Isabelle-Semitopology

Giuliano Losa and Murdoch James Gabbay

July 11, 2023

Contents

1	Definition of a semitopology	1
2	Section 2	1
3	Section 3	2
	3.1 Subsection 3.1	. 2
	3.2 Subsection 3.4	. 3
	3.3 Subsection 3.5	. 3
	3.4 Subsection 3.6	. 4
4	Section 4	4
	4.1 Subsection 4.4	. 4
5	Section 5	5
	5.1 Subsection 5.1	. 5
	5.2 Subsection 5.3	. 7
6	Appendix B.2	9
7	Finding examples with Nitpick	10
	7.1 definitions	. 10
	7.2 Finding models	. 12
\mathbf{th}	ory Semi-Topology	
i	aports Main	
be	in .	

This is a formalisation of a few notions of semitopology and related lemmas, following <code>https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.09287</code>

In general we try to use to decompose proof into readable steps which are each discharged automatically using Sledgehammer (which automatically inserts the lines starting with by)

no-notation

— The default meaning of O (relation composition) is annoying so we remove it relcomp (infixr O 75)

1 Definition of a semitopology

```
locale semitopology =
fixes open :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow bool
assumes \bigwedge Os : \forall O \in Os : open O \Longrightarrow open (\bigcup Os)
and open \ UNIV
begin

lemma open \ \{\}
— The axioms imply that the empty set is open
by (metis \ Sup-empty \ empty-iff \ semitopology-axioms \ semitopology-def)
```

2 Section 2

```
lemma l-2-3-2:
                - If every member of S has an open neighborhood in S, then S is open.
        shows (\forall p \in S : \exists O : open O \land p \in O \land O \subseteq S) \longleftrightarrow open S
proof -
        have open S if \forall p \in S. \exists O. open O \land p \in O \land O \subseteq S
                from \forall p \in S : \exists O : open O \land p \in O \land O \subseteq S \land O = 
                obtain f where 1: \forall p \in S . open (fp) \land p \in fp \land fp \subseteq S
                                 – first we skolemize the existential in the assumption
                        by metis
               from 1 have \mathcal{2}{:}S = \bigcup \ \{f \ p \ | \ p \ . \ p \in S\} by blast
                from 1 have 3:open (f p) if p \in S for p using \langle p \in S \rangle by auto
               from 2 3 show ?thesis
                                  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) mem-Collect-eq semitopology-axioms semitopol-
ogy-def)
         qed
        moreover
       have \forall p \in S . \exists O . open O \land p \in O \land O \subseteq S \text{ if } open S
                using that by blast
         ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

3 Section 3

3.1 Subsection 3.1

```
definition intersects :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (infix int 100) where intersects a b \equiv a \cap b \neq \{\}
```

lemma int-commutes:

```
fixes SS'
 shows S int S' = S' int S
 by (simp add: inf-commute intersects-def)
lemma int-refl:
 fixes S
 shows S int S = (S \neq \{\})
 by (simp add: local.intersects-def)
lemma int-union:
 fixes X Y Z
 shows X int (Y \cup Z) = (X \text{ int } Y \vee X \text{ int } Z)
 by (simp add: Int-Un-distrib local.intersects-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subset-int} \colon
 fixes XX'
 assumes X \subseteq X' and X \neq \{\}
 shows X int X'
 by (metis assms inf.orderE local.intersects-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ subset\text{-}int\text{-}other\text{-}1:
 fixes X X' Y
 assumes X \subseteq X' and X int Y
 shows X' int Y
 by (metis assms int-commutes int-union subset-Un-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subset-int-other-2}\colon
 fixes X X' Y
 assumes Y \subseteq Y' and X int Y
 shows X int Y'
 by (metis assms int-union subset-Un-eq)
definition transitive
 where transitive S \equiv \forall O O' open O \land O open O' \land S int O \land S int O' \longrightarrow O
int O'
definition topen
 where topen S \equiv S \neq \{\} \land open S \land transitive S
3.2
       Subsection 3.4
lemma l-3-4-2-1:
 fixes SS'
 assumes S' \subseteq S and transitive S
 shows transitive S'
 by (metis Int-assoc Int-empty-right assms le-iff-inf local intersects-def local transitive-def)
lemma l-3-4-2-2:
 fixes SS'
```

