Specification of the signature-free Sailfish++ consensus algorithm at a high level of abstraction.

We use a number of abstractions and simplifying assumptions in order to expose the high-level principles of the algorithm clearly and in order to make model-checking of interesting configuations tractable:

- 1) Nodes read and write a global DAG. When a node transitions into a new round, it is provided with an arbitrary quorum of vertices from the previous round (except that, after GST, some additional assumptions apply).
- 2) We do not model timeouts. Instead, we assume that, every round r after GST, each correct node votes for the previous leader.
- 3) Byzantine nodes are allowed to create new DAG vertices arbitrarily, but only one per round.
- 4) We do not explicitly model committing based on 2f + 1 first RBC messages.
- 5) There are no weak edges.

EXTENDS Digraph, Integers, FiniteSets, Sequences

CONSTANTS

N The set of all nodes

- F The set of Byzantine nodes
- , R The set of rounds
- , $IsQuorum(_)$ Whether a set is a quorum (i.e. cardinality $\ge n$ -f)
- , $IsBlocking(_)$ Whether a set is a blocking set (i.e. cardinality $\ge f + 1$)
- , Leader(_) operator mapping each round to its leader
- , GST the first round in which the system is synchronous

ASSUME $\exists\,n\in R:R=1\ldots n\,$ useful rounds start at 1

For our purpose of checking safety and liveness of Sailfish, we do not need to model blocks of transactions. Instead, DAG vertices just consist of a node and a round.

```
\begin{array}{ll} V & \stackrel{\Delta}{=} N \times R \text{ the set of possible } \textit{DAG} \text{ vertices} \\ Node(v) & \stackrel{\Delta}{=} v[1] \\ Round(v) & \stackrel{\Delta}{=} v[2] \end{array}
```

Next we define how we order DAG vertices when we commit a leader vertice

```
LeaderVertice(r) \triangleq \langle Leader(r), r \rangle
```

```
RECURSIVE OrderSet(\_) arbitrarily order the members of the set S OrderSet(S) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{IF } S = \{\} \text{ THEN } \langle \rangle \text{ ELSE} Let e \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{ CHOOSE } e \in S : \text{TRUE} IN Append(OrderSet(S \setminus \{e\}), e)
```

NOTE: CHOOSE is deterministic in TLA+,

i.e. Choose $e \in S$: true is always the same e if S is the same

```
RECURSIVE CollectLeaders(\_,\_,\_)

CollectLeaders(vs, r, dag) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{if } vs = \{\} \text{ then } \langle \rangle \text{ else }

Let children \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{ union } \{Children(v, dag) : v \in vs\}
          IF LeaderVertice(r) \in vs
            THEN Append(
                 CollectLeaders(Children(LeaderVertice(r), dag), r-1, dag),
                 LeaderVertice(r)
            ELSE CollectLeaders (children, r-1, dag)
RECURSIVE Order Vertices (_, _)
OrderVertices(dag, leaderVertices) \stackrel{\Delta}{=}
     If leaderVertices = \langle \rangle Then \langle \rangle else
     LET l \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Head(leaderVertices)
           toOrder \stackrel{\triangle}{=} Descendants(\{l\}, dag)
            prefix \triangleq OrderSet(toOrder)
            remainingVertices \triangleq Vertices(dag) \setminus (toOrder \cup \{l\})
            remainingEdges \triangleq \{e \in Edges(dag) : \{e[1], e[2]\} \subseteq remainingVertices\}
            remainingDAG \triangleq \langle remainingVertices, remainingEdges \rangle
           prefix \circ \langle l \rangle \circ OrderVertices(remainingDAG, Tail(leaderVertices))
CommitLeader(v, dag) \triangleq
     LET leaderVertices \stackrel{\Delta}{=} CollectLeaders(\{v\}, Round(v), dag)
           Order Vertices (dag, leader Vertices)
Now we specify the algorithm in the PlusCal language.
  --algorithm Sailfish {
     variables
          vs = \{\}, the vertices of the DAG
          es = \{\}; the edges of the DAG
     define \begin{cases} dag \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \langle vs, es \rangle \end{cases}
          NoLeaderVoteQuorum(r, delivered, add) \triangleq
               Let NoLeaderVote \triangleq \{v \in delivered : LeaderVertice(r-1) \notin Children(v, dag)\}
               IN IsQuorum(\{Node(v): v \in NoLeaderVote\} \cup add)
      }
     process ( correctNode \in N \setminus F )
          variables
               round = 0, current round; 0 means the node has not started execution
               log = \langle \rangle; delivered log
          while (TRUE) {
               if ( round = 0 ) { start the first round r = 1
                     round := 1;
                     vs := vs \cup \{\langle self, 1 \rangle\}
                }
```

```
with ( delivered \in SUBSET \{v \in vs : Round(v) = r - 1\} ) {
                    await IsQuorum(\{Node(v) : v \in delivered\});
                    await LeaderVertice(r-1) \in delivered \Rightarrow
                              \lor LeaderVertice(r-2) \in Children(LeaderVertice(r-1), dag)
                              \vee NoLeaderVoteQuorum(r-1, delivered, \{\});
                    if ( Leader(r) = self )
                                   \vee LeaderVertice(r-1) \in delivered
                                   \vee NoLeaderVoteQuorum(r, delivered, \{self\});
                    round := r;
                    with ( newV = \langle self, round \rangle ) {
                        vs := vs \cup \{newV\};
                        es := es \cup \{\langle newV, pv \rangle : pv \in delivered\};
                     } ;
                     commit if there is a quorum of votes for the leader of r-2:
                    if (round > 1)
                         with ( votesForLeader = \{pv \in delivered : \langle pv, LeaderVertice(round - 2) \rangle \in es\} )
                         if ( IsBlocking(\{Node(pv): pv \in votesForLeader\}) )
                              log := CommitLeader(LeaderVertice(round - 2), dag)
                 }
            }
        }
     }
Next comes our model of Byzantine nodes. Because the real protocol disseminates DAG vertices
using reliable broadcast, Byzantine nodes cannot equivocate and cannot deviate much from the
protocol (lest their messages be ignored).
    process ( byzantineNode \in F )
l0:
        while (TRUE) {
            with (r \in R)
            with (newV = \langle self, r \rangle) {
                when newV \notin vs; no equivocation
                if ( r=1 )
                     vs := vs \cup \{newV\}
                else
                with ( delivered \in SUBSET \{ v \in vs : Round(v) = r - 1 \} ) {
                    await IsQuorum(\{Node(v) : v \in delivered\}); ignored otherwise
                    vs := vs \cup \{newV\};
                    es := es \cup \{\langle newV, pv \rangle : pv \in delivered\}
                }
           }
      }
    }
}
```

