Note

The Regularity of Some 1-Additive Sequences

JAMES SCHMERL AND EUGENE SPIEGEL

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received July 1, 1992

For positive integers u < v, the 1-additive sequence s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , ... based on u, v is defined so that $s_1 = u$, $s_2 = v$, and s_{n+2} is the least integer greater than s_{n+1} having a unique representation in the form $s_{n+2} = s_i + s_j$, where $1 \le i < j \le n+1$. These sequences were defined by Ulam [4] and studied by, among others, Queneau [3] and Finch [1, 2]. Many 1-additive sequences appear to have quite erratic behavior (for example, when u=1 or when u=2 and v=3). However, Finch [2] conjectures that the 1-additive sequence based on 2, v (where v>3 is odd) has only two even terms, and consequently is regular. A sequence s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , ... is said to be regular if the sequence s_2-s_1 , s_3-s_2 , s_4-s_3 , ... of successive differences is eventually periodic. Concerning this conjecture, Finch states in [2]: "Substantial computer work ... supports [this conjecture], but rigorous proof seems impossible." In this note we prove this conjecture in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. If v > 3 is odd, then the 1-additive sequence s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , ... based on 2, v has precisely two even terms, namely $s_1 = 2$ and $s_k = 2v + 2$, where k = (v + 7)/2.

In the rest of this paper, we let v > 3 be an odd integer, and we let s_1 , s_2 , s_3 , ... be the 1-additive sequence based on 2, v. We also let d = 2v + 2, and we let $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, ...\}$.

Queneau [3] determines the first 2v+5 terms of the sequence $s_1, s_2, s_3, ...$. We need only the first $\frac{1}{2}(3v+11)$ terms, from which it easily follows that 2 and d are the only even terms not greater than 8v+8.

Lemma 2. Consider the sequence $s_1, s_2, s_3, ...$

(1) The first $\frac{1}{2}(3v+11)$ terms are 2, v, v+2, v+4, ..., 2v-3, 2v-1, 2v+1, 2v+2, 2v+3, 2v+5, ..., 3v-4, 3v-2, 3v, 3v+4, 3v+8, ..., 5v-2, 5v+2, 5v+4, 5v+10.

NOTE 173

(2) There are no even terms other than 2 and d which are $\leq 8v + 8$.

Proof of Theorem. Assume it is false and let x be the least even integer in S which is greater than d.

LEMMA 3. Let r be an odd integer such that $1 \le r < x - 2v$. Then there is an i such that $0 \le i \le v$ and $r + 2i \in S$.

Proof. Assume the lemma is false and let r be the least positive odd integer for which r < x - 2v and $\{r + 2i : 0 \le i \le v\} \cap S = \phi$. Clearly, $r \ge 3$. Since r + 2v, $r + 2v - 2 \notin S$, it must be that $r + 2v - d = r - 2 \notin S$. But then $\{r - 2 + 2i : 0 \le i \le v\} \cap S = \phi$, contradicting the minimality of r.

By Lemma 2(2), x > 8v + 8. Let a < b be (necessarily odd) elements of S such that a + b = x. Letting r = x - 3v in Lemma 3, we see that there is an i such that $0 \le i \le v$ and $x - 3v + 2i \in S$. Since, by Lemma 2(1) $\{3v - 2j: 0 \le j \le v\} \subseteq S$ we must have that a = 3v - 2i and b = x - 3v + 2i, and then by the 1-additivity of S, $x - 3v + 2j \notin S$ whenever $0 \le j \le v$ and $j \ne i$. Thus, we know whether or not each of x - v, x - v - 2, x - v - 4, ..., x - 3v + 2, x - 3v is in S. We continue determining, in order, whether or not each of x - 3v - 2, x - 3v - 4, x - 3v - 6, ..., x - 5v + 2, x - 5v, x - 5v - 2 is in S, noting that x - 3v + 2j - d = x - 5v + 2j - 2 is in S iff precisely one of x - 3v + 2j and x - 3v + 2j - 2 is in S. We thus get the following:

- (1) If $0 \le i < v$ and $0 \le j \le v$, then $x 3v + 2j d \in S$ iff j = i or j = i + 1.
 - (2) If i = v and $0 \le j \le v$, then $x 3v + 2j d \in S$ iff j = 0 or j = v.

Case 1. $0 \le i < v$. If $\{x-3v+2i-d, x-3v+2i-d+2\} \cap \{a, b, x/2\} = \phi$, then we can conclude from (1) that 3v-2i+d, $3v-2i+d-2 \notin S$. This implies that $3v-2i \notin S$, contradicting Lemma 2(1). Thus we need to prove that $\{x-3v+2i-d, x-3v+2i-d+2\} \cap \{a, b, x/2\} = \phi$.