```
assumes S' \subseteq S and topen S and S' \neq \{\} and open S'
 shows topen S'
 using assms l-3-4-2-1 topen-def by force
lemma l-3-4-3-1:
 fixes SS'OO'
 assumes transitive S and transitive S' and open S \vee open S'
 and open O and open O' and O int S and S int S' and S' int O'
 shows O int O'
 by (metis Int-commute assms local.intersects-def local.transitive-def)
lemma l-3-4-3-2:
 fixes SS'
 assumes S int S' and transitive S and transitive S' and open S \vee open S'
 shows transitive (S \cup S')
 by (smt (verit) Un-iff assms disjoint-iff-not-equal local intersects-def local transitive-def)
3.3
       Subsection 3.5
definition connected where
  connected Ss \equiv \forall S \in Ss : \forall S' \in Ss : S \text{ int } S'
lemma l-3-5-2-1:
 fixes SS'
 assumes S int S' and topen S and topen S'
 shows topen (S \cup S')
  by (metis Un-empty Un-iff Un-upper1 Un-upper2 assms l-2-3-2 l-3-4-3-2 semi-
topology.topen-def semitopology-axioms)
3.4
       Subsection 3.6
definition intertwined where
  intertwined p p' == transitive \{p, p'\}
lemma def-3-6-1:
 fixes p p'
 shows intertwined p \ p' = (\forall \ O \ O' \ . \ open \ O \land open \ O' \land p \in O \land p' \in O' \longrightarrow
O int O')
proof -
 have intertwined p p' if \forall O O'. open O \land open O' \land p \in O \land p' \in O' \longrightarrow O
  by (smt (verit, best) Int-commute inf-right-idem insert-absorb insert-disjoint(2)
intersects-def intertwined-def that transitive-def)
 have \forall OO'. open O \land open O' \land p \in O \land p' \in O' \longrightarrow O int O' if intertwined
   using intersects-def intertwined-def that transitive-def by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

```
lemma l-3-6-4-a:
 fixes S
 shows transitive S = (\forall p \in S : \forall p' \in S : intertwined p p')
proof
 show \forall p \in S : \forall p' \in S : intertwined p p' if transitive S
   by (simp add: intertwined-def l-3-4-2-1 that)
 show transitive S if \forall p \in S. \forall p' \in S. intertwined p p'
  by (smt (verit, best) that disjoint-iff-not-equal def-3-6-1 semitopology.intersects-def
semitopology.transitive-def\ semitopology-axioms)
qed
lemma l-3-6-4-b:
 fixes S
 shows transitive S = (\forall p \in S : \forall p' \in S : transitive \{p, p'\})
 using intertwined-def l-3-6-4-a by auto
      Section 4
4
definition interior where
 interior R \equiv \{ \} \{ O : open O \land O \subseteq R \}
4.1
       Subsection 4.4
definition K where
  — The community of a point
 K p \equiv interior \{p' : intertwined p p'\}
definition regular where
  regular p \equiv p \in K p \land topen(K p)
lemma interior-open:
 fixes R
 shows open (interior R)
proof -
 have \exists O : open O \land O \subseteq interior R \land p \in O \text{ if } p \in interior R \text{ for } p
   using interior-def that by auto
 thus ?thesis
   by (meson l-2-3-2)
qed
      Section 5
5
5.1
       Subsection 5.1
definition closure where
  closure R \equiv \{p : \forall O : open O \land p \in O \longrightarrow O \text{ int } R\}
lemma closure-monotone:
 fixes R R'
```

```
assumes R \subseteq R'
 shows closure R \subseteq closure R'
  using assms closure-def subset-int-other-2 by force
lemma closure-increasing:
  fixes R
 shows R \subseteq closure R
  using closure-def local.intersects-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{closure-idempotent} \colon
  fixes R
 shows closure R = closure (closure R)
proof
  show closure R \subseteq closure (closure R)
   by (simp add: closure-increasing)
  show closure (closure R) \subseteq closure R
 proof -
    { fix p
     assume p \in closure\ (closure\ R) and p \notin closure\ R
     hence False
       unfolding closure-def intersects-def by auto }
   thus ?thesis
     by blast
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
definition closed where
  closed R \equiv R = closure R
definition clopen where
  clopen R \equiv open R \wedge closed R
lemma complement-closed-is-open:
 fixes R
 assumes closed R
 shows open (-R)
proof -
  have \exists O : open O \land O \subseteq -R \land p \in O \text{ if } p \in -R \text{ for } p
  proof -
   from \langle p \in -R \rangle
   have p \in -(closure\ R)
     using assms closed-def by auto
   hence \exists O : open O \land O \cap R = \{\} \land p \in O \}
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{closure-def}\ \mathit{local}.\mathit{intersects-def}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
   \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
     by (simp add: inf-shunt)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
```

```
by (meson l-2-3-2)
qed
lemma complement-open-is-closed:
 fixes O
 assumes open O
 shows closed(-O)
proof -
  have O \cap closure(-O) = \{\}
    using assms closure-def semitopology.intersects-def semitopology-axioms by
fast force
 thus ?thesis
  by (meson closed-def closure-increasing compl-le-swap1 disjoint-eq-subset-Compl
subset-antisym)
qed
lemma empty-closed:
 closed {}
 by (metis Compl-UNIV-eq complement-open-is-closed semitopology-axioms semi-
topology-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ univ\text{-}closed\text{:}\ closed\ UNIV
 using closed-def closure-increasing by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ intersection\text{-}of\text{-}closed\text{-}is\text{-}closed:
 fixes Rs
 assumes \forall R \in Rs \ . \ closed R
 shows closed \ (\bigcap Rs)
proof -
 have 1: \bigcap Rs = -\bigcup \{-R \mid R : R \in Rs\}
   by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
 thus ?thesis
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) assms complement-closed-is-open complement-open-is-closed
mem-Collect-eq semitopology-axioms semitopology-def)
qed
lemma closure-as-intersection:
 fixes R
 shows closure R = \bigcap \{C \text{ . closed } C \land R \subseteq C\}
 by (smt (23) cInf-eq-minimum closure-idempotent closure-increasing closure-monotone
mem	ext{-}Collect	eq semitopology.closed-def semitopology-axioms)
lemma interior-of-closed-in-closed:
 fixes C
 assumes closed\ C
 shows interior C \subseteq C
   using semitopology.interior-def semitopology-axioms by auto
```

