AMM-Zhang

nano

October 3, 2023

Contents

1	Pool state	1
2	Adding liquidity	1
3	Removing liquidity	3
the i	No free money eory AMM-Zhang mports HOL.Real	5
be	pegin	

This is an attempt at translating the AMM formalization of Zhang et al. https://github.com/runtimeverification/verified-smart-contracts/blob/uniswap/uniswap/x-y-k.pdf in Isabelle/HOL

We formalize a basic constant-product liquidity pool. We define an add-liquidity and a remove-liquidity operation on a pool whose token amounts are reals. We also define the code versions of those operations, where amounts are rounded to obtain integers. Rounding the wrong way can allow an attacker to drain the pool. We would like to prove that this cannot happen.

1 Pool state

definition k where k $p \equiv (x p)*(y p)$

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{record} \ pool\text{-}state = \\ -- \text{A liquidity pool between tokens x and y; l is the token representing pool shares} \\ x :: real \\ y :: real \\ l :: real \end{array}
```

2 Adding liquidity

```
definition add-liquidity-spec where
  add-liquidity-spec p \Delta x \equiv let \alpha = \Delta x / x p
   in (x = (1+\alpha)*(x p), y = (1+\alpha)*(y p), l = (1+\alpha)*(l p))
definition add-liquidity-code-spec where
  add-liquidity-code-spec p \Delta x \equiv
   (x = x p + \Delta x,
    y = y p + |\Delta x*(y p) / x p| + 1, — note we need to round in favor of the pool
or the pool can be drained
    l = l p + |\Delta x*(l p) / x p|
lemma l1:(1 + \Delta x / x p) * a = a + \Delta x * a / x p for a
   - this simple lemma helps automated provers a lot
 by algebra
lemma add-liquidity-properties:

    properties of add-liquidity

  fixes p \Delta x
  assumes x p > \theta and y p > \theta and l p > \theta
   and \Delta x \geq \theta
  defines p' \equiv add-liquidity-spec p \Delta x
   and p'' \equiv add-liquidity-code-spec p \Delta x
  shows x p \le x p' and x p' = x p''
   and y p \le y p' and y p' < y p'' and y p'' \le y p' + 1
   and l p' - 1 < l p'' and l p'' \le l p' and l p \le l p'' and l p \le l p''
   and k p \le k p' and k p' < k p''
   and k p'/k p = (l p'/l p)^2
   and (l p''/l p)^2 < k p''/k p
   and x p / l p = x p' / l p'
   and y p / l p = y p' / l p'
proof -
  show x p \le x p'
     by (smt (verit) add-liquidity-spec-def assms(1) assms(4) divide-nonneg-pos
eq-divide-imp ext-inject le-divide-eq-1 p'-def surjective)
  \mathbf{show} \ x \ p' = x \ p''
  using assms(1-4) unfolding p'-def p''-def add-liquidity-spec-def add-liquidity-code-spec-def
Let-def
  by (auto; metis add-divide-distrib eq-divide-eq-1 nonzero-eq-divide-eq order-less-irreft)
  show y p \leq y p'
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) add-liquidity-spec-def assms(1) assms(2) assms(4)
\mathit{divide}\text{-}\mathit{nonneg}\text{-}\mathit{pos}\ \mathit{l1}\ \mathit{p'}\text{-}\mathit{def}\ \mathit{select}\text{-}\mathit{convs}(\mathit{2})\ \mathit{split}\text{-}\mathit{mult}\text{-}\mathit{pos}\text{-}\mathit{le})
  show y p' < y p''
   by (simp add: add-liquidity-code-spec-def add-liquidity-spec-def l1 p"-def p'-def)
  show y p'' \leq y p' + 1
   by (simp add: add-liquidity-code-spec-def add-liquidity-spec-def l1 p"-def p'-def)
  show l p' - 1 < l p''
   by (simp add: add-liquidity-code-spec-def add-liquidity-spec-def l1 p"-def p'-def)
```

