.name} maintains eye contact`);  
  }  
};  
const jorts = Object.create(cat);  
jorts.name = 'Jorts';  
jorts.beNice();
```

ES6 Classes

- Use `new` on a `class` call
- Was hotly debated, now reaction is meh
- More comfortable for those from other languages
- Can mislead, only defines starting state

```
class Cat {  
  constructor(name) {  
    this.name = name;  
  }  
  beNice() {  
    console.log(`${this.name} pretends not to hear`);  
  }  
}  
  
const jorts = new Cat('Jorts');  
jorts.beNice();
```

Brute Force - set the prototype directly

Usually a bad idea (messy/unclear)

- listed for educational purposes!
- use any of the other methods instead

```
const cat = {  
  beNice: function() {  
    console.log(`${this.name} says 'No'`);  
  }  
};  
const jorts = { name: 'Jorts' };  
Object.setPrototypeOf(jorts, cat);  
jorts.beNice();
```

Hoisting

"Hoisting"

- `var` variables
- `function` keyword functions not as a value
- JS engine treats as declared at top of function
 - not assigned, but declared
 - allows you to make references

Create global variables when run in the global scope

- not inside a function
- only a browser issue
- hoisted values create global variables