 ${f lemma}$ open-in-interior-of-closure:

```
fixes O
  assumes open O
 shows O \subseteq interior (closure O)
  using assms closure-increasing interior-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{closure-of-interior-of-closed-in-closed}\colon
  fixes C
  assumes closed C
  shows closure (interior C) \subseteq C
 by (metis assms closed-def closure-monotone interior-of-closed-in-closed)
        Subsection 5.3
lemma intertwined-iff-in-closure-of-neighbourhood:
  fixes p p'
  shows intertwined p \ p' = (\forall \ O \ . \ open \ O \land p \in O \longrightarrow p' \in closure \ O)
  using closure-def semitopology.int-commutes def-3-6-1 semitopology-axioms by
fast force
\mathbf{lemma}\ intertwine d-is-intersection-of-closures-of-neighbourhoods:
  fixes p
  shows \{p' : intertwined \ p \ p'\} = \bigcap \{closure \ O \mid O : open \ O \land p \in O\}
proof -
  have \bigcap {closure O \mid O . open O \land p \in O} = {p' \cdot \forall O : open O \land p \in O \longrightarrow p'
\in closure O
   by auto
  thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: semitopology.intertwined-iff-in-closure-of-neighbourhood semi-
topology-axioms)
qed
lemma intertwined-is-intersection-of-closed:
 shows \{p' : intertwined \ p \ p'\} = \bigcap \{C : closed \ C \land p \in interior \ C\}
  have \bigcap \{closure \ O \mid O \ . \ open \ O \land p \in O\} = \bigcap \{C \ . \ closed \ C \land p \in interior \}
C
  proof -
   have \exists C : closed \ C \land p \in interior \ C \land C \subseteq closure \ O \ if \ open \ O \ and \ p \in O
\quad \mathbf{for}\ \mathit{O}
   proof -
     define C where C \equiv closure O
     have closed C
       using C-def closed-def closure-idempotent by auto
     moreover have C \subseteq closure \ O
       by (simp add: C-def)
     moreover have p \in interior C
       using C-def open-in-interior-of-closure that by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis
```

```
by blast
   \mathbf{qed}
   hence \bigcap {C. closed C \land p \in interior C} \subseteq \bigcap {closure O \mid O. open O \land p
     by fastforce
   moreover
   have \exists O : open O \land p \in O \land closure O \subseteq C \text{ if } closed C \text{ and } p \in interior C
for C
   proof -
     define O where O \equiv interior C
     have open O
       by (simp add: O-def interior-open)
     moreover have p \in O
       using O-def that(2) by auto
     moreover have closure O \subseteq C
       by (simp add: O-def closure-of-interior-of-closed-in-closed that(1))
     ultimately show ?thesis by blast
   qed
   hence \bigcap {closure O \mid O . open O \land p \in O} \subseteq \bigcap {C . closed C \land p \in interior
C
     by fastforce
   ultimately
   show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: semitopology.intertwined-is-intersection-of-closures-of-neighbourhoods
semitopology-axioms)
qed
lemma closed \{p' : intertwined p p'\}
 using intersection-of-closed-is-closed intertwined-is-intersection-of-closed by auto
lemma closure-in-intertwined-set:
  closure \{p\} \subseteq \{p' : intertwined \ p \ p'\}
  by (smt (verit) closure-def insert-is-Un int-commutes int-union mem-Collect-eq
mk-disjoint-insert
     semitopology.def-3-6-1 semitopology-axioms subsetI)
lemma closure-is-intertwined-set:
  assumes interior (closure \{p\}) \neq \{\}
 shows closure \{p\} = \{p' \text{ intertwined } p \ p'\}
 oops
lemma cascade:
   - This is a version of the Cascade Theorem
 fixes S O
 assumes topen S and open O and O int S
 shows S \subseteq closure\ O using closure-increasing
  by (smt (verit, best) assms int-commutes closure-def mem-Collect-eq semitopol-
```