```
show l p'' \leq l p'
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ add-liquidity-code\text{-}spec\text{-}def\ add-liquidity-spec\text{-}def\ l1\ p''\text{-}def\ p'\text{-}def)
  show l p \leq l p''
     by (smt (verit) add-liquidity-code-spec-def assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) di-
vide-nonneq-pos of-int-0-le-iff p"-def select-convs(3) split-mult-pos-le zero-le-floor)
  show l p \leq l p'
    using \langle l | p \leq l | p'' \rangle \langle l | p'' \leq l | p' \rangle by force
  show k p \leq k p'
  by (smt\ (verit,\ ccfv\text{-}SIG)\ \langle x\ p\leq x\ p'\rangle\ \langle y\ p\leq y\ p'\rangle\ add\text{-}liquidity\text{-}spec\text{-}def\ assms}(1)
assms(2) k-def mult. left-commute mult-le-less-imp-less nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right
p'-def select-convs(1) select-convs(2) times-divide-eq-right)
 show k p' < k p''
  \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{metis} \; \forall x \; p \leq x \; p') \; \forall x \; p' = x \; p'') \; \forall y \; p' < y \; p'') \; assms(1) \; \textit{dual-order.strict-trans1}
k-def mult-less-cancel-left-pos)
 show k p'/k p = (l p'/l p)^2
    using assms(1-4) unfolding p'-def add-liquidity-spec-def Let-def k-def
    by (simp; algebra)
  \mathbf{show} \ (l \ p''/l \ p)^2 < k \ p''/k \ p
  proof -
   have ((l \ p + \lfloor \Delta x * l \ p \ / \ x \ p \rfloor) \ / \ l \ p)^2 \le ((l \ p + \Delta x * l \ p \ / \ x \ p) \ / \ l \ p)^2
    proof -
      have ((l p + |\Delta x * l p / x p|) / l p) \le ((l p + \Delta x * l p / x p) / l p)
        by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ pos-le-divide-eq)
      thus ?thesis
        using assms(1,3,4) by fastforce
    qed
    moreover
    have (x p + \Delta x) * (y p + |\Delta x * y p / x p| + 1) / (x p * y p)
          > (x p + \Delta x) * (y p + \Delta x * y p / x p) / (x p * y p)
    by (smt\ (verit)\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(4)\ divide-strict-right-mono\ less-floor-iff
mult-pos-pos mult-strict-left-mono)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
    by (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ \langle k\ p'\ /\ k\ p = (l\ p'\ /\ l\ p)^2\rangle\ \langle k\ p'\ < k\ p''\rangle\ add-liquidity-code-spec-def
add-liquidity-spec-def assms(1,2) divide-le-cancel k-def l1 p''-def p'-def select-convs(3)
split-mult-pos-le)
  qed
  show x p / l p = x p' / l p'
      by (smt\ (verit,\ del-insts)\ \langle x\ p\leq x\ p'\rangle\ add-liquidity-spec-def\ assms(1)\ di-
vide-pos-pos\ nonzero-eq-divide-eq\ nonzero-mult-divide-mult-cancel-left\ p'-def\ select-convs (1)
select-convs(3)
  show y p / l p = y p' / l p'
     by (smt\ (verit,\ ccfv-threshold)\ \langle y\ p\leq y\ p'\rangle\ add-liquidity-spec-def\ assms(2))
divide-eq-0-iff nonzero-eq-divide-eq nonzero-mult-divide-mult-cancel-left p'-def se-
lect-convs(2) select-convs(3))
qed
```

3 Removing liquidity

```
definition remove-liquidity-spec where
 remove-liquidity-spec p \Delta l \equiv let \ \alpha = \Delta l \ / \ l \ p
   in (x = (1-\alpha)*(x p), y = (1-\alpha)*(y p), l = (1-\alpha)*(l p))
definition remove-liquidity-code-spec where
  remove-liquidity-code-spec p \Delta l \equiv
   (x = x p - |\Delta l * (x p) / l p|,
    y = y p - \lfloor \Delta l * (y p) / l p \rfloor,
    l = l p - \Delta l
lemma l2:(1 - \Delta l / l p) * a = a - \Delta l * a / l p for a
     – this simple lemma helps automated provers a lot
 by algebra
lemma remove-liquidity-properties:
   - properties of remove-liquidity
 fixes p \Delta l
 assumes x p > \theta and y p > \theta and l p > \theta
   and \Delta l < l p and \theta \leq \Delta l
  defines p' \equiv remove-liquidity-spec p \Delta l
   and p'' \equiv remove-liquidity-code-spec p \Delta l
 shows x p' \le x p and x p' \le x p''
   and y p' \leq y p and y p' \leq y p''
   and l p' = l p'' and l p' \le l p
   and k p' \le k p'' and k p' \le k p
   and k p'/k p = (l p'/l p)^2
   and (l p''/l p)^2 \le k p''/k p
   and x p / l p = x p' / l p'
   and y p / l p = y p' / l p'
proof -
  show x p' \leq x p
    by (smt\ (verit,\ del-insts)\ assms(1)\ assms(3)\ assms(5)\ divide-divide-eq-right
divide-eq-0-iff divide-nonneg-pos l2 p'-def remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(1))
 show x p' \leq x p''
  by (simp\ add:\ assms(7)\ l2\ p'-def\ remove-liquidity-code-spec-def\ remove-liquidity-spec-def)
 show y p' < y p
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(2) assms(3) assms(5) divide-nonneg-pos l2
p'-def remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(2) split-mult-pos-le)
 show y p' \leq y p''
  by (simp add: 12 p''-def p'-def remove-liquidity-code-spec-def remove-liquidity-spec-def)
 show l p' = l p''
   by (metis assms(3) 12 nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right not-less-iff-gr-or-eq p''-def
p'-def pool-state.select-convs(3) remove-liquidity-code-spec-def remove-liquidity-spec-def)
 show l p' \leq l p
   by (simp add: \langle l p' = l p'' \rangle assms(5) p''-def remove-liquidity-code-spec-def)
 \mathbf{show} \ k \ p' \le k \ p
   by (smt\ (verit) \ \langle x\ p' \le x\ p\rangle \ \langle y\ p' \le y\ p\rangle \ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)
```

