## Applying the EPR verification methodology to Casper

Giuliano Losa

November 25, 2019

## Contents

This is an experiment dating from 2017 in which I shortened an Isabelle/HOL proof by Yoichi Hirai from about 1000 lines to less than 100 by encoding things in EPR as much as possible.

It seems that this proof was later re-used by Yoichi Hirai and then translated to Coq by Runtime Verification: https://github.com/runtimeverification/casper-proofs/blob/master/Core/AccountableSafety.v, although the attribution to me was lost in the process.

```
theory Casper
imports Main
begin
```

Here we prove that the slashing conditions in Casper, as described at the url below by Vitalik Buterin, are such that if there is a fork, then one third of the validators are "slashed". https://medium.com/@VitalikButerin/minimal-slashing-conditions-20f0b50

We use first-order modeling as much as possible. This allows to reduce the size of the model, and also the size of the proofs from more than 1000 lines in Yoichi's proof to less than a 100.

```
locale byz-quorums =
  — "Here we fix two types 'q1 and 'q2 for quorums of cardinality greater than 2/3
of the validators and quorum of cardinality greater than 1/3 of the validators.
  fixes member-1 :: 'n \Rightarrow 'q1 \Rightarrow bool (infix \in_1 50)
    — Membership in 2/3 set
    and member-2 :: 'n \Rightarrow 'q2 \Rightarrow bool (infix \in_2 50)
     — Membership in 1/3 set
  assumes \bigwedge q1 q2 . \exists q3 . \forall n . n \in_2 q3 \longrightarrow n \in_1 q1 \land n \in_1 q2
    — This is the only property of types 'q1 and 'q2 that we need: 2/3 quorums
have 1/3 intersection
record ('n,'h) state =
  — "'n is the type of validators (nodes), 'h hashes, and views are nat
  commit-msg :: 'n \Rightarrow 'h \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
  prepare-msg :: 'n \Rightarrow 'h \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
locale \ casper = byz-quorums +
   — Here we make assumptions about hashes. In reality any message containing a
hash not satisfying those should be dropped.
  hash-parent :: 'h \Rightarrow 'h \Rightarrow bool (infix \leftarrow 50)
assumes
   — "a hash has at most one parent which is not itself
 \bigwedge h1 \ h2 \ . \ h1 \leftarrow h2 \Longrightarrow h1 \neq h2
  and \bigwedge h1 \ h2 \ h3 . \llbracket h2 \leftarrow h1; \ h3 \leftarrow h1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow h2 = h3
lemmas \ casper-assms-def = casper-def \ casper-axioms-def \ byz-quorums-def
inductive hash-ancestor (infix \leftarrow^* 50) where
  h1 \leftarrow h2 \Longrightarrow h1 \leftarrow^* h2
| \llbracket h1 \leftarrow h2; h2 \leftarrow^* h3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow h1 \leftarrow^* h3
declare hash-ancestor.intros[simp,intro]
lemma hash-ancestor-intro': assumes h1 \leftarrow^* h2 and h2 \leftarrow h3 shows h1 \leftarrow^* h3
  using assms by (induct h1 h2 rule:hash-ancestor.induct) auto
inductive nth-ancestor :: nat \Rightarrow 'h \Rightarrow 'h \Rightarrow bool where
```

```
nth-ancestor 0 h1 h1
| [nth\text{-}ancestor \ n \ h1 \ h2; \ h2 \leftarrow h3] \implies nth\text{-}ancestor \ (n+1) \ h1 \ h3
