

Applying the EPR verification methodology to Casper

Giuliano Losa

January 24, 2025

Contents

This is an experiment dating from 2017 in which I shortened an Isabelle/HOL proof by Yoichi Hirai from about 1000 lines to less than 100 by encoding things in EPR as much as possible.

It seems that this proof was later re-used by Yoichi Hirai and then translated to Coq by Runtime Verification:

<https://github.com/runtimeverification/casper-proofs/blob/master/Core/AccountableSafety.v>.

```

theory Casper
  imports Main
begin

```

Here we prove that the slashing conditions in Casper, as described at the url below by Vitalik Buterin, are such that if there is a fork, then one third of the validators are "slashed". <https://medium.com/@VitalikButerin/minimal-slashing-conditions-20f0b50>

We use first-order modeling as much as possible. This allows to reduce the size of the model, and also the size of the proofs from more than 1000 lines in Yoichi's proof to less than a 100.

```

locale byz-quorums =
  — "Here we fix two types 'q1 and 'q2 for quorums of cardinality greater than 2/3
  of the validators and quorum of cardinality greater than 1/3 of the validators.
  fixes member-1 :: 'n ⇒ 'q1 ⇒ bool (infix ∈1 50)
    — Membership in 2/3 set
    and member-2 :: 'n ⇒ 'q2 ⇒ bool (infix ∈2 50)
    — Membership in 1/3 set
  assumes ∧ q1 q2 . ∃ q3 . ∀ n . n ∈2 q3 ⟶ n ∈1 q1 ∧ n ∈1 q2
    — This is the only property of types 'q1 and 'q2 that we need: 2/3 quorums
    have 1/3 intersection

```

```

record ('n, 'h)state =
  — "'n is the type of validators (nodes), 'h hashes, and views are nat
  commit-msg :: 'n ⇒ 'h ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
  prepare-msg :: 'n ⇒ 'h ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool

```

```

locale casper = byz-quorums +
  — Here we make assumptions about hashes. In reality any message containing a
  hash not satisfying those should be dropped.
  fixes
    hash-parent :: 'h ⇒ 'h ⇒ bool (infix ← 50)
  assumes
    — "a hash has at most one parent which is not itself
    ∧ h1 h2 . h1 ← h2 ⟹ h1 ≠ h2
    and ∧ h1 h2 h3 . [h2 ← h1; h3 ← h1] ⟹ h2 = h3
begin

```

```

lemmas casper-assms-def = casper-def casper-axioms-def byz-quorums-def

```

```

inductive hash-ancestor (infix ←* 50) where
  h1 ← h2 ⟹ h1 ←* h2
  | [h1 ← h2; h2 ←* h3] ⟹ h1 ←* h3
declare hash-ancestor.intros[simp,intro]
lemma hash-ancestor-intro': assumes h1 ←* h2 and h2 ← h3 shows h1 ←* h3
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

inductive nth-ancestor :: nat ⇒ 'h ⇒ 'h ⇒ bool where
  nth-ancestor 0 h1 h1

```

$\mid \llbracket nth\text{-ancestor } n \ h1 \ h2; h2 \leftarrow h3 \rrbracket \implies nth\text{-ancestor } (n+1) \ h1 \ h3$
declare $nth\text{-ancestor.intros[simp,intro]}$
inductive-cases $nth\text{-ancestor-succ:}nth\text{-ancestor } (n+1) \ h1 \ h3$
inductive-cases $zeroth\text{-ancestor:}nth\text{-ancestor } 0 \ h1 \ h3$
lemma $parent\text{-ancestor:}h1 \leftarrow h2 = nth\text{-ancestor } 1 \ h1 \ h2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

All messages in epoch 0 are ignored; 0 is used as a special value (was -1 in the original model).

definition $prepared'$ **where**

$prepared' \ s \ q \ h \ v1 \ v2 \equiv v1 \neq 0 \wedge (\forall \ n . n \in_1 \ q \longrightarrow prepare\text{-msg } s \ n \ h \ v1 \ v2)$

definition $prepared$ **where**

$prepared \ s \ q \ h \ v1 \ v2 \equiv v1 \neq 0 \wedge v2 < v1 \wedge (\forall \ n . n \in_1 \ q \longrightarrow prepare\text{-msg } s \ n \ h \ v1 \ v2)$

definition $committed$ **where**

$committed \ s \ q \ h \ v \equiv v \neq 0 \wedge (\forall \ n . n \in_1 \ q \longrightarrow commit\text{-msg } s \ n \ h \ v)$