6 Appendix B.2

```
lemma l-b-2-1:
  fixes p p'
  shows intertwined p p' =
    (\forall C C' . closed C \land closed C' \land C \cup C' = UNIV \longrightarrow \{p,p'\} \subseteq C \lor \{p,p'\}
\subseteq C'
proof -
  have intertwined p p' =
   (\forall \ C \ C' \ . \ closed \ C \ \land \ closed \ C' \land \ p \not\in C \land \ p' \not\in C' \longrightarrow C \ \cup \ C' \neq \ UNIV)
  proof -
    \{ \text{ fix } C C' \}
     assume intertwined p p' and closed C and closed C'
       and p \notin C and p' \notin C'
      have C \cup C' \neq UNIV
      proof -
        - If C \cup C' = UNIV were the case, then p \in -C' and p' \in -C. However
both are open and disjoint, thus p and p' cannot be intertwined.
        { assume C \cup C' = UNIV
          have open (-C) and open (-C')
            using \langle closed \ C \rangle and \langle closed \ C' \rangle complement-closed-is-open by auto
          moreover have p \in -C and p' \in -C'
           using \langle p \notin C \rangle and \langle p' \notin C' \rangle by auto
          moreover have -C \cap -C' = \{\}
            using \langle C \cup C' = UNIV \rangle
           by auto
          ultimately
          have False
            using \langle intertwined \ p \ p' \rangle \ def-3-6-1 \ intersects-def \ by \ fastforce \ \}
        thus ?thesis
          by auto
     qed }
   moreover
    { assume a0:closed\ C\ \land\ closed\ C'\ \land\ p\notin C\ \land\ p'\notin C'\longrightarrow C\ \cup\ C'\neq\ UNIV
for C C'
     have intertwined p p'
     proof -
        { fix 0 0'
          assume open O and open O' and p \in O and p' \in O'
          have O int O' — Here we just instantiate the assumption above with the
complements of our open sets
            using a\theta[of - O - O']
            using \langle open \ O' \rangle \langle open \ O \rangle \langle p \in O \rangle \langle p' \in O' \rangle complement-open-is-closed
intersects-def by auto }
       thus ?thesis
          using def-3-6-1 by auto
      qed }
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
by blast
qed
end
```

7 Finding examples with Nitpick

Here we restrict the union axiom to pairwise union to make it easier to find small models with Nitpick.

```
nitpick-params [timeout = 120]
```

7.1 definitions

```
\mathbf{locale}\ semitopology\text{-}alt =
  fixes open :: 'a set \Rightarrow bool — open seems to be reserved
 assumes \land OO'. [open O; open O] \Longrightarrow open (O \cup O') — using just pairwise
union in an attempt to appease Nitpick
    and open {} and open UNIV
begin
definition intersects :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (infix int 100)
 where intersects a b \equiv a \cap b \neq \{\}
definition closure where
  closure R \equiv \{p : \forall O : open O \land p \in O \longrightarrow O \text{ int } R\}
definition transitive
  where transitive S \equiv \forall O O', open O \land O open O' \land S int O \land S int O' \longrightarrow O
int O'
definition topen where
  topen S \equiv open S \wedge transitive S
definition strong-topen where
  strong-topen S \equiv open \ S \land (\forall O O' . open O \land open O' \land S int O \land S int O'
\longrightarrow O \cap O' \cap S \neq \{\}
definition intertwined where
  intertwined p p' == transitive \{p, p'\}
definition intertwined-set-of where
  intertwined\text{-}set\text{-}of\ p \equiv \{p' \ .\ intertwined\ p\ p'\}
```