declare nth-ancestor.intros[simp,intro]
inductive-cases nth-ancestor-succ:nth-ancestor (n+1) h1 h3
inductive-cases zeroth-ancestor:nth-ancestor 0 h1 h3
lemma parent-ancestor:h1 \leftarrow h2 = nth-ancestor 1 h1 h2
 by (metis One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc-right add-diff-cancel-left' diff-Suc-Suc
nat.simps(3) nth-ancestor.simps)
All messages in epoch \theta are ignored; \theta is used as a special value (was -1
in the original model).
definition prepared' where
  prepared' \ s \ q \ h \ v1 \ v2 \equiv v1 \neq 0 \land (\forall \ n \ . \ n \in_1 \ q \longrightarrow prepare-msg \ s \ n \ h \ v1 \ v2)
definition prepared where
  prepared s q h v1 v2 \equiv v1 \neq 0 \wedge v2 < v1 \wedge (\forall n . n \in1 q \longrightarrow prepare-msg s n
h v1 v2)
definition committed where
  committed s \ q \ h \ v \equiv v \neq 0 \ \land \ (\forall \ n \ . \ n \in_1 q \longrightarrow commit-msg \ s \ n \ h \ v)
definition fork where
 fork s \equiv \exists h1 h2 q1 q2 v1 v2. committed sq1 h1 v1 \land committed sq2 h2 v2
   \wedge \neg (h2 \leftarrow^* h1 \lor h1 \leftarrow^* h2 \lor h1 = h2)
definition slashed-1 where slashed-1 s n \equiv
  \exists h \ v \ . \ commit-msg \ s \ h \ v \land (\forall q \ v2 \ . \ v2 < v \longrightarrow \neg prepared \ s \ q \ h \ v \ v2)
definition slashed-2 where
  slashed-2 \ s \ n \equiv
  \exists h v1 v2 . prepare-msg s n h v1 v2 \land v2 \neq 0 \land 
    (\forall v3 \ q \ h2 \ .v3 < v2 \longrightarrow \neg (nth\text{-}ancestor \ (v1 - v2) \ h2 \ h \land prepared \ s \ q \ h2 \ v2
v3))
definition slashed-3 where
  slashed-3 \ s \ n \equiv
  \exists h1 h2 v1 v2 v3 . v1 < v2 \land v3 < v1 \land
    commit-msg \ s \ n \ h1 \ v1 \ \land \ prepare-msg \ s \ n \ h2 \ v2 \ v3
definition slashed-4 where
  slashed-4 s n \equiv \exists h1 h2 v v1 v2 . (h1 \neq h2 \lor v1 \neq v2) \land
   prepare-msg s n h1 v v1 \land prepare-msg s n h2 v v2
definition slashed where slashed s n \equiv
  slashed-1 s n \lor slashed-2 s n \lor slashed-4 s n
definition one-third-slashed where one-third-slashed s \equiv \exists q : \forall n : n \in_2 q \longrightarrow
slashed s n
lemmas s lashed-defs = s lashed-def s lashed-1-def s lashed-2-def s lashed-4-def s lashed-3-def
one-third-slashed-def
lemmas order-defs = class.linorder-axioms-def class.linorder-def class.order-def
class.preorder\hbox{-}def
 class.order-axioms-def class.order-bot-def class.order-bot-axioms-def linorder-axioms [where
{f lemmas}\ casper-defs = slashed-defs\ prepared-def\ fork-def\ committed-def\ casper-assms-def
```

```
lemma l1: assumes prepared s q1 h1 v1 v2 and committed s q2 h2 v3 and v1
v3 and \neg one-third-slashed s
 shows v1 > v2 \land v2 \ge v3 using assms casper-axioms linorder-axioms [where
?'a=nat| unfolding casper-defs order-defs
 by metis
lemma l2: assumes nth-ancestor n h1 h2 and nth-ancestor m h2 h3
 shows nth-ancestor (n+m) h1 h3
 using assms
proof (induct m arbitrary: h1 h2 h3)
 case 0 then show ?case using nth-ancestor.cases by auto
next
 case (Suc\ m)
 \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{by}~(\textit{metis Suc-eq-plus 1}~add\text{-}\textit{Suc-right nth-ancestor.simps nth-ancestor-succ})