Clearly x-3v+2i-d < x-3v+2i-d+2 < b. If x-3v+2i-d=a or x-3v+2i-d+2=a, then we get that $8v+2-4i \ge x > 8v+8$, which is a contradiction.

If x-3v+2i-d=x/2, then x=10v-4i+4, so it follows from (1) that 5v-2i+2, $5v-2i+4 \in S$. By Lemma 2(1) this is impossible except when i=0, but then x=(5v-6)+(5v+10) is another representation of x where, by Lemma 2(1), 5v-6, $5v+10 \in S$.

If x-3v+2i-d+2=x/2, then as in the previous paragraph, 5v-2i-2, $5v-2i \in S$. But by Lemma 2(1) this is impossible for all i for which $0 \le i < v$. This completes Case 1.

Case 2: i = v. Letting j = 0 in (2) we see that $x - 3v - d \in S$. From Lemma 2(1) we get $3v + d = 5v + 2 \in S$ and therefore x = (x - 3v - d) + (5v + 2) is a representation different from x = a + b since x > 6v + 2.

174 NOTE

Therefore, it must be that x-3v-d=5v+2. Then letting j=1 in (2) yields $x-3v+2-d \notin S$, yet $x-3v+2-d=5v+4 \in S$ by Lemma 2(1), a contradiction. This completes Case 2, and we have established Theorem 1.

Finch [1] notes that a 1-additive sequence with only finitely many even terms is regular. Let N(v) be the period of the sequence s_2-s_1 , s_3-s_2 , s_4-s_3 , ... of successive differences, and let the fundamental difference be $D(v)=s_{N(v)+n}-s_n$ for large n. Let d(v) be the density of $s_1,s_2,s_3,...$; clearly d(v)=N(v)/D(v). For integers $n\geq 2$, let a_0^n , a_1^n , a_2^n , ... be the mod 2 sequence where $a_0^n=0$ and $a_1^n=a_2^n=\cdots=a_{n-1}^n=1$, and $a_{n+k}^n=a_{n+k-1}^n+a_k^n$ if $k\geq 0$. This sequence is periodic; let p(n) be its period, and let q(n) be the number of 1's among a_1^n , a_2^n , ..., $a_{p(n)}^n$. Note that $2k-2+v\in S$ iff $a_k^{v+1}=1$. It follows from Theorem 1 that for odd v>3, D(v)=2p(v+1) and N(v)=q(v+1). Thus we get the following bounds.

COROLLARY 4. Let v > 3 be odd. Then $N(v) \le 2^v$, $D(v) \le 2^{v+2} - 2$, and $d(v) \ge 1/2(v+1)$.

The bounds for N(v) and D(v) are attained when v = 5. Improvements can be made to the lower bound for d(v).

In certain special cases we can get exact values for N(v) and D(v). The following proves another conjecture of Finch [2].

THEOREM 5. If $m \ge 3$, then $N(2^m - 1) = 3^m - 1$ and $D(2^m - 1) = 2(4^m - 1)$.

Proof. It is easily checked that p(2) = 3 and q(2) = 2. To prove the theorem it suffices to prove the recurrences $p(2^{r+1}) = 4p(2^r) + 3$ and $q(2^{r+1}) = 3q(2^r) + 2$ for $r \ge 1$. This is easily done, and left to the reader to do, once the following observations are made. Let $m = 2^r$ and $n = 2^{r+1}$. If k = in + j, where $0 \le i, j < n$, then

$$a_{k}^{n} = \begin{cases} a_{im+j}^{m} & \text{if} \quad i, j < m, \\ a_{im+j-m}^{m} & \text{if} \quad i < m \le j \quad \text{and} \quad (i, j) \ne (0, m), \\ a_{(i-m)m+j}^{m} & \text{if} \quad j < m \le i \quad \text{and} \quad (i, j) \ne (m, 0), \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad m \le i, j, \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad (i, j) = (0, m) \quad \text{or} \quad (i, j) = (m, 0). \end{cases}$$

In verifying the above it is helpful to note that

$$a_k^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = n-1, i \neq 0, \text{ or } i = n-1, j \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (0, n-1) \text{ or } (i, j) = (n-1, 0). \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.

NOTE 175

REFERENCES

- 1. S. R. Finch, Conjectures about s-additive sequences, Fibonacci Quart. 29 (1991), 209-214.
- S. R. FINCH, On the regularity of certain 1-additive sequences, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 60 (1992), 123-130.
- 3. R. Queneau, Sur les suites s-additive, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 12 (1972), 31-71.
- 4. S. M. Ulam, "Problems in Modern Mathematics," Interscience, New York, 1964.