definition closed where

 $closed R \equiv R = closure R$

definition interior where

interior $R \equiv \{ \} \{ O : open O \land O \subseteq R \}$

definition boundary where

boundary $R \equiv R - interior R$

definition edge where

 $edge \ p \equiv boundary \ (intertwined\text{-}set\text{-}of \ p)$

definition K where

— The community of a point

 $K p \equiv interior \{p' . intertwined p p'\}$

definition regular where

regular $p \equiv p \in K \ p \land topen \ (K \ p)$

definition weakly-regular where

weakly-regular $p \equiv p \in (K p)$

definition conflicted where

conflicted $p \equiv \exists p'. \exists p''. ((intertwined p' p) \land (intertwined p p'') \land \neg (intertwined p' p''))$

definition unconflicted where

unconflicted $p \equiv \forall p'. \forall p''.$ intertwined $p'p \land intertwined p p'' \longrightarrow intertwined <math>p'p''$

definition dense-in where

dense-in $DP \equiv (D \subseteq P) \land (\forall O. open O \land O \neq \{\} \land O \subseteq P \longrightarrow D int O)$

definition strongly-dense-in where

strongly-dense-in D $P \equiv (D \subseteq P) \land (\forall O. open O \land P int O \longrightarrow D int O)$

definition resilient where

 $\mathit{resilient} \ S \equiv \forall \ C \ . \ \mathit{closed} \ C \longrightarrow \mathit{strong-topen} \ (S \ - \ C)$

${\bf definition}\ atom\ {\bf where}$

 $atom \ A \equiv A \neq \{\} \ \land \ open \ A \ \land \ (\forall \ O \ . \ \lnot \ (O \neq \{\} \ \land \ open \ O \ \land \ O \subset A))$

definition cover where

 $cover\ p\ O \equiv open\ O \ \land\ p \in O \ \land\ (\forall\ O'\ .\ \neg(open\ O' \ \land\ p \in O' \ \land\ O' \subset\ O))$