lemma l3: assumes prepared s q1 \ h1 \ v1 \ v2 and committed s q2 \ h2 \ v3 and v1 >
v3 and \neg one-third-slashed s
 shows nth-ancestor (v1 - v3) h2 h1
proof -
 show ?thesis using assms
 proof (induct v1 - v3 arbitrary: v1 v2 v3 q1 q2 h1 h2 rule:less-induct)
     - "This is complete induction
   case less then show ?case
   proof (cases v1 - v3 = 0)
     case True then show ?thesis using less.prems(3) by linarith
     case False then show ?thesis
     proof (cases v2=v3)
      \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
      obtain v4 q3 where 1:prepared s q3 h2 v2 v4
            by (metis True byz-quorums-axioms byz-quorums-def committed-def
less.prems(2,4) one-third-slashed-def slashed-1-def slashed-def)
      moreover have 3:h3 = h2 \land v5 = v4 if prepared s q4 h3 v2 v5 for q4 h3
v5
     by (metis 1 byz-quorums-axioms byz-quorums-def less.prems(4) one-third-slashed-def
prepared-def slashed-4-def slashed-def that)
      ultimately show ?thesis using less(2,5) byz-quorums-axioms True
        by (simp only: casper-defs) metis
     next
      case False
      obtain q3\ h3\ v4 where 1:nth-ancestor (v1-v2)\ h3\ h1 and 2:prepared s\ q3
       by (metis\ less.prems(1-3)\ assms(4)\ byz-quorums-axioms\ byz-quorums-def
committed-def\ diff-is-0-eq\ diff-zero\ l1\ one-third-slashed-def\ prepared-def\ slashed-2-def
slashed-def)
      have 3:nth-ancestor (v2-v3) h2 h3
        by (metis False 2 l1 diff-less-mono less.hyps less.prems less-le)
    have 4:v1 > v2 \land v2 \ge v3 using assms casper.l1 casper-axioms less.prems(1-3)
```

```
by metis
      show ?thesis using 1 3 4 less.prems(3) l2 by force
    qed
   qed
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma l4:assumes nth-ancestor n h1 h2 shows h1 \leftarrow* h2 \vee h1 = h2 using
assms
proof (induct n arbitrary:h1 h2)
 case 0 then show ?case using zeroth-ancestor by auto
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 obtain h3 where 1:h3 \leftarrow h2 and 2:nth-ancestor n h1 h3 using nth-ancestor-succ
Suc. prems by (metis Suc-eq-plus1)
 show ?case using Suc.hyps[OF 2] 1 hash-ancestor-intro' by blast
qed
lemma safety: assumes fork s shows one-third-slashed s
 obtain h1 h2 q1 q2 v1 v2 where 1:committed s q1 h1 v1 and 2:committed s q2
h2v2
   and 3:\neg (h2 \leftarrow^* h1 \lor h1 \leftarrow^* h2 \lor h1 = h2) using assms fork-def by blast
 have 4:\neg(nth\text{-}ancestor\ n\ h1\ h2\ \lor\ nth\text{-}ancestor\ n\ h2\ h1\ \lor\ h1\ =\ h2) for n using
14 3 by auto
 obtain q3 q4 v3 v4 where 5:prepared s q3 h1 v1 v3 and 6:prepared s q4 h2 v2
v4 if \neg one-third-slashed s using 1 2
  by (metis byz-quorums-axioms byz-quorums-def committed-def one-third-slashed-def
slashed-1-def slashed-def)
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases v1 = v2)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   show ?thesis using 1 4 2 5 6 True casper-axioms unfolding byz-quorums-def
casper-def slashed-def
      one-third-slashed-def casper-axioms committed-def prepared-def slashed-1-def
slashed-4-def
    by metis
 next
   case False thus ?thesis using 13 1 2 4 5 6 by (metis less-le not-less)
 qed
qed
end
end
```