else { start a round r > 1

with $(r \in \{r \in R : r > round\})$

Next we define the safety and liveness properties

```
\begin{aligned} &Compatible(s1,\,s2) & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad \text{whether the sequence $s1$ is a prefix of the sequence $s2$, or vice versa} \\ & \text{LET } Min(n1,\,n2) & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad \text{IF } n1 \geq n2 \text{ THEN } n2 \text{ ELSE } n1\text{IN} \\ & \forall i \in 1 \dots Min(Len(s1),\,Len(s2)) : s1[i] = s2[i] \end{aligned} &Agreement & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad \forall n1,\,n2 \in N \setminus F : Compatible(log[n1],\,log[n2]) &Liveness & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad \forall r \in R : r \geq GST \land Leader(r) \notin F \Rightarrow \exists B \in \text{SUBSET } (N \setminus F) : \\ & \land IsBlocking(B) \\ & \land \forall n \in B : round[n] \geq r + 2 \Rightarrow \exists i \in \text{DOMAIN } log[n] : log[n][i] = LeaderVertice(r) \end{aligned} &Liveness & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad \forall r \in R : r \geq GST \land Leader(r) \notin F \Rightarrow \\ & \forall n \in N \setminus F : round[n] \geq r + 2 \Rightarrow \\ & \exists i \in \text{DOMAIN } log[n] : log[n][i] = LeaderVertice(r) \end{aligned}
```

Finally we make a few auxiliary definitions used for model-checking with TLC

Basic typing invariant:

```
TypeOK \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \\ \land \forall v \in vs : Node(v) \in N \land Round(v) \in Nat \setminus \{0\} \\ \land \forall e \in es : \\ \land e = \langle e[1], e[2] \rangle \\ \land \{e[1], e[2]\} \subseteq vs \\ \land Round(e[1]) > Round(e[2]) \\ \land \forall n \in N \setminus F : round[n] \in Nat
```

Synchrony assumption: for each round r from GST onwards, if the leader of r is correct then every correct node votes for the round-r leader vertex in round r+1

```
Synchrony \triangleq \forall \, r \in R : r \geq GST \land Leader(r) \notin F \Rightarrow
\forall \, n \in N \backslash F :
\text{LET } v \triangleq \langle n, \, r+1 \rangle
\text{IN } \land v \in vs
\land \lor r = 1
\lor LeaderVertice(r-1) \in Children(LeaderVertice(r), \, dag)
\lor \, NoLeaderVoteQuorum(r, \, \{v2 \in vs : Round(v2) = r+1\}, \, \{\})
\Rightarrow LeaderVertice(r) \in Children(v, \, dag)
```

We add the synchrony assumption to the specification

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathit{SyncNext} \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; (\exists \, \mathit{self} \in \mathit{N} \setminus \mathit{F} : \mathit{correctNode}(\mathit{self}) \land \mathit{Synchrony'}) \\ & \lor (\exists \, \mathit{self} \in \mathit{F} : \mathit{byzantineNode}(\mathit{self})) \\ \mathit{SyncSpec} \; \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \; \mathit{Init} \land \Box [\mathit{SyncNext}]_{\mathit{vars}} \end{array}
```

Next we define a constraint to stop the model-checker.

```
Max(S) \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x \in S : \forall y \in S : y \leq x
StateConstraint \triangleq \forall n \in N \setminus F : round[n] \in 0 ... Max(R)
```

Finally, we give some properties we expect to be violated (useful to get the model-checker to print interesting executions).

```
 \begin{array}{ll} Falsy1 & \triangleq \neg( \\ & \forall \ n \in N \setminus F : round[n] = Max(R) \\ ) \\ Falsy2 & \triangleq \neg( \\ & \exists \ n \in N \setminus F : Len(log[n]) > 1 \\ ) \\ \end{array}
```