```
divide-less-eq-1-pos k-def mult-less-cancel-left-pos mult-less-iff1 mult-pos-pos p'-def
remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(2))
 show k p' \leq k p''
     by (smt\ (verit)\ \langle x\ p'\leq x\ p''\rangle\ \langle y\ p'\leq y\ p''\rangle\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)
assms(4) divide-less-eq-1-pos k-def mult.commute mult-less-cancel-left-pos mult-pos-pos
p'-def pool-state.select-convs(1) pool-state.select-convs(2) remove-liquidity-spec-def)
  show k p'/k p = (l p'/l p)^2
  by (smt\ (verit,\ ccfv\text{-}SIG)\ ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}mult\text{-}class.mult\text{-}ac(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(2)
assms(3) k-def mult.left-commute mult-pos-pos nonzero-eq-divide-eq p'-def power2-eq-square
remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(1) select-convs(2) select-convs(3))
  show (l p''/l p)^2 \le k p''/k p
     by (metis \ \langle k \ p' \ / \ k \ p = (l \ p' \ / \ l \ p)^2 \rangle \ \langle k \ p' \le k \ p'' \rangle \ \langle l \ p' = l \ p'' \rangle \ assms(1)
assms(2) divide-right-mono k-def less-le-not-le split-mult-pos-le)
  \mathbf{show} \ x \ p \ / \ l \ p = x \ p' \ / \ l \ p'
     \mathbf{by} \ (smt \ (verit) \ assms(4) \ divide-divide-eq-right \ eq-divide-eq-1 \ mult.commute
nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left\ p'-def\ remove-liquidity-spec-def\ select-convs(1)\ select-convs(3))
  show y p / l p = y p' / l p'
    by (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ \langle x\ p\ /\ l\ p=x\ p'\ /\ l\ p'\rangle\ assms(1)\ divide-divide-eq-left\ di-
vide-eq-0-iff nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left p'-def remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(2)
select-convs(3)
qed
```

4 No free money

Here we want to prove that, no matter what sequence of operations one applies, withdrawing all the liquidity obtained leaves the pool with at least the same amount of tokens it started from. We could formalize executions as lists, inductive invariants, etc.

```
definition inv where
```

```
inv p_0 p \equiv l p \geq l p_0 \wedge (

let p' = remove\text{-liquidity-spec} \ p \ (l \ p - l \ p_0)

in x \ p' = x \ p_0 \wedge y \ p' = y \ p_0)
```

definition pool-ne where

```
— non-empty pool pool-ne p \equiv x \ p > 0 \land y \ p > 0 \land l \ p > 0
```

${f lemma}\,\,l eta$:

— if the ratio x to l is the same as x' to l', then removing liquidity l' minus l results in balance x

```
fixes x \mid x' \mid l' :: real assumes x/l = x'/l' and l > 0 and x > 0 shows x'*(1-(l'-l)/l') = x
```

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add.right-neutral add-diff-cancel assms diff-diff-eq diff-divide-distrib divide-eq-right divide-eq-0-iff eq-divide-eq-1 minus-diff-eq mult-1 nonzero-eq-divide-eq order-less-irreft times-divide-eq-left)

lemma l4:

```
fixes p_0 p
 assumes inv p_0 p and pool-ne p_0 and pool-ne p
 shows x p / l p = x p_0 / l p_0 and y p / l p = y p_0 / l p_0
proof -
  define \Delta l where \Delta l \equiv l p - l p_0
  define p' where p' \equiv remove-liquidity-spec p \Delta l
 have 1:l \ p_0 = l \ p'
 proof -
   have (1 - (x' - x)/x')*x' = x if x' \neq 0 for x x' :: real
     by (simp add: diff-divide-distrib that)
   thus ?thesis
     unfolding p'-def remove-liquidity-spec-def Let-def
     by (metis \Delta l-def assms(3) order-less-irreft pool-ne-def select-convs(3))
 qed
 have 2:\Delta l > 0
   using AMM-Zhanq.inv-def \Delta l-def assms(1) by auto
 have 3:\Delta l < l p
   using \Delta l-def assms(2) pool-ne-def by auto
 show x p / l p = x p_0 / l p_0
 proof -
   have x p / l p = x p' / l p'
    using 2 3 assms(3) p'-def pool-ne-def remove-liquidity-properties(11) by blast
   thus ?thesis using 1
     by (metis AMM-Zhang.inv-def \Delta l-def assms(1) p'-def)
 qed
 show y p / l p = y p_0 / l p_0
 proof -
   have y p / l p = y p' / l p'
    using 2 3 assms(3) p'-def pool-ne-def remove-liquidity-properties (12) by blast
   thus ?thesis using 1
     by (metis AMM-Zhang.inv-def \Delta l-def assms(1) p'-def)
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma l5:
 fixes p_0 p p' \Delta x
 assumes inv p_0 p and l p' \ge l p_0 and pool-ne p_0 and pool-ne p
   and x p' / l p' = x p / l p and y p' / l p' = y p / l p
 shows inv p_0 p'
proof -
 have l p \ge l p_0
   using inv-def assms(1)
   by blast
 define p'' where p'' \equiv remove-liquidity-spec p' (l \ p' - l \ p_0)
 have x p_0 / l p_0 = x p' / l p'
   using \langle l \ p_0 \leq l \ p \rangle assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) l4(1) by fastforce
 hence x p_0 = x p'' using l3 unfolding p''-def remove-liquidity-spec-def Let-def
   by (metis assms(3) mult.commute pool-ne-def select-convs(1))
 have y p_0 / l p_0 = y p' / l p'
```

```
using \langle l p_0 \leq l p \rangle assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) assms(6) l4(2) by fastforce
 hence y p_0 = y p'' using l3 unfolding p''-def remove-liquidity-spec-def Let-def
   by (metis\ assms(3)\ mult.commute\ pool-ne-def\ select-convs(2))
 show ?thesis
   using AMM-Zhang.inv-def \langle x | p_0 = x | p'' \rangle \langle y | p_0 = y | p'' \rangle assms(2) p''-def by
fast force
qed
lemma inv-add-okay:
  fixes p_0 p p' \Delta x
 assumes inv p_0 p and \theta \leq \Delta x and pool-ne p_0 and pool-ne p
 defines p' \equiv add-liquidity-spec p \Delta x
 shows inv p_0 p'
proof -
 have x p' / l p' = x p / l p and y p' / l p' = y p / l p
   by (metis add-liquidity-properties (14) assms(2) \ assms(4) \ p'-def pool-ne-def
   , metis add-liquidity-properties (15) assms(2) \ assms(4) \ p'-def pool-ne-def)
 moreover
 have l p' \geq l p
  using add-liquidity-properties(9) assms(2) assms(4) p'-def pool-ne-def by blast
  moreover
 have l p \geq l p_0
   using AMM-Zhang.inv-def assms(1) by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using 15
   using assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) order-trans by blast
qed
lemma inv-rem-okay:
 fixes p_0 p p' \Delta l
 defines p' \equiv remove-liquidity-spec p \Delta l
 assumes inv p_0 p and \theta \leq \Delta l and pool-ne p_0 and pool-ne p and l p_0 < l p'
 shows inv p_0 p'
proof -
 have x p' / l p' = x p / l p and y p' / l p' = y p / l p
   apply (smt (verit, best) assms(4) assms(6) nonzero-mult-divide-mult-cancel-left
p'-def pool-ne-def remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(1) select-convs(3) zero-less-mult-iff)
  apply (smt (verit) assms(4) assms(6) divide-divide-eq-left divide-eq-0-iff nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left
p'-def pool-ne-def remove-liquidity-spec-def select-convs(2) select-convs(3))
   done
  thus?thesis using 15
   by (metis\ assms(2)\ assms(4)\ assms(5)\ assms(6)\ less-le-not-le)
qed
```

end