definition $fork$ **where**

$fork \ s \equiv \exists \ h1 \ h2 \ q1 \ q2 \ v1 \ v2 . committed \ s \ q1 \ h1 \ v1 \wedge committed \ s \ q2 \ h2 \ v2$
 $\wedge \neg(h2 \leftarrow^* h1 \vee h1 \leftarrow^* h2 \vee h1 = h2)$

definition $slashed\text{-}1$ **where** $slashed\text{-}1 \ s \ n \equiv$

$\exists \ h \ v . commit\text{-msg } s \ n \ h \ v \wedge (\forall \ q \ v2 . v2 < v \longrightarrow \neg prepared \ s \ q \ h \ v \ v2)$

definition $slashed\text{-}2$ **where**

$slashed\text{-}2 \ s \ n \equiv$

$\exists \ h \ v1 \ v2 . prepare\text{-msg } s \ n \ h \ v1 \ v2 \wedge v2 \neq 0 \wedge$

$(\forall \ v3 \ q \ h2 . v3 < v2 \longrightarrow \neg(nth\text{-ancestor } (v1 - v2) \ h2 \ h \wedge prepared \ s \ q \ h2 \ v2 \ v3))$

definition $slashed\text{-}3$ **where**

$slashed\text{-}3 \ s \ n \equiv$

$\exists \ h1 \ h2 \ v1 \ v2 \ v3 . v1 < v2 \wedge v3 < v1 \wedge$

$commit\text{-msg } s \ n \ h1 \ v1 \wedge prepare\text{-msg } s \ n \ h2 \ v2 \ v3$

definition $slashed\text{-}4$ **where**

$slashed\text{-}4 \ s \ n \equiv \exists \ h1 \ h2 \ v \ v1 \ v2 . (h1 \neq h2 \vee v1 \neq v2) \wedge$

$prepare\text{-msg } s \ n \ h1 \ v \ v1 \wedge prepare\text{-msg } s \ n \ h2 \ v \ v2$

definition $slashed$ **where** $slashed \ s \ n \equiv$

$slashed\text{-}1 \ s \ n \vee slashed\text{-}2 \ s \ n \vee slashed\text{-}3 \ s \ n \vee slashed\text{-}4 \ s \ n$

definition $one\text{-third}\text{-}slashed$ **where** $one\text{-third}\text{-}slashed \ s \equiv \exists \ q . \forall \ n . n \in_2 \ q \longrightarrow slashed \ s \ n$

lemmas $slashed\text{-}defs = slashed\text{-}def \ slashed\text{-}1\text{-}def \ slashed\text{-}2\text{-}def \ slashed\text{-}4\text{-}def \ slashed\text{-}3\text{-}def \ one\text{-third}\text{-}slashed\text{-}def$

lemmas $order\text{-}defs = class.linorder\text{-}axioms\text{-}def \ class.linorder\text{-}def \ class.order\text{-}def \ class.preorder\text{-}def$

$class.order\text{-}axioms\text{-}def \ class.order\text{-}bot\text{-}def \ class.order\text{-}bot\text{-}axioms\text{-}def \ linorder\text{-}axioms[where ?'a=nat]$

lemmas $casper\text{-}defs = slashed\text{-}defs \ prepared\text{-}def \ fork\text{-}def \ committed\text{-}def \ casper\text{-}assms\text{-}def$

lemma $l1$: **assumes** $prepared \ s \ q1 \ h1 \ v1 \ v2$ **and** $committed \ s \ q2 \ h2 \ v3$ **and** $v1 > v3$ **and** $\neg one\text{-third}\text{-}slashed \ s$

shows $v1 > v2 \wedge v2 \geq v3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l2: assumes $nth\text{-}ancestor\ n\ h1\ h2$ **and** $nth\text{-}ancestor\ m\ h2\ h3$
shows $nth\text{-}ancestor\ (n+m)\ h1\ h3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l3: assumes $prepared\ s\ q1\ h1\ v1\ v2$ **and** $committed\ s\ q2\ h2\ v3$ **and** $v1 > v3$ **and** $\neg one\text{-}third\text{-}slashed\ s$
shows $nth\text{-}ancestor\ (v1 - v3)\ h2\ h1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4: assumes $nth\text{-}ancestor\ n\ h1\ h2$ **shows** $h1 \leftarrow^* h2 \vee h1 = h2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma safety: assumes $fork\ s$ **shows** $one\text{-}third\text{-}slashed\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end