${\bf definition} \ \mathit{kernel-atom} \ {\bf where}$

kernel-atom $p A \equiv atom A \land A \subseteq K p$

definition kernel where

7.2 Finding models...

```
lemma topen {}
  — Just checking...
 \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis inf-bot-left local.intersects-def local.transitive-def semitopology-alt-axioms}
semitopology-alt-def topen-def)
lemma
 fixes S1 S2
 assumes resilient S1 and resilient S2
   and S1 \cap S2 \neq \{\}
 shows resilient (S1 \cup S2)
 nitpick[verbose, card 'a=5, timeout=30000] — okay up to 8
 oops
lemma
 fixes S1 O
 assumes resilient S1 and open O and O \cap S1 \neq \{\}
 shows S1 \subseteq closure O
 nitpick[verbose, card 'a=5, timeout=3000] — okay up to 8
 oops
lemma — This is to find an example satisfying all the premises.
 fixes p I C Cl
 assumes I = intertwined-set-of p
   and Cl = closure \{p\}
   and closed C and p \in interior\ C and \forall\ C'. closed C' \land p \in interior\ C' \longrightarrow
\neg C' \subset C
   and Cl \subset I and I \subset C
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4, verbose]
 oops
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{closure-is-intertwined-set} :
 fixes p O
 assumes open O and p \in O and O \subseteq \{p' : intertwined p p'\}
 shows closure O = \{p' : intertwined p p'\}
 oops
lemma — Space in which every point is unconflicted but not weakly regular'
 assumes (\forall p. \neg weakly-regular p \land unconflicted p)
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4]
 oops
```

```
lemma — Space in which every point is weakly regular but conflicted (nitpick fails
because impossible)
 assumes (\forall p. weakly-regular p \land conflicted p)
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=1]
 oops
lemma — Space in which every point is weakly regular but not regular (nitpick
fails because impossible)
 assumes \forall p. weakly-regular p \land \neg regular p
 {f shows} False
 nitpick[card 'a=1]
 oops
lemma — An unconflicted point on the boundary of a regular p
 assumes regular p and q \in edge p and \neg conflicted q
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4]
 oops
lemma — A conflicted point on the boundary of a regular p
 fixes p q
 assumes regular p and q \in edge p and conflicted q and weakly-regular q
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=3]
 oops
lemma — A regular point on the boundary of a regular p (fails because impossible)
 fixes p q
 assumes regular p and q \in edge p and regular q
 shows False
 \mathbf{nitpick}[\mathit{card}\ 'a{=}\mathcal{2}]
 oops
lemma — A conflicted, not weakly regular point
 fixes p
 assumes conflicted p and \neg weakly-regular p
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=5]
 oops
lemma — A conflicted, not weakly regular point on a boundary
 fixes p q
 assumes conflicted p and \neg weakly-regular p and p \in edge q
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=5]
 oops
```

```
lemma — A point on the boundary of a closed set that is not intertwined with
any element of its interior
 fixes p \ C
 assumes closed C and interior C \neq \{\} and \forall q : \neg (q \in interior C \land intertwined)
p \ q) and p \in boundary C
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=3]
 oops
lemma — A point that is unconflicted but on the intersection of the boundary of
two closed neighbourhoods whose intersections do not intersect
 fixes p C C'
 assumes closed C and closed C' and interior C \neq \{\} and interior C' \neq \{\}
and p \in boundary \ C and p \in boundary \ C' and \neg (interior \ C) int (interior C')
and \neg conflicted p
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4]
 oops
lemma — A point that is regular but on the intersection of the boundary of two
closed neighbourhoods whose intersections do not intersect, and p is not intertwined
with any point in the interiors of either closed neighbourhoods
 fixes p \ C \ C'
 assumes closed C and closed C' and interior C \neq \{\} and interior C' \neq \{\}
and p \in boundary \ C and p \in boundary \ C' and \neg (interior \ C) int (interior C')
and \forall q : \neg (q \in interior \ C \land intertwined \ p \ q) \ and \ \forall q : \neg (q \in interior \ C' \land a)
intertwined p q) and regular p
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4]
 oops
lemma — Just because D is dense in P does not mean it is strongly dense)
 fixes DP
 assumes open P and D \neq \{\} and dense-in D P
           \neg strongly-dense-in D P
 nitpick[card 'a=2, verbose]
 oops
Can p be in its community but the community of p not be a topen? As we
see below, the answer is yes.
lemma
 assumes Kp = K p and p \in Kp and \neg topen Kp and b = (\forall p \in Kp : \forall p' \in Kp)
Kp . intertwined p p' and \neg b
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=3]
 oops
```

```
lemma — A (wrong) conjecture:
 fixes p
 assumes \neg regular p and open O and p \in O and \forall p' \in O . intertwined p p'
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4, verbose]
 oops
lemma
 fixes p
 assumes K p \neq \{\} and \neg transitive (K p) and \neg p \in K p
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=5, verbose]
 oops
lemma — If p is regular and A is an atom in p's community, it does not follow
that p has a cover that includes A:
 fixes p A Kp
 assumes regular p and atom A and A \subseteq Kp and Kp = Kp
 shows \exists O \cdot cover \ p \ O \land A \subseteq O
 nitpick[card 'a=3]
 oops
lemma — no counterexample with 7 points
 fixes p Kp
 assumes regular p and Kp = Kp
 shows \exists O : cover \ p \ O \land (\forall O' : atom \ O' \land transitive \ O' \land O' int \ O \longrightarrow O' \subseteq O'
Kp)
 oops
Seems like the above should hold: Take a cover O inside the community
of p. Then an O' as above must be in p-intertwined, and thus it's in the
community (which this the interior of p-intertwined.
lemma — Example of a cover O of p that intersects an atom that goes outside
the community, even though all transitive atoms that intersect O are within the
community
 fixes p A Kp O
 assumes regular p and Kp = K p
   and cover p \ O \land (\forall \ O' \ . \ atom \ O' \land \ transitive \ O' \land O' \ int \ O \longrightarrow O' \subseteq Kp)
   and atom A and A int O and \neg A \subseteq Kp
 shows False
 nitpick[card 'a=4]
 oops
lemma
 fixes p \ A \ O \ O'
 assumes regular p and cover p O and atom O'
   and transitive O' and O' int O
 shows O' \subseteq K p
```

```
nitpick[card 'a=3] oops lemma — Second example requested by Jamie fixes C interior-C assumes closed C and \forall C'. \neg(interior C' \neq \{\} \land closed C' \land C' \subset C) and interior C \neq \{\} and \neg topen interior-<math>C and interior-<math>C = interior C shows False nitpick[card 'a=4] oops end
```